Mail 5:21 PM 50%
overunity.com

Sign Up to see who your friends are following.

drive a load , not by the high frequency like someone say .[/font]

Cheers

Nelson Rocha

When he says: "in other words, as though it possessed no self-induction...", he is **NOT** suggesting that there are no external magnetic fields or effects associated with magnetic fields!

Undivided attention, and careful consideration should be given to the bold text.....

"mutual relations" existing between **the special character of the current** and the self-induction and capacity of the coil.....

If and when you comprehend what he's suggesting, you discover the true purpose of the flat spiral wound "bifilar" coil, it's got nothing to do with anything that is being debated. It's about purpose....he gave you the purpose in the title..... Try asking yourself...what in the hell is he referring to by this "special character of the current".

Quote:

"I have found that in every coil there exists a certain relation between its self-induction and capacity that permits a current of a given frequency and potential to pass through it with no other opposition than that of ohmic resistance, or, in other words, as though it possessed no self -induction."

So that its clear.... we are informed of what was "observed", as it applies to "every coil", we are informed of this **"AFTER"** we are informed of the "PURPOSE", which was.....:

"My present invention has for its object to avoid the employment of condensers which are expensive and cumbersome and difficult to maintain in perfect condition, and to so construct the coils themselves as to accomplish the same ultimate object.

That which was "observed" in every coil is to be kept in mind while becoming informed/familiarized with the latest development and or improvement.

Tesla is leading us to something profound here.....fucking recognize it!

Logged

Free Energy |

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant

Quote

Posts: 791

> The special character of the current.
>
> spiraling. compressing inwards.

For the last 10+ years (something special is to be found in taking your sweet ass time....) I have studied every patent granted to Nikola Tesla which has even the slightest relation to the "Coil for Electromagnets". In none of those documents does one find Tesla describing the current as "spiraling, or compressing inwards".....

Most theories fall short of a practical application, this is not the case with Tesla. He presents you with an idea, even better than this, he informs us that he has stopped using "physical capacitors", having discovered, and or realized that he could "so construct the coils themselves as to accomplish the same ultimate object." I find his documents were prepared for the thinking few, not for parrots, nor for the learned. Ever take note of those weird statements, the ones that make no sense when you "consider" the information which precedes and the information which follows the weird statement? These points are completely overlooked by the majority.

Quote:

"This is due to the mutual relations existing between the special character of the current, and the self induction and capacity of the coil, the latter quantity being just capable of neutralizing the self-induction for that frequency."

What you can take from this is, if you don't have those mutual relations existing between.....you don't have what he wanted you to have. If your circuit isn't developing the special character of current.....you don't have what he wanted you to have. If your circuit doesn't have the necessary mutual relations existing between the special character of the current, and self induction and capacity, you don't have what he wanted you to have. In other words, your bifilar will be about as useful as milk yielding utters on a bull in heat. This is where many find themselves.....a confused mess....

Quote from: evostars on Today at 01:54:41 AM

> the compass points at the center of the coil, while the dielectric field is equaly concentrated between the windings, over the whole coil. where the "bloch wall" of a magnet would be.

A confused mess.....

iPad 12:42 PM 95%
overunity.com

HO DVD
e best
ell
r DVD
reat Manual

Unity Book

no

dini

"This is due to the mutual relations existing between the special character of the current, and the self induction and capacity of the coil, the latter quantity being just capable of neutralizing the self-induction for that frequency."

What you can take from this is, if you don't have those mutual relations existing between.....you don't have what he wanted you to have. If your circuit isn't developing the special character of current.....you don't have what he wanted you to have. If your circuit doesn't have the necessary mutual relations existing between the special character of the current, and self induction and capacity, you don't have what he wanted you to have. In other words, your bifilar will be about as useful as milk yielding utters on a bull in heat. This is where many find themselves.....a confused mess....

Quote from: evostars on Today at 01:54:41 AM

> the compass points at the center of the coil, while the dielectric field is equaly concentrated between the windings, over the whole coil. where the "bloch wall" of a magnet would be.

A confused mess.....

Quote from: evostars on Today at 01:54:41 AM

> what about the phase between the dielectric and magnetic fields? And its influence on the impedance.

The relation that I find Tesla spoke about was 90°. The bad news if this is about power, and when isn't it about power, 90° relation means what you want is useless because its reactive.....that is the reality of this world.... Why make a useless relation the foundation of your vision.....probably because it wasn't useless....

Logged

Free Energy | searching for free energy and discussing free energy

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
« **Reply #243 on: Today** at 06:28:10 PM »

Quote

Please Share the Love + Energy
and support overunity.com and get your
LED TV Sets , Video stuff, Gadgets and Audio Equipment Christmas Gifts over here. Many thanks !
LedTVforSALE.com 3D-TVs Bluray-Player
LED-TV-Deals Smart-TVs UltraHD-4K TV Android-TV-Box

TinselKoala
Hero Member

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
« **Reply #244 on: Today** at 06:28:24 PM »

Quote

iPad 9:30 AM 40%
overunity.com



Arduino

Bedini

Bedini Advanced Handbook
The Best Bedini Book you can get !



Ultracaps

Maxwell Ultracaps

Erfinder
Hero Member
Posts: 811

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
« **Reply #724 on:** April 14, 2017, 12:17:42 PM »

Quote

Quote from: Magluvin on April 14, 2017, 06:45:33 AM

> And if the 2 turn coils position on the outer perimeter of the pancake is the best for mutual induction, then **what does that say about the pancakes field in comparison to other coils or magnets?**
>
> Mags

The physical geometry and operation of the same reveals to the careful observer that **"electromagnetic induction" is reduced to the role of catalyst.** One should be mindful of the inventors choice to excite the secondary specifically via mutual induction, and this relation is restricted to the outer windings. Through this action, we are being informed of something significant. Contrary to what the resident authorities continue to shove down the throats of those who will not do their due diligence, there is something special about the bifilar coil. Do not allow yourselves to be convinced that this specific topology is no different than a monofilar.....once you convince yourself of this falsehood, you're hopelessly lost.

Why in the hell was Tesla's name associated with the magnetic field, specifically field strength? Nothing in the literature sheds any light on this question that I asked over 10 years ago. The literature I refer to is any and everything on the subject, both academic and nonsensical. I am of the opinion that his name was associated with it because he found the method through which magnetic fields of any intensity, could be produced, in addition to this it is my opinion that he found the means by which to produce such fields with no reaction. Neither of these wonders have seen the light of day, it's up to us to rediscover them.

The way forward demands that we be allowed to speculate and to do so without fear of being corrected! The solution is surprisingly simple, however, to get there, we must be **willing** to set dogma aside.

Regards

Logged

Free Energy | searching for free energy and discussing free energy

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
« **Reply #724 on:** April 14, 2017, 12:17:42 PM »

Quote

Sponsored links:

iPad 2:51 PM 90%
overunity.com

Navigation

Navigation

Start Page
Forum
Updates
Free Energy Youtube
RSS-Feed
WAP2
Upload / Download
Google+
Links to other energy sites
Link Page
add your link here

Service

Profile
Member List
Who is Online?
Statistic

Support

Search
Help
F.A.Q.
Sitemap
About us
Terms of Service
TOS
Privacy Policy
Disclaimer
Impressum

Free Energy Streaming Media

TWIFE
SmartScarecrow
Dr. Stiffler
Lidmotor
Rick Friedich
John Bedini
Mopozco

psychpathic bigotry towards Tesla's serial bifilar coil. You couldn't even solve a basic inductance problem any High School sophomore could handle with little difficulty.

Logged

Erfinder
Hero Member
Posts: 817

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
« **Reply #881 on: Today** at 08:42:37 PM »

Quote

Quote from: Magluvin on Today at 08:14:16 PM

> The terms flyback, reverse emf, counter emf are largely misinterpreted. Flyback is a term that comes from the workings of a cathode ray tube, either a tv or an oscilloscope in which a particular function of the circuit that directs the electron beam to 'fly back' very fast to the left side of the screen after completing a slower visible trace from left to right and repeat. Counter emf and reverse emf should be referring to what happens in self induction of an inductor, where the field generated by the input induces an opposition to the input, thus the delay in getting to max current once the input is applied. I was stuck on that for a long time till about 6 or 7 years ago. i freaked out. lol But all of those terms might seem to suggest that the field collapse causes one or all of these functions, but none of them actually apply.
>
> Mags

Properly identifying and identifying with the problem introduces us to the one and only viable solution to the problem. The problem manifest through the self induction, the wise knew/know, that the solution to the problem is to be found in a proper understanding of self induction, its relation to relation to capacity, and their combined relation to frequency, not as absolutes but constantly modifying variables. This line of thinking leads one to begin to consider all frequencies as being the resonant frequency....

The authorities have us focusing on absolutes and not parameter variations. The excuse is we are too stupid to think dynamically, we should stay in the mindset of children to be brainwashed and think only about static components and parasitic/proximity associations.....a real waste of time in a world where nothing ever stands still. We are instructed to view systems which are fundamentally inductive and capacitive, oscillators in the truest sense of the term, as either or....This flawed logic prohibits us from recognizing the oscillator, and more importantly, we never become aware of true role capacitance plays in relation to and within said oscillator.

Faraday's name is forever tied to capacity....should have been a hint...but no one caught on.... The coulomb and its relation to current....should have been a hint but no one caught on there either....

Logged

Free Energy | searching for free energy and discussing free energy

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
« **Reply #881 on: Today** at 08:42:37 PM »

Quote

Please Share the Love + Energy
and support overunity.com and get your

iPad 7:31 AM 83%
overunity.com

HHO DVD

Get the best HHO cell builder DVD plus great Manual

OverUnity Book

Arduino

Reply Quote Notify

8 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency**
« **on:** April 15, 2017, 05:07:04 PM »

Quote from: MileHigh on April 15, 2017, 03:23:27 PM

> Well, since were are discussing the idea of the creation of a near-instant magnetic field by an inductor, then how can that be accomplished? In theory there is a way to do it, so how do you go about it?

The use of the words "in theory" suggests that you haven't tested the idea you are contemplating..... you are fishing for ideas before you present your own....LOL.... There is only one way to accomplish this task, and as a requirement one must embrace an alternative form of induction, one which does not result in the inductor's opposition to change mechanism being triggered.

Quote from: MileHigh on April 15, 2017, 03:23:27 PM

> I am not going to answer the question and I would ask those that know to not answer the question. The point is to get the wheels in your heads turning and thinking and analyzing and creating. Many of you have been playing with coils for upwards of 10 years now, so what is the recipe for a near-instant magnetic field from a coil?

I am not going to answer the question either, and would ask those that "know", who are not of the self appointed elite to not answer the question. A select few of you have been playing with coils, parroting and preaching the gospel for over 30 years. You have no plan, for the near-instant magnetic field generation in a coil, but you'd like us to think you do.....If you had a working concept, with your experience, and this knowledge, you could write your own ticket!

Reply Quote Notify

9 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency**
« **on:** April 14, 2017, 07:37:37 PM »

Quote from: synchro1 on April 14, 2017, 07:28:13 PM

> @Milehigh,
>
> That's proof that Erfinder was right when he maintained that; "You eat ape dung and crap bananas" like Citfa and Tinselkoala.

iPad 8:28 AM 77%
overunity.com
Temporal Visitor
Facebook
Follow 3.1K people are following Stefan Hartmann. Sign Up to see who your friends are following.

615 **solid state devices / Re: Re-Inventing The Wheel-Part1-Clemente_Figuera-THE INFINITE ENERGY MACHINE**
« **on:** November 25, 2014, 07:47:58 AM »

Quote from: bajac on November 25, 2014, 03:45:00 AM

Forest,

I think you are right!

I am doing a lot of research and it is a slow process. I try to be very careful when reading the articles. You never know when they could be related. For example, I was performing an internet search using the following keyword "Ferranti" + "perpetual Motion", and found the following article from The Electrical Engineer - Volume 3 on page 201 and dated 1889. This article recounts the story of an appeal against the revocation of a patent application that involved Messrs, Gaulard, Gibbs, and Ferranti. I found the following quote taken from the second column to be interesting and with merits for further investigation:

"The specification as it originally stood, it was argued, seemed to assert that any number of induced currents could be produced by the high-tension current in the main wire without loss of electric energy in the main current **- a claim which the petitioner's counsel said amounted to an assertion that the inventors had discovered perpetual motion."**

At this time I am trying to find the letters patent No. 4,362 of 1882 and the provisional application No. 15,141 1885. These document may show more details of the patent application such as original drawings and the specification.

With relation to the highlighted, through experimentation, I have found the sited claim is as far as I am concerned 100 percent accurate. The phrase "high-tension current" is misleading (but is your best and only clue...) however, in my opinion it is the perfect way to describe the "current" in question, but owing this manner of phrasing, identifying the nature of the beast, and or the mechanism at play and the specific relations necessary to practice the claim is next to impossible. I recommend you spend as much time as you feel necessary in this regard, this is one of the few ways forward!

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

Pages: 1 ... 36 37 38 39 40 [**41**] 42 43 44 45 46 ... 55

Share this topic to your favourite Social and Bookmark site

iPad 8:48 AM 75%
overunity.com

Magnet Secrets

Lindemann Videc



Great new video from Peter Lindemann

Navigation

Navigation

Start Page
Forum
Updates
Free Energy
Youtube

« on: December 10, 2014, 10:56:25 PM »

Quote from: MarkE on December 10, 2014, 09:55:08 PM

> Lenz is not a problem to begin with and it is not something you can reduce or remove. System losses due to induction that does things like develop wasteful (if used improperly) eddy currents are things that can be minimized by a smart magnetics design. All these attempts to cheat Lenz' Law are to me are as misguided and futile as a car manufacturer trying to improve mileage by trying to develop anti-gravity devices so as to reduce tire friction.

Nope it sure isn't. I have been saying this over and over, not that anyone is listening, not that anyone should listen. Lenz isn't something you can remove, hell, its not about Lenz anyway! Lenz is the guy who made the observation, the modification as it were to an already existing law. It's the other guys law that we should be paying attention to.

Speaking from my bench, at some point in the past it became clear that I wasn't focusing enough of my energy on the chicken, Faraday's Law. I noted that it was the potentials polarity which setup the antagonism, the polarity of the potential in the end governs the current. As it stands I never did find a way to invert the polarity of the induced potential, something I would have considered as the holy grail for motors. Just when I was ready to give up, eyes crossed to painful limits owing to the constant back and forth referencing between Faraday and Lenz, both in the text and on the bench, two quotes came to mind, statements made by a hero of mine. His choice of words and style in which he delivered this message struck me as odd when I read it all those years ago, I understand more now.

"The circuit including the motor is of relative high self-induction, and this property is imparted to it by the coils of the motor, or, when these are not sufficient, by the addition of suitable choking-coils, so that at each break of the motor-circuit a current of high electro-motive force will be developed for charging the condenser, which may therefore be small and inexpensive."

"I therefore employ a motor of high self-induction, or in place of or in addition to such a motor a choking or self-induction coil E, to store up the energy of the supply-current directed into it and to deliver it in a form of a high-potential discharge when its circuit is interrupted and connected to the terminals of the condenser."

Self induction in a circuit where the induced changes symmetrically will never deliver a mechanism which enables one to neutralize the effect (current limiting) which self induction introduces into the system. In the symmetrical operated system, induced current is only "changing"! When however, we comprehend whats going on, and change to a system where the induced is not symmetrical, where the induced is not only changing but is also "starting" and "stopping" the mechanism for neutralizing the effect (current limiting) becomes clear. The wise among you know what I'm suggesting (no claims). In order to effectively neutralize the effect of current limiting, we need to be in the command center, commanding the coils opposition to change. So after so many years of seeking, those quotes, saved me, they revealed to me that Tesla was well aware of the fact that to fight fire you need fire, to fight the effects of self induction, you don't limit it, you increase it! You make it dominant, the choke is a red herring, the suggested embodiment is window dressing, the wise among you know, the choke is in reality a Magnetic Amplifier.

Speculation is wonderful thing, and in the absence of concrete facts, loosing oneself in pure speculation, supported by a deep rooted desire to know (focus), lets just say.....we get what we pay (work) for.

iPad 9:03 AM overunity.com 74%

Free BitCoins

How to get FREE BitCoins ! The new digital currency ! Very easy to get free digital money !

Amazon Deals

Amazon Warehouse Deals ! Now even more Deep Discounts ! Check out these great prices on slightly used or just opened once only items.I always buy my gadgets via these great Warehouse deals ! Highly recommended ! Many thanks for supporting OverUnity.com this way.

Magpi Magazine

604 Regen-X generator by Thane Heins / Re: Magnet coil cores, demagnetization power and Lenz delay.

« **on:** December 14, 2014, 12:28:38 PM »

Quote from: TinselKoala on December 13, 2014, 10:06:53 AM

> I'm not following you. In the video above the induced waveform approaches zero as the proper position vertically is approached. The Orbette is a core effect pulse motor, it does not work by electromagnetic attraction or repulsion from the toroidal coils to the rotor magnets. There are two rows of magnets in the rotor; the top row is all N facing out and the bottom row is all S facing out, in vertical pairs. The core effect consists of the applied current to the toroidal coils driving the core material into saturation, or close to it. This actually _reduces_ the attraction of the magnets, both N and S, to the core material. So the timing of the drive pulse is such that it happens just at the point of closest approach of the rotor magnets to the cores. When the current is _off_ the magnets are strongly attracted to the cores and when the current is _on_ they are less attracted-- so the rotor accelerates on the approach and does _not decelerate_ proportionally on the departure from the closest approach. This results in an overall acceleration of the rotor. The polarity of the magnets or of the current does not matter to the core effect since the field of the electromagnet is almost completely confined within the toroidal core. The core effect motor does not work on electromagnetic attraction or repulsion between the core and the rotor magnets as a normal solenoidal-wound system would. So even when you have the core positioned vertically at the proper "halfway" point between the rows of magnets on the rotor, reducing the "generator effect" from the magnets passing to close to zero, the "core effect" which actually drives the rotor is not reduced.
> This type of pulse motor is very subtle in its effects; the "core effect" has even been interpreted as a "free energy" effect (by Steorn).
>
> But I've never seen an induced pulse "morph" suddenly into a square wave. I'd suspect instrument or hookup error if it did, but I'd love to see a system where it happened.

I sorta figured that you wouldn't follow, and would conclude that such a condition if it indeed existed could immediately be blamed on incompetence. Its cool, I'd really love to show you the phenomena, but considering how folks get sliced and diced around here, I think I'll stay ignorant a little while longer. With that being said however, I linked to your video which I think is genius. I quote the relevant text:

"When the coils are wired together in the running configuration, they are then "phased" exactly like phasing a stereo speaker system---only opposite. When the tiny CEMF signals from each pair of coils are exactly out of phase the total CEMF signature flatlines completely."

My work is directly related to what you disclose here. I appreciate you sharing this with the world.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

iPad 9:06 AM 73%
overunity.com

CCKnife

Poplamp

CCTool

Reply Quote Notify

602 **Regen-X generator by Thane Heins / Re: Magnet coil cores, demagnetization power and Lenz delay.**
« **on:** December 16, 2014, 08:24:45 PM »

synchro1,

Like you and many others, I see the significance of this effect, however, the thing that we haven't been paying attention to, ignoring it as it were, is the fact that acceleration is limited! We cannot ignore the fact that the gain in RPM is limited. We note the acceleration, and we also note when the acceleration ends, we understand the conditions needed to get this far. What we haven't comprehended is how to lift the limit!

This concept has been under investigation for some time now and we still haven't figured it out. While the effect is novel, it is of no practical value as is. If the system accelerates up to a certain speed and then the acceleration stops, how are we justified in considering this as being useful? We need to comprehend the mechanism which limits further acceleration. We want runaway conditions when the load is attached, and not just limited acceleration, right? We want the generator to produce torque which exceeds that which is being provided by the prime mover...right? We feel that this is the way forward, and maybe it is, but as long as acceleration dies down, we won't get there with this method.

Think about this....up to a certain point the coil opposes change in current. After a certain point is reached, the coil opposes changes in potential. The change over constitutes at least in my mind a migration from operating under the laws governing one energy storage mechanism to the laws which govern the other.

In motors we note the same behavior, immediate acceleration followed by stabilized RPM. We know who the culprit is that is responsible for limiting further acceleration. We claim to have removed the negative effects associated with CEMF from the equation when discussing this acceleration under load phenomena, in truth, we have not.

In my opinion the solution to the speed limitation is found in understanding that the effect itself is tied to the size of the storage reservoir, speed increase and its eventual plateau is an indication of the size of this reservoir. The solution in my opinion lies in establishing those conditions whereby the reservoir increases in size with increasing RPM.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

603 **Regen-X generator by Thane Heins / Re: Magnet coil cores, demagnetization power and Lenz delay.**
« **on:** December 14, 2014, 04:26:40 PM »

iPad 9:16 AM 72%
overunity.com

- Temporal Visitor
- tim energy
- eleua
- vickjarrett
- Cherryman
- Dog-One

Facebook

Follow 3.1K people are following Stefan Hartmann. Sign Up to see who your friends are following.

599 **Regen-X generator by Thane Heins / Re: Delayed Lenz or not?... post your explaination!**
« **on:** December 22, 2014, 01:02:27 PM »

The inducing magnet is attracted to the stator and locks at the location known to all as TDC. This is true of all presently accepted typologies, where the magnet is moved or coil is moved, and even in the situation now under discussion where an iron slug is moved between a stationary magnet and coil. This relation leads to the well documented effects and all too familiar wave forms. What is needed is the exact opposite of this. What we want is strong repulsion when the magnet structure is perfectly aligned with the stator pole. This simple change inverts the present situation,placing the point of maximum induced potential at present zero crossing (TDC proper). This means we will experience the greatest opposition to change in flux at the point of greatest flux density. An idea no one here or on any other form I frequent has considered.

This simple modification changes everything, because in this situation the inducing field is in phase (geometrically) with the field which will manifest in the coil, when the coil is shorted.

I am finding that we are do not have the proper system relations in the machines we build. We don't understand the forces we wish to command. Lenz isn't something we can delay, we all know this but keep saying it as if its what we are doing. With the proper geometric relations we induce three EMF, one prior to TDC one at TDC, and one after TDC. The induced at TDC is of much higher amplitude and magnitude than the induced entering and leaving. The amplitude of the wave is about rate of change, so, that should give one some insight on what relation is desired to get the highest possible induced potential for a given rpm. In what I am calling "properly designed systems", induced potentials have the appearance of spikes, the induced losses its characteristic sinusoidal nature.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

600 **Regen-X generator by Thane Heins / Re: Delayed Lenz or not?... post your explaination!**
« **on:** December 20, 2014, 03:45:13 PM »

Quote from: a.king21 on December 20, 2014, 03:11:38 PM

> We will never probably see a self running device until the powers that be get out of oil.
> I have come across documents which I believe to be authentic which order patent offices to send apparent free energy device patent requests to head office.

In my most humble of opinions, its not the powers who put the brakes on a self running device, its ignorance. Big deal one person shows something which convinces the majority to invest money in a replication effort. Big deal the replication effort gets derailed

Energy Sites

Peswiki
Energetic Forum
OUResearch
Forum
Panaceauniversity
JL Naudin
free-energy-info
keelynet
Prof. Tutur
Dr. Meyl
ou-theory
Fl.Sweet
solarenergynews24
solar-energy-news-24.info
gravitywheel.info
bessler-wheel.info
besslerwheel.info
UfoMovie.info
free-energy-news.info
free-energy-news.net
wind-energy-news.info
overunity.de
Free-Energy.TV
free-energy.tk
ufomovies.info
magnet-motor-news.info
Free Energy Party
MovieClipsFree
deutscheahnen.de
TravelHotelsCheap
MoneyWorkHome
WikiPedia
Wedding Royal
IPads price
Android tablet computers

> Now, just to throw a bit more in the mix, what if we had a conductor that produced the opposite field to that of say copper, while maintaining the same current flow direction?
>
> You may think thses are nonsense questions, but I am serching here for those that can think outside the box. Maybe some one has found such conductors, but have not been gaim to tell us about there discovery for fear that the bullshit button will be hit, and they get to bask in the posts full of ridicule.

Hi Tinman,

What follows is pure speculation, consider this as a thought experiment. I am not interested in facts, no facts will be presented, I have no interest in finding supporting literature for the concepts I'm going to suggest. The purpose of this post is for entertainment purposes only. Now having said this, and expressing no interest in the facts, I am sure this will be ignored and the ideas presented challenged. I want to make it clear that I have no desire to debate the known and established. I am most interested in that which is known but isn't established. This is about opening the mind to new possibilities, its about being creative, dissolving the box which imprisons our creativity.

Assuming we are a few years off from being able to purchase such a conductor from our local outlet, a little time should be invested in finding a means for producing this condition with our present understanding, using materials we are familiar with, and can acquire now. We know that it is possible to produce the zero magnetic field, we see the concept in wire wound resistors. We know that when we wind two conductors together and cause current to flow in them, the fields projected from these wires can neutralize when current is applied to them in the proper manner, you are well aware of this.

It is clear that such a configuration presents us with a very different situation than the norm, and yet few realize the significance of such a configuration. When we are guided by the basic idea which is embodied in the definition of inductance, the role of which is to oppose change in flux or current, we would begin to understand the significance. It is my opinion, one which I will not defend, in a configuration where the fields are neutralizing, two EMF are induced, the currents associated with these two are in an ideal condition equal and opposite, the magnetic fields associated with them equal and opposite, the net effect, the mechanism for opposition to change in current is neutralized.

This simple idea, "neutralization of the mechanism responsible for opposition to change in current", opens every door which has up to this point been slammed in our faces. The benefits granted to a system based on an application of the aforementioned are too numerous to mention, and it is my firm belief that all of them lead to more out than in.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

iPad 10:07 AM 66%
overunity.com
Show Posts - Erfinder
overunity.com/15395/partnered-output-coils-free-energy/dlattach/attach/1463...

Messages - Erfinder

FireMatch

586 **solid state devices / Re: Partnered Output Coils - Free Energy**
« **on:** July 13, 2015, 05:02:42 PM »

In my opinion, that which makes the biflar coil special is its geometry, specifically the geometric relation that one winding has to is neighbor. That is the message, that's the message I received. From here we can begin to appreciate all those instances where wires, and coils touch, wires, and or coils which are supporting the movement of potentials in a unidirectional , bidirectional, or compound uni-bi-directional manner.

Through the combination of coils, geometry, and the proper understanding of the relation between the two, which includes but isn't limited to the manner in which the coils are inner connected, it would seem that we are empowered to place capacity where it otherwise would not be, during and or at instances when it normally couldn't be, or the opposite, removing it from the equation when and where we desire, it is for this reason that AC is the preferred means of excitation. Oscillating currents could also be used, however, a firm fitting thinking cap has to be dawned when contemplating their proper application.

This kind of thing would become useful in those instances where we know the circuit in question is operating in such a manner which yields out of phase induced voltage and current. The ability to generate and position capacity where it is desired would eliminate the need for shifting phase and possibly permit the utilization of reactive power. As it stands, we are all well aware of what takes place when we make adjustments in phase, the result is more often than not, the neutralization of the reactive power condition.

All that to say this, bifilar means two windings. When properly related to one another and the induced, this pair can behave as if they were a switch, we need only recognize the fact that one manner of interconnection results in maximum impedance, and the other minimum impedance.

Regards

CCKnife

Poplamp

Reply Quote Notify

587 **solid state devices / Re: Partnered Output Coils - Free Energy**
« **on:** January 23, 2015, 04:56:18 PM »

http://overunity.com/15395/partnered-output-coils-free-energy/dlattach/attach/146361/image//

ufomovies.info
magnet-motor-news.info
Free Energy Party
MovieClipsFree
deutscheahnen.de
TravelHotelsCheap
MoneyWorkHome
WikiPedia
Wedding Royal
IPads price
Android tablet computers

Press CTRL + d in FireFox or CTRL + t in Opera to Bookmark OverUnity.com

Products

WaterMotor kit
EnergyGreen

Statistics

Members
Total Members: 81702
Latest: **lampos**

Stats
Total Posts: 484458
Total Topics: 14253
Online Today: 44
Most Online: 103 (December 19, 2006,

> speaking of. My resonance forces a circuit element to store an amount of energy equal to that dissipated in the load..equal yet opposite. On the next cycle this stored energy adds to that from the source at resonance establishing a new operating theshold. It is simply a variation of Kirchhoff's Law which still sums to zero however where it sum's to zero has changed. The zero point of dissipation vs accumulation is moving through the system rather than being considered a static point. The law applies however in a completely different context and I have found context really does matter. The context defines what it is and how it must act in any given instance versus another.
>
> Interesting stuff... I always like reading your posts.
>
> AC

I will make this brief, as I do not want to derail the topic with this. As you have noted, my view has very little relation to what is considered as the norm. I will say this to you because I know you are listening. I am of the opinion that the Parallel and Series LC resonant "condition(s)" that we establish in our circuits should be viewed as an evolved,and highly "reactive" form of Inductance and Capacitance respectively. When analyzing a circuit operating in parallel LC resonance one should keep in mind he/she is observing L, and when analyzing a circuit operating in series LC resonance, one should keep in mind that he/she is observing C. In this wise, a truly "resonant" circuit is both parallel and series resonant. The problem that one will encounter is that it is nearly impossible to establish the proper "quality" of series resonance to partner up with the easy to establish parallel resonant condition to establish oscillating tank circuit. It was at this point that I realized that the circuits were only being considered from the "so called" electrical perspective and very little focus was invested in the magnetic. You see, between the coils, and the ferromagnetic material they were wound on, one comes face to face with the tank, and from here it becomes clear that series resonance cannot be made manifest by purely electrical means, the solution was Ferroresonance. When ferroresonance (simulated series resonance) locks harmonically with the already established parallel resonant condition, the law governing consumption inverts. Acceleration is accompanied by a controlled increase of input, its as if the induced augments the supply. This condition leads to what I call "runaway" the machine ramps up in speed accelerating till it is destroyed, behavior is similar to an unloaded series wound motor. It should be understood that the motor in question is likened to a shunt wound motor, and through the mechanism I practice, the device can be caused to operate as if it were a series wound machine.

At this point in the game, things take on a new twist, observing resonance in this manner leads one to conclude that we have made some serious oversights in what resonance is and how to use it. It is pretty clear to me now that there are at least four forms of resonance, three of which we are not taking advantage of. It amazes me to see how excited some get when they establish the parallel or series resonant condition alone. Never do they attempt to get both to operate cooperatively, sequentially, as the ultimate oscillator, a system whose operating frequency is not governed by component values as is the norm.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

581 **Reactive Power usage / Re: TinMan Generator Research Moderated Topic**
« **on:** July 25, 2015, 06:03:27 PM »

iPad 11:46 AM 55%
overunity.com
Show Posts - Erfinder
overunity.com/17119/pulling-energy-from-the-ambient-energy-field-using-a-coi...

magpwr
Facebook
Follow 3.1K people are following Stefan Hartmann. Sign Up to see who your friends are following.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

566 **Reactive Power usage / Re: Tinman's coil shorting circuit**
« **on:** November 14, 2015, 08:45:40 AM »

Not really sure who started this thread, the subject is about Tinman's shorting circuit. There haven't been many questions regarding this circuit, nor have their been questions ask regarding the character of circuit where the shorting method, in whatever form it may come, is utilized.

It's common knowledge that coil shorting works against the operator in those circuits where Lenz's Law is applicable. In the generating circuit under discussion, is Lenz's Law applicable? I'm assuming this is about the RT, so a simple yes or no will suffice. In truth, as the more intimate details of the RT are taboo, I would prefer the bulk of a possible answer to this question be directed at a conventional, and if necessary (I've found it to be necessary) modified generator. So to reiterate, have you or anyone for that matter, found a way to neutralize the negative effects associated with Lenz's Law, enabling you to effectively use coil shorting?

Anyone can answer....many of you are looking for the same thing....right...

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

567 **Reactive Power usage / Re: Tinman's coil shorting circuit**
« **on:** November 14, 2015, 08:21:18 AM »

Quote from: Jimboot on November 14, 2015, 02:41:48 AM

> or not

iPad 11:47 AM 55%
overunity.com
Show Posts - Erfinder
overunity.com/17119/pulling-energy-from-the-ambient-energy-field-using-a-coi...

Stats
Total Posts: 484473
Total Topics: 14254
Online Today: 44
Most Online: 103 (December 19, 2006, 11:27:19 PM)

Users online
Users: 12
Guests: 202
Total: 214

web000x
tinman
Erfinder
kEhYo77
MileHigh
TheCell
meteor
SkyWatcher123
mikemongo
synchro1
stiplanet
magpwr

Facebook

Follow 3.1K people are following Stefan Hartmann. Sign Up to see who your friends are following.

565 Reactive Power usage / Re: Inductive Kickback

« **on:** November 21, 2015, 08:42:11 PM »

Quote from: MileHigh on November 21, 2015, 07:47:22 PM

> Laurent,
>
> Please see the attached image where I annotated one of your scope traces to illustrate the flywheel analogy.
>
> There is also a very very important lesson to be learned here that I previously mentioned. Let's talk about an electrical coil and the negative voltage spike. **What is the source of the negative voltage spike?** Is it the coil that is generating the negative voltage spike, or is it the load that is generating the negavive voltage spike as a reaction to the pulse of current from the coil?
>
> Now let's go back to the flywheel analogy. We know that the reason there is a big spike of negative torque on the flywheel is because the brake pads clamp down hard on the flywheel, just like disk brakes in a car. **The brake pads are the source of the big spike of negative torque on the flywheel.** The brake pads are the **mechanical load** on the spinning flywheel.
>
> That is telling you that for an electrical coil, **the coil itself is not the source of the negative voltage spike**. Rather, it is the load that generates the negative voltage spike as a **reaction** to the pulse of current from the coil.

I'm curious.....Are you aware of a method which can be applied to electro mechanical devices which grants one the ability to produce "voltage spikes", a method which does not put the brakes on the prime mover?

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

566 Reactive Power usage / Re: Tinman's coil shorting circuit

« **on:** November 14, 2015, 08:45:40 AM »

Not really sure who started this thread, the subject is about Tinman's shorting circuit. There haven't been many questions regarding this circuit, nor have their been questions ask regarding the character of circuit where the shorting method, in whatever form it may come, is utilized.

iPad 12:07 PM 52%
overunity.com

Youtube
RSS-Feed
WAP2
Upload / Download
Google+
Links to other energy sites
Link Page
add your link here

Service

Profile
Member List
Who is Online?
Statistic

Support

Search
Help
F.A.Q.
Sitemap
About us
Terms of Service
TOS
Privacy Policy
Disclaimer
Impressum

Free Energy Streaming Media

TWIFE
SmartScarecrow
Dr. Stiffler
Lidmotor
Rick Friedich
John Bedini
Mopozco
ZeroFossilFuel
LaserSaber
Wesley

« on: November 22, 2015, 10:55:50 AM »

Quote from: gotoluc on November 22, 2015, 03:54:00 AM

> Could that be shorting at a specific time?

No. We should be able to short at any point in the wave that we choose. I find that in properly engineered systems, operating the switch at a duty between 0-100 percent results in little to no effect on speed of prime mover or its consumption.

In systems which are configured so as to facilitate partial induced field voidance (neutralization), I find that with increasing speed, and induced voltage, physical effects associated with opposition to change at switch closer, and opening decrease up to the point to where opposition to change manifests neither as a force which operates against nor compliments the prime mover, this is the condition I seek to establish the so called golden middle, the neutral position.

What I do not want especially in configurations which incorporate transformer action, (systems which incorporates a second stage of coils which are positioned quadrature to the motor windings) is a decrease in consumption, on the contrary, in circuits which make use of transformer action, I find an increase in consumption is desired. In such a system, the motor field excites the second stage of coils, the transformer action augments the generator action already established in the second stage. There have been a few demonstrations where consumption drop has been sighted as being a good thing, and while I choose not to argue with those who aim for this, it is not something that I practice nor recommend.

We need to establish the proper conditions between the induced potentials, their associated currents and thereby magnetic fields, conditions which result in induced potentials operating in parallel, and their associated magnetic fields operating in series, presently the opposite is true, namely, the induced potentials operate in series, and their associated magnetic fields in parallel.

Forgive me if this is too much information, and also for the lack of evidence of what could be considered as a claim. I am not here to debate an issue, nor present my work to those who in their quest for truth, only tear concepts like those which I present to shreds. I can and will share my ideas with those who are interested, however, the means and mechanisms through which I as some would say.....delude myself, these apparatus have meaning to me, and will not be placed on the chopping block.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

iPad 12:08 PM 52%
overunity.com

Forum
Updates
Free Energy
Youtube
RSS-Feed
WAP2
Upload / Download
Google+
Links to other energy sites
Link Page
add your link here

Service

Profile
Member List
Who is Online?
Statistic

Support

Search
Help
F.A.Q.
Sitemap
About us
Terms of Service
TOS
Privacy Policy
Disclaimer
Impressum

Free Energy Streaming Media

TWIFE
SmartScarecrow
Dr. Stiffler
Lidmotor
Rick Friedich
John Bedini
Mopozco

563 **Reactive Power usage / Re: Inductive Kickback**
« **on:** November 22, 2015, 10:53:50 AM »

Quote from: gotoluc on November 22, 2015, 03:54:00 AM

> Could that be shorting at a specific time?

No. We should be able to short at any point in the wave that we choose. I find that in properly engineered systems, operating the switch at a duty between 0-100 percent results in little to no effect on speed of prime mover or its consumption.

In systems which are configured so as to facilitate partial induced field voidance (neutralization), I find that with increasing speed, and induced voltage, physical effects associated with opposition to change at switch closer, and opening decrease up to the point to where opposition to change manifests neither as a force which operates against nor compliments the prime mover, this is the condition I seek to establish the so called golden middle, the neutral position.

What I do not want especially in configurations which incorporate transformer action, (systems which incorporates a second stage of coils which are positioned quadrature to the motor windings) is a decrease in consumption, on the contrary, in circuits which make use of transformer action, I find an increase in consumption is desired. In such a system, the motor field excites the second stage of coils, the transformer action augments the generator action already established in the second stage. There have been a few demonstrations where consumption drop has been sighted as being a good thing, and while I choose not to argue with those who aim for this, it is not something that I practice nor recommend.

We need to establish the proper conditions between the induced potentials, their associated currents and thereby magnetic fields, conditions which result in induced potentials operating in parallel, and their associated magnetic fields operating in series, presently the opposite is true, namely, the induced potentials operate in series, and their associated magnetic fields in parallel.

Forgive me if this is too much information, and also for the lack of evidence of what could be considered as a claim. I am not here to debate an issue, nor present my work to those who in their quest for truth, only tear concepts like those which I present to shreds. I can and will share my ideas with those who are interested, however, the means and mechanisms through which I as some would say.....delude myself, these apparatus have meaning to me, and will not be placed on the chopping block.

Regards

iPad 12:13 PM 51%
overunity.com

Gravity Machines

Tesla-Ebook

Quote from: gotoluc on December 04, 2015, 05:49:33 AM

Hi everyone,

I think the limitation we have is no one really knows for sure the exact behavior of a non CEMF motor. What we have heard most often is, the current shouldn't change no matter the load. However, mine does change a little, so does this mean it's not a non CEMF motor?
This was bothering me so I decided to take an off the shelf DC motor and observe its behavior when set to as close to the same conditions as my v.2 bucking motor.
Well, to my surprise I can't see much of a difference once everything is setup to the same.

You be the judge: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SozrH_IyPXc

Let me know if you think I'm missing something

Thanks

Luc

I figure since you have come to some pretty solid conclusions after making the comparison between your device and the off the shelf machine, that I would comment regarding CEMF.

CEMF is the primary indicator of the level of opposition to change in flux or current. Through it we are informed of the exact geometric location where the inducing field will climax (industry takes full advantage of this idea in their sensor-less drive typologies). Being in the alternative scene, we should and must see more than mainstream.

Using CEMF as an indicator of the degree and or level of opposition to change in flux or current, and taking the information it provides in pinpointing the exact location where the induced current will climax, we know beforehand that the induced flux is not going to be coincident with the flux found at the zero crossing, and in recognizing this, we identify the number one problem. That problem being that the point of maximum induced potential (open circuit), point of maximum opposition to change in flux, point of maximum change in flux, is not in phase with the point of maximum flux density, the zero crossing. We want the maximum induced potential to manifest at TDC, bringing induced potential (open circuit) and induced current (closed circuit) in alignment with the point of maximum flux density at TDC. The purpose of this is to establish true opposition. The induced field and the inducer field must become one. Nature doesn't buck, she establishes equatorial planes which divide, and equatorial planes which unite. We have experience with both, however, have gained little to no understanding from that experience. Folks want to believe what they want and they should, fact is, if Nature bucks we're fucked, but you all can go on thinking she does if that's your thing.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

iPad 12:14 PM 51%
overunity.com

Bedini Advanced Handbook
The Best Bedini Book you can get !


Ultracaps

Maxwell Ultracaps

YT Subscribe

overunitydotc
YouTub

movieclipsfree
HHO

Reply Quote Notify

560 **Reactive Power usage / Re: Sharing ideas on how to make a more efficent motor using Flyback (MODERATED)**

« **on:** December 11, 2015, 05:27:34 PM »

Quote from: tinman on December 11, 2015, 04:15:45 PM

> First,the magnets actually generate a current within the drive coil,and this is additive to the inductive kickback output energy-so the inductive kickback output will rise.

It sad that more experimenters working with pulsed machines don't know realize this!

Quote from: tinman on December 11, 2015, 04:15:45 PM

> Second,the power consumption (P/in) will also drop when a rotor with magnets is used,as apposed to there being no rotor at all.
>
> Brad

It's well established that CEMF limits the input current. When CEMF and its generating mechanism is "truly" comprehended, we will then be in the position to reverse the effect CEMF has on the input current, specifically, we should note current increase with increasing RPM and CEMF, versus the well known decrease in current with increasing RPM and CEMF. This would constitute something akin to a phase shift, far removed from the text book definition of a phase shift. This is the condition I establish in my machines.

Regard

Reply Quote Notify

561 **Reactive Power usage / Re: Sharing ideas on how to make a more efficent motor using Flyback (MODERATED)**

« **on:** December 04, 2015, 08:19:45 AM »

Quote from: gotoluc on December 04, 2015, 05:49:33 AM

iPad 12:19 PM 50%
overunity.com

Battery Recondit

HHO DVD

Get the best HHO cell builder DVD plus great Manual

PS please feel free to give me some pointers when you see necessary

Glad I could help.

What you are doing is one of many directions. If you take this to where I have been, you will see that its in harmony with what you have been trying to do. The way I do what you have been demonstrating is a more direct, and all encompassing method. When you get the magnetics in both the inducer and the inducing in the proper relation, you can take advantage of inductive kickback via transformer action. Doing such you can do the same thing you are suggesting, without sacrificing and or rerouting the potential generated at the switch. This translates into...work on the rotor, plus an electrical out, plus the electrical that you recover at the switch.....some would and have called this more out than in....I don't share this view, I humbly say its a step in the right direction.

Always keep in mind that we are not collecting the collapsing magnetic field, we are collecting a potential which is induced by the collapsing magnetic field. Since we are not collecting the field itself, we can position ourselves to take advantage of its inducing power. Proper phase relations must be established, or we cause the system to fight itself in accordance with the well established laws.

In the end, you will learn much from this if you choose to. I found that if you are trying to prove something to someone other than yourself, you end up making too many references to what we already know, this leads to the premature introduction of measurement equipment. I hope you leave the scope out of this one, and just feel your way through this one....its well worth it.

My time with the wave forms you are now beginning to explore have revealed a far superior way of doing things. One of these days I will get around to shooting a video of it in action. Don't hold your breath though....I take my time with these things. That being said....in light of my new direction, I don't recommend you get too comfortable.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

559 **Reactive Power usage / Re: Sharing ideas on how to make a more efficent motor using Flyback (MODERATED)**
« **on:** December 12, 2015, 09:01:15 PM »

Quote from: gotoluc on December 12, 2015, 06:54:14 PM

iPad 12:28 PM 49%
overunity.com

WikiPedia
Wedding Royal
IPads price
Android tablet computers

Press CTRL + d in FireFox or CTRL + t in Opera to Bookmark OverUnity.com

Products

Products

WaterMotor kit
EnergyGreen

Statistics

Members
Total Members: 81703
Latest: **Erik1909hp**

Stats
Total Posts: 484479
Total Topics: 14254
Online Today: 44
Most Online: 103 (December 19, 2006, 11:27:19 PM)

Users online
Users: 11
Guests: 179
Total: 190

web000x
Grumage

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

553 **Reactive Power usage / Re: Study of Generator Coil Acceleration Under Load (moderated)**
« **on:** January 16, 2016, 03:42:43 PM »

Quote from: romerouk on January 16, 2016, 02:55:12 PM

> The best way is to maintain the same speed under load while the power generated is of a considerable amount.

Exactly, meaning its not about speeding up at all.

Quote from: romerouk on January 16, 2016, 02:55:12 PM

> **This can be done using a small amount of power from an external source providing voltage while the coil itself will add the amperage resulting a magnification process.**

Now.....the cat is out of the bag....who is listening....who will be the first to shoot it down....who will be the first to run to the patent office.....

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

554 **RomeroUK pulse motor Muller generator / Re: Rotating Magnetic Field's and Inductors.**
« **on:** January 02, 2016, 08:19:35 PM »

Quote from: gotoluc on January 02, 2016, 07:02:04 PM

iPad 12:36 PM 48%
overunity.com

John Bedini
Mopozco
ZeroFossilFuel
LaserSaber
Wesley
freeenergylt
jonnydavro
GBluer
PirateLabs
diveflyfish
ibpointless2
PlengoFreeEnergy

Ron Paul 2012
NuoViso
Alex Jones Radio

Other Alternative Energy Sites

Peswiki
Energetic Forum
OUResearch
Forum
Panaceauniversity
JL Naudin
free-energy-info
keelynet
Prof. Tutur
Dr. Meyl
ou-theory
Fl.Sweet
solarenergynews24
solar-energy-news-24.info
gravitywheel.info
bessler-wheel.info
besslerwheel.info
UfoMovie.info
free-energy-news.info
free-energy-news.net

552 Kapanadze devices and replications / Re: Kapanadze Cousin - DALLY FREE ENERGY

« **on:** March 15, 2016, 05:41:54 PM »

Quote from: Dog-One on March 15, 2016, 02:36:44 AM

> I could say, "Why didn't someone just tell me this to start with?"
>
> To be completely honest, I think each one of us has to play detective and follow the evidence wherever it leads. Some may get their answer sooner than others.
>
> Where things become blurry to me is considering a single component that offers three pieces of functionality:
> 1. It is an inductor.
> 2. It is capacitor.
> 3. It is a mixing component that has a definite output mechanism.
>
> The third part is where things get tricky. The mixing must happen via superposition only. It cannot interfere with parts one and two--these must behave as though their counterpart does not exist. So one might be inclined to base their design on using part one (inductor) and just providing a secondary making this component a transformer. The question is, where then are the two capacitor plates? And do they interfere with the inductor?
>
> If we assume superposition is the principal mechanism allowing this device to operate as intended, can each tank circuit be adequately tuned and synchronized? Is it necessary for the frequencies of each tank circuit to run at some harmonic or can the base frequency of each be perfectly matched? The latter method seems to me to be most optimal unless there are physical constraints in doing so. These constraints may include:
> a. too small of capacitance for part two
> b. Q factor too flat for optimal resonance
> c. phase shift uncontrollable or non-deterministic
>
> Bottom line is to have a procedure in-place that will allow for adjustments until the principal is obtained, goal met; then check validity of this principal as a key basis for operation. A lot of work to do for sure. We have examples to work from, but if we understand what it is we are really trying to do, it's possible "the effect" will begin to manifest for many of us; then we will know we are on the right track.

You sir are asking the right questions!

Circuits must allow those forces which operate within and around them to do what they will. We must learn to observe the behavior of those forces operating within those circuits. We must familiarize ourselves with its needs, and accomplish this task before we contemplate building circuits which would enable us to manipulate and control the forces. Through our observations, we learn that control is limited to establishing specific conditions within the circuit, through and by which the forces are enabled to perform their function most effectively.

Superimposition's are natural occurrences, and take place often than not in circuits which are impulse driven. It is paramount that we identify capacitance and inductance in such systems. We must be open to more than that which we beat into each others minds. I am not qualified to argue with the text book, but I can say at least from my position that there is more to inductance and

Energy Sites
Peswiki
Energetic Forum
OUResearch Forum
Panaceauniversity
JL Naudin
free-energy-info
keelynet
Prof. Tutur
Dr. Meyl
ou-theory
Fl.Sweet
solarenergynews24
solar-energy-news-24.info
gravitywheel.info
bessler-wheel.info
besslerwheel.info
UfoMovie.info
free-energy-news.info
free-energy-news.net
wind-energy-news.info
overunity.de
Free-Energy.TV
free-energy.tk
ufomovies.info
magnet-motor-news.info
Free Energy Party
MovieClipsFree
deutscheahnen.de
TravelHotelsCheap
MoneyWorkHome
WikiPedia
Wedding Royal
IPads price
Android tablet computers

synchronized? Is it necessary for the frequencies of each tank circuit to run at some harmonic or can the base frequency of each be perfectly matched?
The latter method seems to me to be most optimal unless there are physical constraints in doing so. These constraints may include:

a. too small of capacitance for part two
b. Q factor too flat for optimal resonance
c. phase shift uncontrollable or non-deterministic

Bottom line is to have a procedure in-place that will allow for adjustments until the principal is obtained, goal met; then check validity of this principal as a key basis for operation. A lot of work to do for sure. We have examples to work from, but if we understand what it is we are really trying to do, it's possible "the effect" will begin to manifest for many of us; then we will know we are on the right track.

You sir are asking the right questions!

Circuits must allow those forces which operate within and around them to do what they will. We must learn to observe the behavior of those forces operating within those circuits. We must familiarize ourselves with its needs, and accomplish this task before we contemplate building circuits which would enable us to manipulate and control the forces. Through our observations, we learn that control is limited to establishing specific conditions within the circuit, through and by which the forces are enabled to perform their function most effectively.

Superimposition's are natural occurrences, and take place often than not in circuits which are impulse driven. It is paramount that we identify capacitance and inductance in such systems. We must be open to more than that which we beat into each others minds. I am not qualified to argue with the text book, but I can say at least from my position that there is more to inductance and capacitance than what the books reveal. At the heart of superimposition is the unity of capacitance and inductance. The unity that I am suggesting exists between inductance and capacitance, when established, makes resonance a broad band phenomena. I divorced myself from the accepted, because what I'm looking for isn't written down in any book.

The name of the game is opposition to change. We must evolve, we must rise above opposition to change, there we find we can glimpse that which we all seek, and it ain't running a few light bulbs, or a heater for nothing....that's the lie you keep being told, you keep reinforcing the lie, convincing yourself that you will be satisfied with a few lights and a heater.....what we are looking for resides in unity.

To each his own.....

Regards

Reply Quote Notify



Magnet Secrets

Lindemann Videc



Great new video from
Peter Lindemann

Navigation

550 Kapanadze devices and replications / Re: Kapanadze Cousin - DALLY FREE ENERGY

« **on:** April 01, 2016, 09:17:49 AM »

Quote from: Dog-One on April 01, 2016, 09:05:24 AM

> I'm starting to understand how this transformer works.
>
> Recall if you have two opposing magnetic fields within a toroid core. Most people you talk to will say those fields cancel each other.
>
> But if the flux angle is shifted by having the winding around the outside, the two fields will no longer cancel. Instead, they will form standing waves within the core. If you tune it correctly, the peak node of the standing wave will sit right under the output winding. Here you can collect the output power without having to deal with Lenz law. Why you may ask? Because Lenz law requires a moving magnetic field. You actually have two opposed moving magnetic fields, so which one will Lenz apply to? This is the beauty of the standing wave. It is not moving. It is created by two already opposing fields, that do not cancel. They create peak nodes and null nodes. You just need to find them and place your output winding appropriately.
>
> Now I must test this theory...
>
> M@

It this kind of observation which will open the door to our being enabled to use off the shelf transformers, not speculation, fact, I am doing it. As one is dealing with standing wave phenomena, we are not limited by the paralyzing effects of hysteresis.

We should link up, lots to discuss with a like mind. Also want to discuss a new switching circuit with you.

P.S.

Nelson gave them all a gem, one which has been around since Tesla. Newman mastered something similar, however no one really ever got their setups to operate as Newman claimed. I would like to show you what I am talking about, it will freak you out. The negative side of it is that its almost impossible to get the same effect using solid state switching. The plot thickens, now we can understand why so many fail, and we can gain a new appreciation for the switching circuit patented for that SERPS, a device which in my opinion is the same exact thing, brought into the 21st century using a solid state switch which behaves like a mechanical switch.

Regards

iPad 12:50 PM 47%
overunity.com

Arduino

Bedini

Bedini Advanced Handbook
The Best Bedini Book you can get !



Ultracaps

Maxwell Ultracaps

Quote from: Void on April 01, 2016, 04:22:24 PM

> Hi Erfinder. Can you provide a bit more info on this?

I made a mistake posting here, I have no desire sidetrack this topic. That being said, this response will be my last post, if more information is desired, that discussion is best suited offline.

My experiments indicate to me that off the shelf transformers can be operated in excess of their rated frequency if they are structured as tank circuits. I have a very different view of what a tank is and feel that it is this view which allows me to interpret the behavior of my system in the manner that I do. Owing to how I view and operate the tank circuit, I believe I am enabled to treat hysteresis like inductive reactance. Noting how opposition to change increases with frequency, this changing parameter for lack of a more definitive way of describing it, which has been compared to inductive reactance, is matched with a second parameter whose opposition to change is also increasing with frequency. This latter parameter is equated with capacitive reactance. The interaction of these reactance's results in the manifestation of a specialized form of resonance that I call broad band resonance. When this condition is established, the effect that CEMF has on consumption is inverted.

In my system I setup conditions whereby parallel and series resonance are combined in a manner which to most makes no sense. The most difficult aspect to comprehend is that series resonance cannot be established in the true sense, however, it is a pivotal aspect which cannot be left out. In seeking a solution I became aware of a condition called Ferroresonance. It is this condition which makes hysteresis accessible. In my system, Ferroresonance is used as a substitute for series resonance.

My approach is very unscientific, I am not into schematics, not into making measurements, stating that, most of the folk who have the back ground, and could see the significance of what I am suggesting, were just given the reason to "change the channel" as by my own admission, I am not willing to play the game as it is played. Over the years I have learned that playing the game as it is played doesn't generally lead to observations like those I am suggesting.

Again, if there is enough interest, a small offline group could be put together to discuss what I am suggesting in greater detail.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

549 **Joule Thief / Re: Joule Thief 101**
« **on:** April 01, 2016, 12:47:45 PM »

iPad 2:48 PM 41%
overunity.com

ZeroFossilFuel
LaserSaber
Wesley
freeenergylt
jonnydavro
GBluer
PirateLabs
diveflyfish
ibpointless2
PlengoFreeEnergy

Ron Paul 2012
NuoViso
Alex Jones Radio

Other Alternative Energy Sites

Peswiki
Energetic Forum
OUResearch
Forum
Panaceauniversity
JL Naudin
free-energy-info
keelynet
Prof. Tutur
Dr. Meyl
ou-theory
Fl.Sweet
solarenergynews24
solar-energy-news-24.info
gravitywheel.info
bessler-wheel.info
besslerwheel.info
UfoMovie.info
free-energy-news.info
free-energy-news.net
wind-energy-news.info

537 **Joule Thief / Re: Joule Thief 101**
« **on:** April 12, 2016, 04:31:17 PM »

Quote from: tinman on April 12, 2016, 04:11:45 PM

> OK,trying to clear this up a bit more.
>
> If we use a single magnet (as in my diagram),and that magnet has a tight field,then the transition from peak positive to peak negative will look instantaneous. This will also depend on the speed at which the magnets passes the core of the coil as well.
> So yes,in some circumstances ,the transition will look instantaneous,but the voltage must reach a value of 0 when changing in polarity. This value is reached when the magnetic flux through the core is no longer changing in time,and that is the point where the magnet is directly inline/or at it's closest point to the core. This can also change when very high rotational speeds are used on the rotor.
>
> Brad.

It would really be helpful if you were open to the idea that there is an opposite condition to the one you are describing. Specifically, you make reference to TDC being zero volts, I appreciate this as do most, but as you well know this is text book, everyone can read the same over and over for themselves. The opposite condition, namely, where TDC is the point of maximum opposition to change in flux, the point of maximum induced potential, is not discussed in the literature! An open minded researcher like yourself would be wise to leave room for the possibility of such, and not slam the door shut each time you refer to coils and magnets and their geometric relations and interactions.

Systems configured as we presently practice, are in my opinion half of the equation. Folk don't seem to realize that, nor really care, as apparently it's more important to defend ones position.

My two cent!

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

538 **Joule Thief / Re: Joule Thief 101**
« **on:** April 10, 2016, 05:39:52 PM »

iPad 2:51 PM 41%
overunity.com
Show Posts - Erfinder
Some Bifilar coil experiments



Magnet Secrets

Lindemann Videc



Great new video from Peter Lindemann

Navigation

534 **Joule Thief / Re: Joule Thief 101**
« **on:** April 15, 2016, 04:01:38 PM »

Quote from: poynt99 on April 15, 2016, 03:20:04 PM

> Does it? A **pure resistance** doesn't change its resistance value with frequency.
>
> If it is how you say "we know it does", kindly demonstrate it or link to an example please.
> Your question provoked me to think about modifying the impedance of a coil or transformer, so I tried to engage you to think about it too, hence the questions. I thought we'd explore the concept in tandem.

Why would anyone think that a true pure resistance changes with frequency is beyond me.....It's clear that "reactance" changes with frequency. The easiest and most straight forward means for manipulating the inductance of the primary winding is by placing a load across the secondary. The trick is finding a load for the secondary which varies with frequency, the net effect being that each time the current flows in the secondary, its in such a direction that it "augments" the supply, while at the same time, it drops the inductance of the primary, allowing for more current to be drawn by the primary.

The majority want consumption to drop, I am not a member of that school of logic.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

535 **Joule Thief / Re: Joule Thief 101**
« **on:** April 14, 2016, 06:36:34 PM »

Quote from: MileHigh on April 14, 2016, 12:08:58 PM

> If you could only understand the concept of an impedance change in the system then you would not be marveling at the "energy from magnets."

Forgive my intrusion, this post sparked my curiosity. I hope you don't mind my asking you a question. Please assume I am ignorant

iPad 2:53 PM 40%
overunity.com
Show Posts - Erfinder
Some Bifilar coil experiments

Gravity Machines

New Book

Tesla-Ebook



Reply Quote Notify

533 **Joule Thief / Re: Joule Thief 101**
« **on:** April 15, 2016, 04:24:41 PM »

Quote from: tinman on April 15, 2016, 04:07:03 PM

> I did quote effective resistance--poynt quoted pure resistance.
> The effective resistance will change in relation to frequency. This is up in the higher frequency ranges where skin effect starts to reduce the effective resistance due to the decrease of skin depth.
>
> Brad

You are in an area where I have little to no interest. I have come to understand that when one desires to operate at frequencies that high, its best to let the tank circuit or circuits generate them for you. To the best of my knowledge, "pure" resistors don't change their values, and I find that this is the only measure of safety one has, if they could change like reactances change, we would be in a world of hurt. Ideally, the circuits we should be engineering, have no DC resistance. The resistance aspect should be governed by a reactance.

Effects like the skin effect owe at least part of their existence to the mechanism of opposition to change, being informed of this, we have what we need to eliminate it (the skin effect). That which must be sacrificed is painful for the majority. Do it and reap the reward.

It's about perspective, to me anyway.....

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

534 **Joule Thief / Re: Joule Thief 101**
« **on:** April 15, 2016, 04:01:38 PM »

Quote from: poynt99 on April 15, 2016, 03:20:04 PM

Statistic

Support

Search
Help
F.A.Q.
Sitemap
About us
Terms of Service
TOS
Privacy Policy
Disclaimer
Impressum

Free Energy Streaming Media

TWIFE
SmartScarecrow
Dr. Stiffler
Lidmotor
Rick Friedich
John Bedini
Mopozco
ZeroFossilFuel
LaserSaber
Wesley
freeenergylt
jonnydavro
GBluer
PirateLabs
diveflyfish
ibpointless2
PlengoFreeEnergy

Ron Paul 2012
NuoViso
Alex Jones Radio

Other Alternative Energy Sites

I was a member of your private group, but asked to leave because you have nothing, and because I couldn't and still can't deal with your "me alpha" mentality.....

Quote from: EMJunkie on April 17, 2016, 01:28:56 AM

> Again, and again, and again, I refer you to one of my videos that is quite a few years old now.
>
> Solid State Generator - How to Build and tune a Solid State Electrical Generator!!! - Low Level OU

And over and over again I tell you I am a motor/generator guy, I tried to explain to you that the hast towards removing the mechanical aspect was a bad move. I try not to be as arrogant as you, but I have surpassed you with regards to your "self assisted oscillation bucking coil" that you claim, but never show! You and your sine waves, wheres the face palm smiley when you need it. I told you, but you are so damn caught up in that "look what I did" loop to notice, Nature prefers the path of least resistance, this leads to the blending of "ODD HARMONICS, sine waves of proper amplitude and frequency blend to create a SQUARE WAVE output. This is the signature of true self oscillation. Your video is lame, it shows "ME" nothing, it has not and cannot add to what I have learned from my time on the bench. To follow in your footsteps would mean I must take ten steps back, to do so would be pure ignorance.

Quote from: EMJunkie on April 17, 2016, 01:28:56 AM

> If you cant replicate my video and results then please feel free to visit another thread! I am not here to do one on one breast feeding sessions!!!
>
> Chris Sykes
> hyiq.org

Your arrogance will be your down fall pal. I contacted you when you started talking openly about self oscillation. I was overjoyed, finally someone is seeing what I am seeing. I was crushed after reviewing the demonstrations and conversations, you were no where near self oscillation, you have yet to demonstrate the effects of a full inversion of CEMF. Your wave forms are all sines...there is no wave mixing going on in anything you have demonstrated.....no Cymatics behavior to be seen in your scope. If you think I need you, or want work with you as you represent and carry yourself in a team, all I can say is

"drugs are bad..mmmkk"

The only thing I wanted from you was an exchange, a discussion, your tech don't match your claims nor the nose in the air standard you portray.

Stay arrogant.....

Regards

iPad 3:13 PM 37%
overunity.com

Tesla-Ebook



Magnet Secrets

Lindemann Videc



Quote from: EMJunkie on April 17, 2016, 11:07:03 AM

> I am troubled as to why Nature does not Use The Square wave for everything like you do? Maybe Nature has it all wrong?

Only you would think Nature got it wrong....

You read but you don't comprehend what you read, I said:

"sine waves of proper amplitude and frequency blend to create a SQUARE WAVE output."

I "do" nothing, the system does this on its own, you would know this if you had what you claimed.

You like throwing quotes at people....here's one I am sure you are familiar with...

"...the missing concept of "open-paths" (the dual of "closed-paths") was discovered, in which currents could be made to flow in branches that lie between any set of two nodes. (Previously — following Maxwell — engineers tied all of their open-paths to a single datum point, the 'ground'). That discovery of open-paths established a second rectangular transformation matrix... which created 'lamellar' currents..."

Can you pull energy out of a non shorted (open path) circuit? You could if you had what you claim.

Gotta love how Kron says: "rectangular matrix". Get that far and you realize that it doesn't stop there. With the sine and now the square, one now has the geometry of the two extremes.....(yaay). If you know where to look, and what to look for, you find that others knew too.... Did this guy know about the two extremes? I mean.....he was one the worlds foremost authority in the world of engineering....

https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/2/22/Da_Vinci_Vitruve_Luc_Viatour.jpg

Throwing that square and circle in ya face.....so to speak....

There are infinite variations on geometry which can now be tuned to owing to the fact that the circle and the square form the nodes of the circuit. Junk science.....I know...but you can't do it.

iPad 3:17 PM 36%
overunity.com

Very easy to get free digital money !

Amazon Deals

Amazon Warehouse Deals ! Now even more Deep Discounts ! Check out these great prices on slightly used or just opened once only items.I always buy my gadgets via these great Warehouse deals ! Highly recommended ! Many thanks for supporting OverUnity.com this way.

Magpi Magazine

Battery Recondit

I know, youre here for the Commedy arent ya Old Mate!

Chris Sykes
hyiq.org

HUH?! No mate, you mistake me for another, I'm **NOT** here for the soft gay p0rn....WTF!?

Reply Quote Notify

514 solid state devices / Re: Partnered Output Coils - Free Energy

« **on:** April 17, 2016, 02:13:15 PM »

Quote from: Dave45 on April 17, 2016, 01:25:01 PM

> If the circuit were measured using two amp meters one between the battery and the coil configuration and one between the coil configuration and the load would this be a valid way to test such a circuit.

Dude...DC is awesome...a simple pulse.....On/Off sets up so many induced EMF, identify all of them, get them all in phase, the latter will not be an easy task. Once in phase, **UNITY** is pretty much a sure thing.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

515 solid state devices / Re: Partnered Output Coils - Free Energy

« **on:** April 17, 2016, 02:07:21 PM »

Quote from: EMJunkie on April 17, 2016, 01:53:45 PM

> Friends then Erfinder?
>
> Time for me is critical, its taken 5 odd years to get to here. I know inside when the time is right! I get told!
>
> Yes, I hear voices and see dead people... Hahahaha no pulling your leg.

iPad 3:20 PM 36%
overunity.com

considered as a perfect rectangular waveform.

Poplamp

Quote from: EMJunkie on April 20, 2016, 11:54:09 AM

> The reason?
>
> A Square Wave can be defined on the Scope, its definite. As Charge Separates in Coils, as Electromagnetic Induction Occurs, this tends to round Edges, tends to not be Square.

CCTool

I totally disagree with everything you say about electromagnetic induction .....It's incredibly depressing watching you close the book on the subject, this one and others.... In light of this, it seems an exchange with you would be a waste of time, energy, and resources?

The scope shot you show is nowhere near what I am suggesting. I find it almost humorous that the "real" scientist, (you), demonstrate a half ass square wave where as the wanna be scientist (me) demonstrates not only an almost perfect "rectangular" wave form, I also show you the oscillations which proceed the waves metamorphosis.

In the video above you are looking at a very unique stand alone generator. This demonstration alone throws a wrench in your theory regarding how induction works. The squaring concept is further advanced when incorporated into a pulsed DC scenario where conventional generator action is involved. You people know everything, and as such you have collectively adopted the idea that Lenz has got to go!? The insanity is that you haven't recognized that you are hell bent on throwing out the very thing that makes the system special! You are hell bent on destroying your link with the infinite, you are hell bent on doing what you think is best, and for what.....Nature gives two shits about what you think.

LEDTVforSale

Quote from: EMJunkie on April 20, 2016, 11:54:09 AM

> An early Image of some of my discovery work is attached below.

That which one finds demonstrated in my video is some of my early work. I will not be so arrogant as to say that I discovered anything. At best, like most I stumbled onto the phenomena while looking for what I felt is/was truth.

Chris Sykes
hyiq.org

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

iPad 3:38 PM 34%
overunity.com

Battery Recondit

HHO DVD

Get the best HHO cell builder DVD plus great Manual

Reply Quote Notify

499 **solid state devices / Re: Partnered Output Coils - Free Energy**
« **on:** April 26, 2016, 04:28:54 PM »

Quote from: tinman on April 26, 2016, 03:42:14 PM

> One has to wonder as to why Faraday would claim such laws that do not conform to his very own invention-the faraday disc generator.
>
> There need not be a magnetic field changing in time in relation to a conductor,to produce an EMF or current flow.
> There needs to be only motion,and a fixed reference point to that motion.
>
> Brad.

You voiced this opinion a few pages ago, it was more or less ignored by the self appointed competent authority.....I replied, this time around Ill ask another question.....

Instead of trying to argue the case for how his law may not apply to his technology, would it not be wiser to investigate and determine how his law applies to his devices? The best place to occult something is in plain sight. We assume, based on our understanding, and based on our gained experience with the law using geometric relations which have nothing in common with those which he ultimately presents. From this we state with complete confidence that his law does not apply to his machines? Do we truly comprehend the law as it is penned! (this is not a question, as we don't get his machines, its clear we don't get his law.)

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

500 **solid state devices / Re: Partnered Output Coils - Free Energy**
« **on:** April 25, 2016, 06:37:29 AM »

Quote from: EMJunkie on April 24, 2016, 10:47:47 PM

> OMG - Erfinder Seriously - Go Away!!!

iPad 3:47 PM 32%
overunity.com
Show Posts - Erfinder | Faraday's Law | Some Bifilar coil experiments

April 16, 2017, 09:47:09 pm
Logout
Select language:
english-utf8

This section allows you to view all posts made by this member. Note that you can only see posts made in areas you currently have access to.

Messages Topics Attachments

Messages - Erfinder

Pages: 1 ... 29 30 31 32 33 [34] 35 36 37 38 39 ... 56

FireMatch

496 Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: Mechanical Resonance (Projects)

« **on:** April 30, 2016, 12:07:11 PM »

Quote from: tinman on April 30, 2016, 11:30:27 AM

> ..... it has to be an air core type.
>
> Im leaning toward a cylindrical magnet accelerating through the center of the coil,where it becomes the core it self.
>
> Brad

For what it's worth....here is my recommendation. I really hope you see and can appreciate what this text and illustrations are suggesting.

http://www.google.com/patents/US5625241

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

CCKnife

Poplamp

497 solid state devices / Re: Partnered Output Coils - Free Energy

« **on:** April 28, 2016, 06:24:14 PM »

SmartScarecrow
Dr. Stiffler
Lidmotor
Rick Friedich
John Bedini
Mopozco
ZeroFossilFuel
LaserSaber
Wesley
freeenergylt
jonnydavro
GBluer
PirateLabs
diveflyfish
ibpointless2
PlengoFreeEnergy

Ron Paul 2012
NuoViso
Alex Jones Radio

Other Alternative Energy Sites

Peswiki
Energetic Forum
OUResearch Forum
Panaceauniversity
JL Naudin
free-energy-info
keelynet
Prof. Tutur
Dr. Meyl
ou-theory
Fl.Sweet
solarenergynews24
solar-energy-news-24.info
gravitywheel.info
bessler-wheel.info
besslerwheel.info
UfoMovie.info

> Your right! Tesla is on a different level. However, if you compare the simplicity of the Figuera's devices you can conclude that they are simpler, safer, and more reliable for average power production/consumption. Most of the Tesla's devices deal with high voltage and high frequencies.
>
> Let me say it differently. Suppose there were two electric generators in the market today, a generator constructed based on Figuera's concept and the other based on Tesla's concept. I have to say that Figuera's would have the low power commercial advantages because of the following:

If you examine Tesla's method of conversion, and comprehend how and why he manipulated series and parallel resonant tank circuits, in both supply and load, you will discover how and why his methods if practiced today, embodied in a machine of proper geometric relation, and placed on the market, his (Tesla's) would usher in a new standard, superseding the standard he set with his poly phase systems.

Quote from: bajac on May 05, 2016, 02:51:49 PM

> 1) Figuera's device would be able to produce a 60Hz AC voltage directly. Tesla's device would require a conversation unit.
> 2) The lower operating frequency of the Figuera's device makes it more reliable and safer. High frequency switching can generate all kind of EM and noise.

Its clear that the Tesla tech when properly practiced can be designed to deliver any output frequency, and would require no external frequency converter. Its also clear that no one knows for a "fact" how the device under debate was operated, it was stated that the device used a noisy commutator.....this is not a viable comparison between the two, either can generate EM and noise, this is an engineering consideration. Lower operating frequency doenst make the device safer nor more reliable. We know this from experience gained through comparing low frequency and high frequency switch mode power supplies....for example..... What some seem to forget is those abrupt changes is where its at. That being said....bring on the noise!

Quote from: bajac on May 05, 2016, 02:51:49 PM

> For high power application the Tesla concept should have the advantage because of a much higher power densities.

Quote from: bajac on May 05, 2016, 02:51:49 PM

> I could be wrong but it is my honest opinion.
>
> I do agree with you that Tesla is on its own level. Do not take me wrong.

It's not about who is right or who is wrong, voice your opinion, build and share your work.

iPad 3:59 PM 30%
overunity.com



Magnet Secrets



Lindemann Videc



Great new video from Peter Lindemann

Navigation

> **Quote from: marathonman on May 08, 2016, 06:33:41 AM**
>
> Clemente Figuera was more of a genius then i first realized. very few people used a magnetic field to limit or rather in Figuera's case vary the currant on a continuous basis with part G. Nicola Tesla was one of them also.
>
> that is why i posted a pic of a bar being pulled out of a coil because that is what is happening in Figuera's part G. using a magnetic field to vary the currant between set N and set S.
> this can be verified by EVERYONE in this forum at home.
> as part G rotates it becomes the controller for the entire device using a magnetic opposing field and reluctance in part G to very the currant that is in constant rotating motion.
> all currant is available to the primaries because everyone knows currant runs from negative to positive so that leaves part G to vary the currant. as the currant travels through the winding it causes a magnetic fields that resists the flow of currant, so more winding less currant less windings more currant.
> also as the declining electromagnets are shoved out of the secondary the energy is stored in part G in the form of a magnetic field being replentished every half turn or every declining phase of the electromagnets.
>
> so that tells me that part G is not only more valuable them meets the eye, it CAN NOT be replaced with IC's.
>
> well at least on the low side anyways.
>
> this is a lost art that most do not know about and probably can't find in any junk books of today.
>
> "RELUCTANCE" RESISTANCE TO CURRANT FLOW ! "MAGNETICALLY"

Regarding G, if R were XL in ohms, versus R in ohms, one could begin discussing reactance, and more specifically, impedance, and even more specific, varying impedance in fixed quantities.

I don't view this mechanism (G and associated R) places reluctance at the central role. Instead I feel what one is dealing with is a mechanism where impedance is purposefully and meaningfully manipulated. A similar, simplified mechanism was patented and presented as a "regulator" in 1886. In the patent we find the inventor addressing the issue of sparking and how this issue is addressed using his protected method. The document focuses on the mechanical construction and materials used, however, it should be born in mind that the document is about providing a solution to a problem, it is expected that one reviewing the document is familiar with the devices which generate the problem that the patented device aims to solve.

If R were XL, current limiting could be engineered to be as close to non dissipative as possible, being based primarily on storage and opposition to change versus the depicted dissipation.

In the end my view compliments yours in that I too view the current can be regulated magnetically, however, I do not agree with the mechanism you have selected (reluctance). I don't think it practical nor even necessary to regulate current by varying reluctance. It is far simpler to perform the exact same task via controlled manipulation of reactive cross section, specifically, XL.

Regards

iPad 4:03 PM 30%
overunity.com

plus great Manual

OverUnity Book



Arduino

Bedini

Bedini Advanced Handbook

After numerous experiments I have come to the conclusion that the patent disclosure (it was not a patent application) has been altered. Some one published the information in 2013. I do not know who? A patent information disclosure document is not a patent application and it is a secret document for ever. No priority date is obtained by the filing of the document except to prove who is the first inventor when the patent application is filed and if there is any dispute.

Quote from: NRamaswami on May 13, 2016, 11:36:53 PM

> It is impossible for an electromechanical device like Part G which Tesla admits will create a lot of sparks to run indefinitely. It is against the law of wear and tear of mechanical devices. However Mr.Er Finder would do a big mistake if he thinks that this is the device of Figura.

We are informed by Tesla that commutators which have nothing to do with his patented designs suffer from sparking for reasons which were known by all whom worked with such appliances, he briefly outlines a few of these reasons in his patent. In the patent, he addresses the sparking issue, and solves it using a novel approach. With that being said where part G based on Tesla's design, it would not "create a lot of sparks", and in this case would run until the parts were reduced to dust via friction.

As I stated before, in my opinion, what one is looking at in Figurea's document, at part G, is a regulator, Tesla had two such apparatus patented prior to 1902. I recommend you look into it. His is a device which has many similarities with the designs being debated, however, the method by or in which it is operated is very different from what is being discussed here. We see that Tesla too had a very primitive beginning, he too varied the reluctance, but almost immediately recognized that while doing so he was also varying the self induction of the circuit. Seeing the superiority of the latter, it is my opinion that he dropped the variable reluctance approach. Soon after this decision, we begin to witness him laying the foundation for MagAmp regulation methods in some of his key work.

Quote from: NRamaswami on May 13, 2016, 11:36:53 PM

> I will not dare say this unless I have reason to believe. Today I'm not in a position to do any experiments as the team of people that did the experiments have moved away from me all due to different reasons. I pesonally cannot do much as these result in high voltage currents and I'm not a qualified Eelctrician or Electrical Engineer and I needed the support of other competent hands to do what I described to them.
>
> Mr. Forest.. Please read the porition of the patent quoted above. That is genuine information. Correct to my experimental observations. I did not know how to make the device run on its own. After all I'm not a qualified person. But let me tell you that giving a lower input and getting a higher output is easy. Very easy. You just need to open your mind a bit.
>
> Regards,
>
> Ramaswami

Some of you would profit form broadening your horizons.

Poplamp

CCTool

LEDTVforSale

Not trying to get into a debate, not trying to learn anything or teach anyone anything. These are my opinions, they do not require the approval anyone reading this.

A flywheel cannot spin in two directions at once, however, one can spin two in opposite directions at the same time and arrange them in a manner which will allow them to constructively or destructively influence one another.

In my opinion its not wise to compare flywheel energy storage with magnetic energy storage, there are many reasons for this, for the sake of this post I only refer to two or three. The speed at which a magnetic energy storage can be charged and discharged is orders of magnitude faster than a flywheel energy storage is able to charge and discharge. The reactive cross section of the magnetic energy storage can be manipulated on the fly where as the flywheel energy storage cannot. There's the issue with the magnetic field of a magnetic energy storage system inverting when the current responsible for its manifestation is removed. The potential which is induced by an expanding magnetic field (magnetic energy storage being charged) is not the same polarity as the potential which is induced by a collapsing magnetic field (magnetic energy storage being discharged). This latter bit is difficult pill for some to digest, I take comfort in Faraday's law, from it one is informed of the polarity of the inducing field via the polarity of the EMF it induces.

When we close the switch on the circuit which includes an inductor "something" is motivated to move in a very specific manner through and about that circuit. Whatever this "something" is, it tends to want to continue in this very same direction after the circuit is opened, whatever this "something" is, its crystal clear (to me) it's not magnetism, the magnetism inverted, that which remains nameless was motivated by the magnetism, it continues to move in the direction dictated by the conditions established when the magnetic energy storage was charging....

I don't need anyone to tell me what I can and more than likely have read over and over again on my own. What I have read, what we've all read, cannot explain what I am seeing on the bench, so please save the lessons in electronics, and "accepted" component behavior I am not interested. Please don't take this the wrong way, I am simply growing tired of coming here and seeing nothing but this game of who has the biggest pair. It should be clear by now that the guy with the biggest pair is the one who was kicked the hardest.

Fact is....we are all looking for something, most are looking for the same thing, it's clear from a casual review of the various topics that no one here has it, all the more reason to work together and not waste time nit picking bullshit. Stop trying to teach and correct each other, it's not working, its counter productive. If we work together and find it, but don't know what we have because we don't know what we are looking at....BIG DEAL, we will loose it, let them loose it! We will be forced to retrace our steps, and this second, third or 100th time around we will be mindful, motivated in by the fact that we did it, but lost it, and also motivated by the whispers of "I told you so....I told you so..."

Regards

iPad 4:11 PM 27%
overunity.com

image of the inducer operating within and or about a brand new medium. The projection of the image into the mirror and the reflection of the image from the mirror to the source have no negative associations.

We are taught by the system how to be free of opposition. Greed, ignorance and arrogance keeps us from comprehending the system provided solution to our present problems.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

480 **mechanic / Re: After all the Lorentz Force (of Lenz' drag) is a force...**
« **on:** May 21, 2016, 12:32:17 PM »

In a system designed to serve as an exciter, where the inducing and induced field interactions are orthogonal, where one assumes that the induced circuit, under "normal" circumstances is configured series cancelling, and the inducing circuit is from a magnetics standpoint "unidirectional", an inversion of Lenz's law can be experienced when the excited circuit is placed under load.

That which is being suggested here has nothing to do with anything that anyone has demonstrated nor discussed on this or any other forum.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

Pages: 1 ... 27 28 29 30 31 [32] 33 34 35 36 37 ... 56

wind-energy-news.info
overunity.de
Free-Energy.TV
free-energy.tk
ufomovies.info
magnet-motor-news.info
Free Energy Party
MovieClipsFree
deutscheahnen.de
TravelHotelsCheap
MoneyWorkHome
WikiPedia
Wedding Royal
IPads price
Android tablet computers

Press CTRL + d in FireFox or CTRL + t in Opera to Bookmark OverUnity.com

Products

Products

WaterMotor kit
EnergyGreen

Statistics

Members
Total
Members: 81704
Latest: **ionpopescu**

Stats
Total
Posts: 484502

Share this topic to your favourite Social and Bookmark site

Please SHARE this topic at:

0

NuoViso
Alex Jones Radio

Other Alternative Energy Sites

Peswiki
Energetic Forum
OUResearch Forum
Panaceauniversity
JL Naudin
free-energy-info
keelynet
Prof. Tutur
Dr. Meyl
ou-theory
Fl.Sweet
solarenergynews24
solar-energy-news-24.info
gravitywheel.info
bessler-wheel.info
besslerwheel.info
UfoMovie.info
free-energy-news.info
free-energy-news.net
wind-energy-news.info
overunity.de
Free-Energy.TV
free-energy.tk
ufomovies.info
magnet-motor-news.info
Free Energy Party
MovieClipsFree
deutscheahnen.de
TravelHotelsCheap
MoneyWorkHome

Quote from: ALVARO_CS on May 21, 2016, 01:29:22 PM

> Erfinder:
> Does that "inversion" means attraction force in magnet to coil before TDC and repulsion after ?
> I think (intuitively) that there is a clue in that word "orthogonal" (from a geometric point of view)

Inversion as I use the term relates specifically to the relation that the induced potential has to the applied. Here what I am suggesting is that systems be designed so that the induced voltage augments the applied, versus the present the present situation where the induced opposes the applied. Work should be a result of the induced and applied summing, versus the well established difference model.

In my experience it's attraction and repulsion, simultaneous, at/from TDC. In this scenario, TDC is the point where the induced voltage is max, as opposed to conventional systems where we find the induced is max just before and just after TDC. The latter I consider an abomination, as in it we are dealing with what could be considered as two voltage nodes on either side of a current node. Such systems, while useful to a very limited degree, are almost completely useless when viewed from the perspective of the things we identify with as fields. On the other hand, when we align our thinking and geometry with that of the things we identify with as fields, and establish relation which lead to the production of what can be considered as two current nodes on either side of a voltage node, everything begins to align and work in harmony. My general rule of thumb can be summed up as follows... the point of maximum flux change must be coincident with the point of maximum flux density at TDC.

I am suggesting we familiarize ourselves with a system where maximum opposition to change in flux can serve as substitute for the point of maximum flux density, or augment the same.

When we review the induced and inducer and their intimate relation long enough, we find that the changing field responsible for the induced, and the induced are one and the same thing. We begin to understand and or consider the induced as being simply a mirror image of the inducer operating within and or about a brand new medium. The projection of the image into the mirror and the reflection of the image from the mirror to the source have no negative associations.

We are taught by the system how to be free of opposition. Greed, ignorance and arrogance keeps us from comprehending the system provided solution to our present problems.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

480 mechanic / Re: After all the Lorentz Force (of Lenz' drag) is a force...

iPad 4:15 PM 27%
overunity.com



Great new video from Peter Lindemann

Navigation

Navigation

Start Page
Forum
Updates
Free Energy
Youtube
RSS-Feed
WAP2
Upload / Download
Google+
Links to other energy sites
Link Page
add your link here

Service

Profile
Member List
Who is Online?
Statistic

Support

Search
Help
F.A.Q.
Sitemap
About us

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

476 **mechanic / Re: After all the Lorentz Force (of Lenz' drag) is a force...**
« **on:** May 21, 2016, 08:29:52 PM »

Quote from: Dog-One on May 21, 2016, 08:09:42 PM

> Meaning at right angles to each other AND independent of each other correct?
>
> This would seem at first sight to be non-intuitive. However, I would bet my next paycheck Erfinder is correct. He's done the work required to flesh this out. It's very easy to fall into the trap of thinking these two fields are tied to each other in ways they are not. And as soon as you do that, you simply the formulas down to something that will never allow you to achieve the results you are looking for. The same thing is true with the original Maxwell equations--they are indeed complex, but contain the freedom to see the whole picture from whatever perspective you place yourself.

Aye! Right angles, interdependent versus independent, the two sides of the whole are inseparable. What two sides....?

Sweet reading you here. Our last exchange inspired me a bit....one of my latest machines is starting to look like one of those multi-layered coil configs that one sees in your neck of the woods.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

477 **mechanic / Re: After all the Lorentz Force (of Lenz' drag) is a force...**
« **on:** May 21, 2016, 06:55:23 PM »

Quote from: ALVARO_CS on May 21, 2016, 06:47:46 PM

> Erfinder: thank you for your substantial answer.
> It will be saved. (and studied)
> cheers

Until sense can be made of it, assuming sense can be made of it, its just words.....

Gravity Machines

New Book

Tesla-Ebook



Magnet Secrets

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

474 **solid state devices / Re: Re-Inventing The Wheel-Part1-Clemente_Figuera-THE INFINITE ENERGY MACHINE**
« **on:** May 24, 2016, 05:56:14 PM »

Quote from: marathonman on May 24, 2016, 04:09:25 PM

> and for everyone's information the Figuera device does NOT collect BEMF. "WHY" because the currant is constantly supplied to the Primaries just varied in intensity so NO BEMF EVER occurs. what does occur is the declining Primary electromagnet is shoved out of the Secondary and feeds part G.
> IF BEMF ever occurs in the Primaries it means that the currant was not maintained in a proper manor so outlined by Figuera and all induction will be lost.
> AC will kill the induction from the primaries because of the fact that it takes to long for the domains to flip. if constant pressure is not maintained between the Primaries induction WILL BE LOST.

"Any change in the magnetic environment of a coil of wire will cause a voltage (emf) to be "induced" in the coil. No matter how the change is produced, the voltage will be generated."

You really might want to give this some thought. Any change means exactly that, any change! This is followed up with "no matter how the change is produced.." A change is being made each time you vary the resistance, a potential will be generated whether you want it to or not, whether you are aware of it having been generated or not.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

475 **mechanic / Re: After all the Lorentz Force (of Lenz' drag) is a force...**
« **on:** May 22, 2016, 01:15:18 PM »

Quote from: Erfinder on May 21, 2016, 08:29:52 PM

> Aye! Right angles, interdependent versus independent, the two sides of the whole are inseparable. What two sides....?

Why so much energy is being invested in attempts at "proving" that which cannot be proven I do not know. It makes little sense to me, as no one can prove anything. All we have our are individual perspectives and that is a great thing. We are here to share our ideas, that's why I am here. I find it rather unfortunate that the room is filled with parrots who make it their job to squawk at others that which I'm sure they know those folk can read and or learn on their own!

Your recent demonstrations reveal what we should already know, your past demonstrations were close to revealing one or two things that most were not aware of! Go back if you haven't decided to do so already. A complete parallel world exists to this one and you were beating on its door.

The question you ask about current flowing when applied and induced EMF are equal is an easy question to answer when you view the supply, in this case a battery, from the perspective of the system which "polarized it". A DC supply plugged into the wall socket, is in reality placed across a huge generator hundreds of miles way...Strange how we can place a volt meter across a battery and read a voltage in the exact same manner as a volt meter placed across a generator coil, did you catch that? Probably not, was pretty vague....I'm suggesting a battery is more than what we thought it was, and not what we think it is.

How many currents can flow through a coil.....? I've read that current, interrupted, tries to maintain the same direction....bullshit....the applied "electrical current" is "removed", "interrupted", only the field which the current "setup" continues to exist after the so-called "electrical current" is removed. (makes one wonder if there is such a thing as electrical current...) If the electrical current was interrupted, the circuit literally broken.....how in the hell can it be maintained when the conditions which dictate its maintenance is absent?!? (not asking a question, just attempting to illustrate how we are being played for fools....) It's been said that the current doesn't reverse when the current is interrupted....It should be clear that I don't have any stock in the idea as its been stated that the mechanism which facilitates the flow of electrical current is removed, that being said, it's clear to me that there can be no reversal of the electrical current.

Magnetic fields on the other hand, don't play by he rules. Depending on the situation, they will maintain the same vector relations which dictate the creation of a north pole at the face of a coil, this vector relation exists during both the establishing of electrical current flow, and when the electrical current is removed, this is where 99 percent of the people researching on either side of the fence have stagnated. A collapsing magnetic field induces a voltage, whose polarity is opposite to that of the magnetic field which was established by the applied electrical current....I mean how freaking blind are we? Everyone sees this, and yet, no one brushes aside the dust which settled on the concept, and keeps one from seeing the obvious. Expanding north induces x, collapsing north induces -x.....It's right there in plain sight, but we refuse to accept it because the fucking polarity stays the same, oh and also because some smart fuck from back in the day penned something that those whom he viewed as competent and or ultimate authority canonized, and we dogmatized. That being said.... flux as current, does reverse when the electrical current is removed.....take it, and do more, leave it and stagnate....

No idea how to cut Tungsten carbide.....why would I need to cut this material? Forgive the rant....

Regards

Quote from: tinman on July 03, 2016, 08:39:37 AM

> An example of this is the belief that current lag's voltage with an inductor,when today i find-when you look close enough,that there is an initial current draw that rises at the same time as the voltage. As Mags and myself think,we believe this is the capacitance of the windings being charged.

Look closer.....are you absolutely certain that there is an initial current draw? I think what you will find, and the law will support that there is an maximum opposition to change from what we consider steady state to what we consider flowing current. Suggesting that the current was already at a level where any change would result in an immediate reaction. I find it fascinating that we observe the system from the "induced" perspective and completely ignore the "inducing" perspective. When we do decide to "consider" the inducing perspective, it is crippled by preconceived notions, and bad interpretations. The name of the game is any change, and "the effect" which is born out of "any change" in either the magnetic, or dielectric environment.

Quote from: tinman on July 03, 2016, 08:39:37 AM

> One would think that the same would apply for a capacitor,where it is thought that current leads voltage. But what dose it take before current can flow?-->is not an electric field needed between the plates,before current will flow?. If so,would that not mean that a voltage must first appear across the plates,before current could flow?.
> Anyway-food for thought.
>
> Brad

It seems that it is impossible for most to "consider" the coil as if it were a capacitor when it is open circuit, a literal dielectric energy storage, one whose capacity has a specific relation to the inductance of the coil and is for lack of a better way of formulating it, is "polarized" through its dynamic exchange with the inducing field of the rotor, the degree of polarization what we could consider as charge, is dictated by the speed of the latter. It should be clear to most that the coil in the closed circuit state, in addition to it now being a discharging dielectric energy storage, it is an inductor, a magnetic energy storage, one which is being charged by its own inherent, very real intrinsic dielectric energy storage, and this in concert with the inducing rotor field. What has fascinated me over the years is recognizing how much more current is flowing in the open circuit than is flowing in the closed circuit, and how there are two currents flowing when the circuit is closed not one.

With regards to the dielectric energy storage role that the inductor plays, specifically in the open circuit state, I perceive multiple capacitors in a complex series parallel configuration. Specifically, one insanely long series, whose collective value is dictated by the inductance of the coil. This series is then placed in parallel with a number infinitely smaller capacitors, whose values are dictated by turn proximity. Current need not flow to charge this capacitor, the only thing that needs to take place is there needs to be an expressed change in reactive cross section, or better stated, there needs to be a change in inductance at a specific rate, or the inductor needs to experience the equivalent rate of change in flux.

Quote from: tinman on July 03, 2016, 08:39:37 AM

> One would think that the same would apply for a capacitor,where it is thought that current leads voltage. But what dose it take before current can flow?-->is not an electric field needed between the plates,before current will flow?. If so,would that not mean that a voltage must first appear across the plates,before current could flow?.
> Anyway-food for thought.
>
> Brad

It seems that it is impossible for most to "consider" the coil as if it were a capacitor when it is open circuit, a literal dielectric energy storage, one whose capacity has a specific relation to the inductance of the coil and is for lack of a better way of formulating it, is "polarized" through its dynamic exchange with the inducing field of the rotor, the degree of polarization what we could consider as charge, is dictated by the speed of the latter. It should be clear to most that the coil in the closed circuit state, in addition to it now being a discharging dielectric energy storage, it is an inductor, a magnetic energy storage, one which is being charged by its own inherent, very real intrinsic dielectric energy storage, and this in concert with the inducing rotor field. What has fascinated me over the years is recognizing how much more current is flowing in the open circuit than is flowing in the closed circuit, and how there are two currents flowing when the circuit is closed not one.

With regards to the dielectric energy storage role that the inductor plays, specifically in the open circuit state, I perceive multiple capacitors in a complex series parallel configuration. Specifically, one insanely long series, whose collective value is dictated by the inductance of the coil. This series is then placed in parallel with a number infinitely smaller capacitors, whose values are dictated by turn proximity. Current need not flow to charge this capacitor, the only thing that needs to take place is there needs to be an expressed change in reactive cross section, or better stated, there needs to be a change in inductance at a specific rate, or the inductor needs to experience the equivalent rate of change in flux.

I don't expect anyone to take this as being anything more than the ramblings of an umpa lumpa....LOL. The few who do take it serious however, may begin to glimpse that which most are seeking but have yet to find. That being, the necessary perspective to appreciate the coil as being more than a simple inductor which opposes change in flux, no, we begin to behold it as a magneto-dielectric energy storage mechanism, a highly organized and complex tank circuit, quite literally, a FluxCapacitor.

To each his own.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

iPad 4:39 PM 24%
overunity.com

462 **Regen-X generator by Thane Heins / Re: regenerative acceleration**
« **on:** July 03, 2016, 11:40:33 AM »

Luc's demonstrations leaves one with the impression that there is nothing to be gained from the acceleration under load concept. As it is being practiced and demonstrated here and elsewhere, the only thing to be gained is a deeper understanding. Most who have been at this a while, eventually get pushed into focusing primarily on performing proper measurement of input versus output. The preoccupation with getting everything to conform to the established and more importantly, the "collectively accepted" has caused most to completely miss the significance of what is being considered as a gain-less concept.

From the videos provided by Luc we see something very significant, namely, we are brought face to face with the reality of a phase shift, one which the present geometric relations of the parts involved, will not allow us to profit from. We see the shift in his scope, however, we fail to recognize its significance, and as such, cannot envision a topology which allows one to establish the necessary conditions for generating a 90°+/- shift for practical purposes.

Those who have done at least some casual investigating probably recognized that Luc's test rig was a copy of what was demonstrated on the JLN website.

http://jnaudin.free.fr/dlenz/DLE19en.htm

JLN may not be appreciated by all, but the one thing he did that I really appreciate is highlight the significance of phase shift, and this as it relates to coil position on the core (material irrelevant) with relation to the rotor magnet, and or magnetic flux inside the core.

Luc demonstrates two loads, one representing a dead short and the other a less than ideal load. What he fails to acknowledge is that there is a massive difference in impedance between the two. The value of resistor A was higher than that of the inductor, and the value of resistor B was lower than that of the inductor. In both tests we find that the current always manifests in that part of the circuit where the most resistance is present. Neither this nor its significance was acknowledged in the presentation.

Listen to your machine, many have been down this and similar roads, most come up empty handed, and eventually bash the concept. There is a boat load to be learned from this concept, you simply need to have an open mind, tons of patients, and dedication to "your" cause.

Here is an example of acceleration under load using a low inductance, low resistance air core coil.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OOBNFgayaAc



Great new video from Peter Lindemann

Navigation

Navigation

Start Page
Forum
Updates
Free Energy
Youtube
RSS-Feed
WAP2
Upload / Download
Google+
Links to other energy sites
Link Page
add your link here

Service

Profile
Member List
Who is Online?
Statistic

Support

Search
Help

I am going to say this one more time, because it seems the point keeps going over your head. The point of my presentation was to demonstrate an alternative means for generating the acceleration effect using low resistance, low inductance air coils. Try and let that sink in. My demonstration is not about investigating efficiency, which many of you people seem hell bent on, I say fuck efficiency.

I am not looking for what you are looking for, and as such, your guidelines are meaningless to me. I suggested you revisit the past, and with your most recent post it seems you are stepping in the right direction.....again....but only to illustrate that you've been down this road and it was a dead end...waaah. Unfortunately, instead of investigating, anew, you opt to attempt to tell me what you think you know. You were close once, close to something amazing, but like all who taste it, you got greedy and lost sight of whatever it was, it is the same as this "whatever" that I am ranting and raving about. Maybe you know what it is, you were working in the right direction once.....LOL....I watched you dead end it in a device you called a rotary transformer. It's time for you to start talking about that tech again. This time around, no BS...no distractions, no clues, just pure tell it like it is, which is how you see it, damn what the competent authorities say, or keep it to yourself.

If there really was something to your rotary transformer, you know what I am getting at. It's what all this talk about CEMF is about. You are looking at it all wrong, but you know that, and you also know that you aren't going to convince anyone you are debating the issue with to see things your way. People like myself can see what you are getting at, but you ignore us too....

It's funny watching some of these discussions, now its parasitic capacitance being charged...strange how none are curious enough, bold enough, to ask where the "real" capacitance is, the one which is as real as the inductance! There is so much we are not seeing, and would if we could change our perspective for 5 fucking minutes! The books aren't going to help you here, nor are the measurement and data collection and simulation methods. All that shit has to be reinvented.

Here is where my research has led me, one truth:

. Opposition to change is at the heart of the matter at hand, and the matter is dual. We are looking for an unconventional LC, (sorry Conrad), we've been staring this thing in the face for years, but can't see that which is presented, versus hidden in plain sight. Find the tank I refer to, or not, to each his own.....

Time is too precious to be invested in trying to prove someone else is wrong, time is better spent investigating those paths that open up for you. I personally have no interest in proving anything to anyone, nor disproving anything that anyone has said. Look around you, how many ways did the Creator manifest the idea of a flower. Its purpose is crystal clear, one would think that standardized form would have been enough.....that's how fools think....

Regards

iPad 4:51 PM 22%
overunity.com

Gravity Machines

Tesla-Ebook

Reply Quote Notify

458 **Regen-X generator by Thane Heins / Re: regenerative acceleration**
« **on:** July 08, 2016, 05:49:31 PM »

Quote from: conradelektro on July 07, 2016, 09:11:17 PM

> Words come easy, hot air, a foul wind.
>
> It is not so easy to come up with a real invention. Words are no substitute, just an excuse for having nothing.
>
> Greetings, Conrad

My point, whether you accept it or not, whether you agree with it or not is that you are looking at the wrong LC. If and when you decide to look for the one I am suggesting you look for, then we can have a real dialog.

I am very familiar with your presentation, and know of at least 3 others who came to the same conclusion. I am suggesting you missed something. You can take this negatively as you did, ignore it, or consider it and find that indeed you have missed something of significance, the choice is entirely yours.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

459 **Regen-X generator by Thane Heins / Re: regenerative acceleration**
« **on:** July 07, 2016, 06:31:11 PM »

Quote from: tinman on July 07, 2016, 02:35:47 PM

> The only true way to confirm that air core coils(or any generating coil for that matter) alone are what is providing the acceleration under load,is to have them as a generator in a stand alone setup,where the generating coils are not also part of the motor,or placed within the motor coils magnetic field range.
>
> First you run the motor(a DC brushed motor in this case) at a set RPM,and obtain the P/in for the motor.For example,the motor draws 5 watts of power free running, at say 3000 RPM.
>
> Second-you couple the rotor(that houses the PMs) for the generator to the motor and carry out your P/in measurement again. We may now have 5.2

iPad 5:48 PM 14%
overunity.com

way.

Magpi Magazine

Battery Recondit

HHO DVD

Get the best
HHO cell
builder DVD
plus great Manual

Reply Quote Notify

5 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: Some Bifilar coil experiments**
« **on: Today** at 05:12:14 PM »

Quote from: evostars on Today at 04:58:51 PM

> Interesting input Dog-one and Erfinder.
>
> until now we think of it as a bifilar coil with great capacitance.
> but what if we start looking at it as a bifilar capacitor with inductance?

Before one's attention is focused on specialized geometry, one should consider a common solenoid style coil. Ask yourself this.....when are we justified in considering an inductor as though it were a capacitor?

Quote from: evostars on Today at 04:58:51 PM

> And what if we reinforced the dielectric field? with dielectric material. and by using bifilar foil instead of thin wire. to make the dielectric field area bigger.

This statement reveals that you believe that the field is a function of the material and not the activity of the circuit..... You want a larger field, increase the activity of the circuit. You want a larger field, neutralize the negative aspects associated with opposition to change! It was stated that we can get rid of the conventional style condenser, replacing it with a system of capacity.... Try and comprehend the genius behind that realization!

Reply Quote Notify

6 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: Some Bifilar coil experiments**
« **on: Today** at 03:59:34 PM »

iPad 5:47 PM 91%
overunity.com

CCTool

LEDTVforSale

2 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency**
« **on: Today** at 04:42:49 PM »

Quote from: Magluvin on April 18, 2017, 08:51:08 PM

> Ok. I just had someone ask me about what I have said and I should clear that up.....
>
> When I said there may be an instantaneous mag field when we apply input to the bifi due to neutralizing self inductance as tesla describes, I should have included the resistance that would be part of that RC time in the statement of which would kill the use of the word instantaneous.
>
> But that is not to say that if Tesla is correct that we could not get a much faster charge to the capacitance if the resistance is very low and the self inductance delay of currents were neutralized. The neutralization of self inductance claim is what we should be looking at as it is the claim. Im under the strong assumption that the neutralization is in effect when we first introduce dc current to the coil, not at resonance. If it were at resonance, then we wouldnt have resonance because the self inductance is said to be neutralized due to the capacity magnifying effect of the particular winding scheme. We need L and C for resonance. If one is not there then no ringy dingy. So when is it that the self inductance is neutralized as he claims? It must be at initial input or pulse that Tesla is thinking of.

Resonance is an interesting subject, we are informed of it being a single frequency phenomena.....blah blah blah.... if we were to really align ourselves with the core message, Tesla's, we would be trying to establish this condition each time the inductance opposes change! (this is when you are supposed to get up and dance....) This is new territory, uncharted, here who gives a shit about the single sine frequency as it relates to self induction and capacity of the system at the make, and at the break.....it does not apply, hell, capacity and self induction themselves are not what we are taught they are at these key instances! I have been saying this forever, FUCK SINGLE FREQUENCY RESONANCE CONCEPTS! You people keep listening to the wannabe authorities and are missing the damn point!

Quote from: Magluvin on April 18, 2017, 08:51:08 PM

> Thinking deeper, if the claim is true, how does the coils capacitance neutralize the self induction? Does it happen due to charges developing between turns, and those charges affect the self induction between turns? Like some guys here that say it is not flux cutting that causes induction, but it is E field, sooo, where is their beef with that idea???

Why is it so difficult to stick with what is right in your face....not a question.....fuck a charge....acknowledge and respect the induced and its relation to both self induction and capacity!

Quote from: Magluvin on April 18, 2017, 08:51:08 PM

> Like say if the capacitance of the bifi coil or even a regular coil of the same where we had a V meter between every turn, a meter that magically did not affect the circuit in any way, and the coils were 100t and we apply 100v, how long after the input is applied should we see max voltage between each turn pair? Is it instantaineous that every meter would read the same voltage division between each turn? Instantaneous? Or would resistance produce a delay in full charge for each segment vs the time the input was applied? And then further, if the resistance was the only opposition to each turn pair reaching

iPad 5:47 PM 91%
overunity.com



Free BitCoins

How to get FREE BitCoins ! The new digital currency ! Very easy to get free digital money !

Amazon Deals

Amazon Warehouse Deals ! Now even more Deep Discounts ! Check out these great prices on slightly used or just opened once only items.I always buy my gadgets via these great Warehouse deals ! Highly recommended ! Many thanks for supporting OverUnity.com this

Quote from: Magluvin on April 18, 2017, 08:51:08 PM

Like say if the capacitance of the bifi coil or even a regular coil of the same where we had a V meter between every turn, a meter that magically did not affect the circuit in any way, and the coils were 100t and we apply 100v, how long after the input is applied should we see max voltage between each turn pair? Is it instantaineous that every meter would read the same voltage division between each turn? Instantaneous? Or would resistance produce a delay in full charge for each segment vs the time the input was applied? And then further, if the resistance was the only opposition to each turn pair reaching max fraction of the input, and then current begins to flow as self inductance allows, would this not be a no brainer? Lets say we only read the 2 adjacent turns just in the middle of the coil, when we apply input, will we read 1v between the 2 turns immediately? Or would one say out of the blue that the voltage between those 2 turns wont reach 1v until max current and mag field??? I dont think so.

So the charge in the capacity between turns happens before current is affected by the inductance, and to say there is no current to charge the capacity would be wrong in my opinion, and experience. Lets say I could wind a coil as we have done with 1uf of capacitance, would current have to flow to charge that capacitance?
If not, then have we charged that capacitance for free and if we disconnect the input at the moment the charge is there, no current happened, then the thing has been energized for free? Na, Im thinking current flowed till the capacity is fully charged and then the self induction stops further flow and slowly lets current reach peak as we know it from there on..

Thats my story samson simpson. Think on that.

In a normal coil, there just may be the same effect but it is sooo tiny that if we dont look for it closely we may not notice, as the capacity in the normal coil will have only a tiny fraction of the input applied and further more, the actual capacitance is tiny. But as I said before that tiny capacitance becomes less insignificant when the potential of that same tiny capacity is at a much greater level. So the initial bump that may be there when measuring a normal coil when we first apply dc is most likely tiny as said before and very short lived. But it just might be there. Just a theory based on Teslas claim. But Im thinking that the bifi will have a bigger more noticeable bump. Im thinking on how to look at that. Would it be a pulse train that the scope will sync to or just a one shot input and can I get my scope to react to the one shot and hold. anyway....

You all read the patent....good....you all studied the patent....great...but you are taking it at face value....not good...he gave you a capacitor, that is so fucking obvious....HELLO!!! But not just any capacitor, this one is off the fucking chain. Tesla was a master at misdirection, as soon as he gives you a holy shit moment, while he has your undivided attention, he immediately fucks you by instructing you to make a connection between the two plates, he sings you a lullaby, that's right, he sings your lazy asses to sleep as he elaborates on how fascinating the connection is and how you gain proximity stress.....you take his word for it, wire the capacitor up and operate it like the inductor that it became.....you do what he says because hey...it's Tesla...stupid....

Quote from: Magluvin on April 18, 2017, 08:51:08 PM

I see the bifi as a series LC for the soul reason of if it were parallel then the cap would accept the input and not through the coil, but a series LC would. And a regular series LC has no way of neutralizing the self inductance. So Tesla says that the coils internal capacitance is responsible for the neutralization of the self inductance.

Mags

Amazon Warehouse Deals ! Now even more Deep Discounts ! Check out these great prices on slightly used or just opened once only items.I always buy my gadgets via these great Warehouse deals ! Highly recommended ! Many thanks for supporting OverUnity.com this way.

Magpi Magazine

Battery Recondit

capacitor, that is so fucking obvious....HELLO!!! But not just any capacitor, this one is off the fucking chain. Tesla was a master at misdirection, as soon as he gives you a holy shit moment, while he has your undivided attention, he immediately fucks you by instructing you to make a connection between the two plates, he sings you a lullaby, that's right, he sings your lazy asses to sleep as he elaborates on how fascinating the connection is and how you gain proximity stress.....you take his word for it, wire the capacitor up and operate it like the inductor that it became.....you do what he says because hey...it's Tesla...stupid....

Quote from: Magluvin on April 18, 2017, 08:51:08 PM

> I see the bifi as a series LC for the soul reason of if it were parallel then the cap would accept the input and not through the coil, but a series LC would. And a regular series LC has no way of neutralizing the self inductance. So Tesla says that the coils internal capacitance is responsible for the neutralization of the self inductance.
>
> Mags

As is, the coil is to be operated in an AC system. The coil as it should have been presented would be operating in a pulsed DC system or an interrupted AC system. Self induction, capacity and frequency are all related....till they aren't.... We are trained to limit them, they (the aforenamed) don't receive this training.... The LC you are looking for is series, but not for the reasons you are suggesting. You do not want to neutralize the self induction (😭 but Tesla said....LOL.....) you want to neutralize the "negative" effects associated with self induction...lemme grab a straw or two here.....current limiting....maybe....that ties into time constants...maybe....

Reply Quote Notify

3 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency**
« **on:** April 16, 2017, 08:07:51 PM »

Quote from: MileHigh on April 16, 2017, 07:46:11 PM

> Show us what you've got. What do you think the patent is all about?

You capable of keeping your mouth shut long enough for an idea to be shared? You asked what I "think" and not what I know, speculation is therefore the rule and not the exception to the rule....right.... You willing to sit there and put up with something you cannot substantiate with your years of experience? You prepared to "translate" blah blah into milehigh speak?

If you answered no to any of these questions....piss off..

iPad 5:48 PM 91%
overunity.com

Messages - Erfinder

FireMatch

CCKnife

Poplamp

1 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency**
« **on: Today** at 05:46:59 PM »

Quote from: Magluvin on Today at 04:59:28 PM

> In the first part and that last part, are you hinting that if we pulse the coil we would see other or another freq that will show up that is not the res freq we have been looking at so far?
>
> Mags

In the first part **I am telling you** to be mindful of opposition to change at switch transitions! The present direction of this discussion does not account for the opposition which matters. If you pulse the coil, **EXPECT** several frequencies to manifest, all or most of which should have a harmonic relation to the fundamental. You want to learn about real capacity, and interactions between real capacitors, learn to identify them when they present themselves to you! What you want is an avalanche in the dielectric sphere of influence, all sources crashing onto the fundamental. You may not get it, but I am hopeful that you are at the very least, trying..... I watch you people generate damped waves, I laugh and cry at your efforts.

In the last part, **I am telling** you that we do not want to neutralize self induction, despite what we are told by Tesla! It, self induction, is the mechanism through which we get what we want!!! You may not recognize what that is, but I am hopeful that you will eventually. **We want to neutralize the negative effects associated with self induction**.....specifically, current limiting, and time constants as they apply to inductors, resistance is a choice, and frequency is only important when you're suffering from single sine syndrome.....

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

2 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency**
« **on: Today** at 04:42:49 PM »

Quote from: Magluvin on April 18, 2017, 08:51:08 PM

iPad 3:17 PM 89%
overunity.com



Moderator
Hero Member

Posts: 5211

frequency

« **Reply #1133 on: Today** at 08:58:03 PM »

This is a quote from Erfinder that was removed while I was reading it. I was going to reply but it said post didnt exist. So I back paged and still was able to copy. I will clean it up for the one that removed it so i can reply.

"Moderation is a waste of time! You cannot expect to grow if you censor. If you don't want to read someone's post, ignore them. This place gets cluster @#$%^& quickly....which is worse, twenty pages of someone spamming, or twenty pages of someone slamming you with every text book ever written, showering you in s@#$ no one needs a lesson in, and at the end of the lecture you're still as clueless as the guy/gal spamming....

This is not the place for a productive discussion, never was, and probably never will be. Those whose experience allows them to"represent" the status quo aren't wise enough to shut the $%^& up and let people fall on their faces! The excuse that you are trying to save people from taking advice from the idiots is idiotic.... The excuse that you just can't stand by and allow the ignorant spread lies is a bold face lie. There are no facts. Think and believe what you want.... IF you take advice from a fool, and you didn't know the advice came from a fool...what does that make you...not a question.

That coil is the subject of this thread....stop listening to milehigh preach about single sine functions! I read the patent too....and despite what you think, Tesla said what he said for the likes of milehigh, not you open minded folk! For the open minded, there's a load you need to catch up on! But you won't.....you will continue to listen to milehigh and others who know nothing more than the value of silence....."

I am not a fan of moderation. And in ref to the same paragraph I have voiced my concerns with the crap that screw up threads, and I have seen that read only and or private threads help with that a lot. At OUR others make separate threads that deal with further discussions that keep the read only threads clean so it is easier to go through for those that are really interested in the subject. So Im not sure why the beat down there...

As for who is in the thread doing builds and tests and how they do them, well they are doing it. You are not. You are just doing this. Not a great example really. I thought we could get along but you are now just here beating on me. You do have children dont you?..... Is this how you teach them? Come on dude.

As for the coil, maybe you dont see that Im 'trying' to figure out other ways of approaching it other than what the pat says, even though one of the ideas is based on what the pat says. So what if I am way off of what you claim is the proper way to deal with it. Maybe I havnt got there yet and maybe never will. Who knows. What you claim may not even exist 'as far as we know'. How could we know as we are all screwed as you claim.

Anyway, I tried to be on a good side with you but you are just too much.

Mags

Logged

Magnet Secrets

Lindemann Videc



Great new video from Peter Lindemann

Navigation

Navigation

Start Page
Forum
Updates
Free Energy
Youtube
RSS-Feed

Pages: 1 ... 71 72 73 74 75 [76] Go Up

PRINT

iPad 5:58 AM 92%
overunity.com
The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
Credit reference - Wikipedia

« **Reply #1153 on: Today** at 08:13:53 AM »

Get the best HHO cell builder DVD plus great manual from FreeFromFuel.com

Get the best HHO cell builder DVD plus great manual from FreeFromFuel.com

Erfinder
Hero Member
Posts: 837

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
« **Reply #1154 on: Today** at 09:44:00 AM »

Quote

Quote from: Dog-One on Today at 08:13:53 AM

> Roger that. And it usually takes months to digest it all.

We must do it again, got somthing you might want to try with your ongoing water fuel research.....it relates to what Jack is discussing in that PDF he provided. I am sure you can appreciate the relation that effort has to this particular subject of bifilar coils. I have been working along these lines for years, and apply these principles in pulse motor-generators (what I think the Meyers alternator was...)

Regards

Logged

Free Energy | searching for free energy and discussing free energy

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
« **Reply #1154 on: Today** at 09:44:00 AM »

Quote

Featured Resources:

Navigating a Modern Financial Close for Energy and Utility Companies
Learn how to embrace the modern financial close with cloud technology. >>

Go Green: It's a Better ROI Than You Might Think
Think going eco-conscious is too expensive? Not necessarily! >>

Search 9:59 AM 71%
overunity.com
Attempt to replicate Steve's... | The bifilar pancake coil at... | 2205 Union Ave - Google Ma... | Credit reference - Wikipedia | eric dollard mutual capacity...

Gravity Machines

New Book

Tesla-Ebook



Magnet Secrets

Erfinder
Hero Member
Posts: 838

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
« **Reply #1163 on: Today** at 02:11:25 PM »

Quote

Quote from: MileHigh on Today at 01:53:02 PM

> There are billions of transformers manufactured every year for power transfer applications and they are not manufacturing Tesla series bifilar pancake coils, are they?
>
> You feel free to define a power application for a transformer and then compare the best conventional transformer suited to the application with the best TBP coil suited to the application and compare efficiency and cost and there is no chance that the TBP coil will outperform the conventional transformer.

Here is the problem....who said anything about power transfer!? Ah...I get it, that's what you interpreted from Nelson's demonstration. I am not a groupie, however, I do appreciate why you would think that (that this is about power transfer...a message you keep repeating), and hey, what do I know, maybe his (Nelson's) message in that video is about power transfer.....would be a shame if it was.... Knowing what we know today, who in their right mind is interested and or concerned with the rate at which energy is "dissipated"?!? I keep coming to the same conclusion regarding that question....."the ignorant".

Quote from: MileHigh on Today at 01:53:02 PM

> Just look at the geometry of a TBP coil with very small-diameter inner turns inside of larger-diameter outer turns. The small-diameter turns will give you a weaker, poorer coupling as compared to the larger-diameter turns. Plus there is flux cancellation between the turns of a TBP coil. These are all aspects of the device telling you that it will function poorly as a transformer. You are supposed to be able to look at cases like this and apply your knowledge.
>
> I am absolutely certain that there are better, more cost-effective solutions for power transfer than TBP coils.

It is quite fascinating witnessing how you are paralyzed by your years of experience, all that compressed time prohibiting you from truly appreciating what is right in front of you, you of above all were trained to see it and yet...you are as blind as those you judge..... What you describe is exactly what I want.....Everything you point a crooked finger at and downplay are the strengths of this "geometry", strengths of this "relation", not weakness!

Logged

nelsonrochaa
Sr. Member
Posts: 480

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
« **Reply #1164 on: Today** at 02:42:40 PM »

Quote

Quote from: MileHigh on Today at 01:53:02 PM

iPad 1:45 PM 62%
overunity.com

Bedini

Bedini Advanced Handbook
The Best Bedini Book you can get !

Ultracaps

Maxwell Ultracaps

YT Subscribe

overunitydot

Erfinder
Hero Member
Posts: 839

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
« **Reply #1175 on: Today** at 07:44:42 PM »

Quote

Quote from: webby1 on Today at 07:36:59 PM

Let me see if this makes sense.

MH states that if you use and view the bifi coil in the usual way you will only see what we already know and understand.
this I agree with,, the same old is after all the same old.

MH has asked what makes the bifi not normal, but then frames the question with the usual way of looking at these kind of things.

Others are stating that if you look at the bifi in a "new" way that you will see "new" things.

A question that has come up is why run the bifi at resonance?

Then a question to MH,, what makes resonance so wonderful?

IMHO it is only good for storing energy and or making a nice timing device,, that is when used in the normal fashion.

These questions seem reasonable,, and maybe MH should actually try and answer them instead of only repeating the customary view,,
what new views can you come up with MH?

Just some dumb questions to spur the imagination,,
what would happen if you charged the dielectric and then placed it between 2 plates?
what would the condition of the plates be after you did something with the charged dielectric?

Not saying these questions have anything to do with anything,, but sometimes thinking about something else stimulates the old noggin into action.

Try this....

Two "plates" separated by dielectric is a cap.....right....not a question....

Why then is it so damn difficult to see that the bifilar coil as two small cross section plates separated by dielectric?!? Stop focusing on the connection between the two "plates" and focus on the obvious, specifically, you are literally looking at two parallel plates (inductors in this case) separated by dielectric...

Logged

Pages: 1 ... 74 75 76 77 78 [**79**] **Go Up**

REPLY UNNOTIFY MARK UNREAD SEND THIS TOPIC PRINT

« previous next »

iPad 6:28 PM 57%
overunity.com

IMHO making free electricity is simple,, using magnets and coils even, but to then take it further and have a more complete understanding,, that takes genius and is way above me.

Logged

Erfinder
Hero Member
Posts: 842

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
« **Reply #1181 on:** April 22, 2017, 08:48:34 PM »

Quote

Quote from: webby1 on April 22, 2017, 08:30:41 PM

> I think I have made a comment or 3 in that direction.
>
> A fact that jumps out immediately is that the conductors are both a coil and a cap,, not 2 separate things but one in the same, from there you then ask where is the dielectric,, and then it goes on from there,,,

You thought I was finished? I wasn't finished, I like giving folk time to think about what's being suggested, I don't deal in facts, be careful when dealing in facts, they usually bite you in the ass, removing huge chunks of flesh....

Are conductors really conductors.....not a question....what are they conducting when they are supposedly conducting....not a question....They behave more like insulators when.....WTF am I suggesting...not a question...

The coil is both inductor and capacitor, simultaneous-sequentially. The same applies to that whose activity is restricted to the area between the socalled guide.

Logged

Free Energy | searching for free energy and discussing free energy

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
« **Reply #1181 on:** April 22, 2017, 08:48:34 PM »

Quote

Please Share the Love + Energy
and support overunity.com and get your
LED TV Sets , Video stuff, Gadgets and Audio Equipment Christmas Gifts over here. Many thanks !
LedTVforSALE.com 3D-TVs Bluray-Player
LED-TV-Deals Smart-TVs UltraHD-4K TV Android-TV-Box

MileHigh

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency

Quote

iPad 6:28 PM 57%
overunity.com

questions are the true answers. And the smart ones would then simply move on and get past the fetish over this patent.

Logged

Erfinder
Hero Member
Posts: 842

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
« **Reply #1183 on:** April 22, 2017, 08:57:39 PM »

Quote

Quote from: Magluvin on April 22, 2017, 08:24:44 PM

> Right. Nice depiction in characters. So we have the series caps from input to input but, we have the advantage of the unique increase in plate to plate voltage as compared to a single wire coil. And what if we were able to operate the coil a certain way, is there a way to apply input then take it away and see that half input voltage charge times the number of turns , or as you correctly say, plates? 100v in, 50v between plates times 100 turns. 5kv. I really cant see that anyone would think it cant be as I say. Im just goin with what Im seein in my jelly ball above my neck. Maybe your direction with it is different. But thanks for that nice contribution. It all shows that the bifi is a different monster in possibly more than one way. We continue on.
>
> Mags

We were informed of the relation that self induction has to capacity and frequency. When we try to comprehend what is "possibly" being suggested, taking into consideration all that we have in the form of information on the subject, we "can" understand that the capacity is distributed. We knew that already.....right? Here's the slap in the face....I am not referring to what we know! I've said over and over again that we should not concern ourselves with parasitic and proximity capacity values. I suggest we identify and utilize the real capacity of the circuit, this fell on infertile ground. Piggybacking what you think you know is more...lol.....

Logged

Free Energy | searching for free energy and discussing free energy

Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency
« **Reply #1183 on:** April 22, 2017, 08:57:39 PM »

Quote

Get the best HHO cell builder DVD plus great manual from FreeFromFuel.com

Get the best HHO cell builder DVD plus great manual from FreeFromFuel.com

iPad 3:16 PM 98%
overunity.com

Energy Sites

Peswiki
Energetic Forum
OUResearch
Forum
Panaceauniversity
JL Naudin
free-energy-info
keelynet
Prof. Tutur
Dr. Meyl
ou-theory
Fl.Sweet
solarenergynews24
solar-energy-news-24.info
gravitywheel.info
bessler-wheel.info
besslerwheel.info
UfoMovie.info
free-energy-news.info
free-energy-news.net
wind-energy-news.info
overunity.de
Free-Energy.TV
free-energy.tk
ufomovies.info
magnet-motor-news.info
Free Energy Party
MovieClipsFree
deutscheahnen.de
TravelHotelsCheap
MoneyWorkHome
WikiPedia
Wedding Royal
IPads price
Android tablet computers

Press CTRL + d in FireFox or CTRL + t in Opera to Bookmark

Posts: 846

Quote from: webby1 on Today at 08:54:08 PM

> Here are some more,
>
> What happens if you change the distance of separation of the turns?

Don't, keep proximity tight.....see below....

Quote from: webby1 on Today at 08:54:08 PM

> Can you ionize the air and cause it to move?

Yes I can...

Quote from: webby1 on Today at 08:54:08 PM

> Can you increase the voltage high enough to create Ozone?

Yes I can... and as this is in the atmosphere....the production of NO is probable, if NO is being produced, NO_2 is probable...if water vapor is present NO3 is probable...(Dog One, if you're following the drama, this is what I was referring to with regards to Meyers...)

Quote from: webby1 on Today at 08:54:08 PM

> What impact would Ozone creation have on the dielectric part of the capacitance?

Not so much the ozone, the ionization itself, manifesting where it's manifesting, puts one in a position where capacitance as a parameter can be varied via manipulating the "degree of ionization", this in addition to what we already have and are actively manipulating...basically shit gets stupid complicated, and you start losing machines.....

Quote from: webby1 on Today at 08:54:08 PM

> These simple little questions that you have not asked or pondered would be required by the scientific method,, and yet where are you in using that approach,, it is nowhere to be found in your approach of your statements of certainty.

Most astute observation!

Logged

iPad 12:09 PM 43%
overunity.com

only items.I always buy my gadgets via these great Warehouse deals ! Highly recommended ! Many thanks for supporting OverUnity.com this way.

CCKnife

CCTool

Free BitCoins

How to get FREE BitCoins ! The new digital currency ! Very easy to get

Erfinder

Hero Member

Posts: 994

Re: Kapanadze Cousin - DALLY FREE ENERGY
« **Reply #18795 on: Today** at 11:06:04 AM »

Quote

Quote from: Jeg on December 21, 2017, 07:52:22 PM

Hi Void
I was always wondering what exactly Tesla meant by his following statement. We know that Tesla is probably behind Ruslan's device which also selfruns. is it safe to assume that by delivering energy at short intervals can help the machine to sustain its own operation?

Tesla Quote
"..**The advantage of this apparatus was the delivering of energy at short intervals whereby one could increase activity**, and with this scheme I was able to perform all of those wonderful experiments which have been reprinted from time to time in the technical papers. **I would take energy out of a circuit at rates of** hundreds or thousands of horsepower. In Colorado, I reached 18 million horsepower activities, but that was always by this device: **Energy stored in the condenser** and **discharged in an inconceivably small interval of time. You could not produce that activity with an undamped wave. The damped wave is of advantage because it gives you, with a generator of 1 kilowatt, an activity of 2,000, 3,000, 4,000, or 5,000 kilowatts; whereas, if you have a continuous or undamped wave, 1 kilowatt gives you only wave energy at the rate of 1 kilowatt and nothing more.** That is the reason why the system with a quenched gap has become popular.

the lesson here is that there is a fundamental difference between the activity and thereby energy content of damped versus undamped waves...

Logged

Free Energy | searching for free energy and discussing free energy

Re: Kapanadze Cousin - DALLY FREE ENERGY
« **Reply #18795 on: Today** at 11:06:04 AM »

Quote

Bedini Advanced Handbook
The Best Bedini Book you can get !

Mail 7:29 AM 84%
overunity.com
Johnydavro
GBluer
PirateLabs
diveflyfish
ibpointless2
PlengoFreeEnergy

Ron Paul 2012
NuoViso
Alex Jones Radio

Other Alternative Energy Sites

Energetic Forum
OUResearch Forum
Panaceauniversity
JL Naudin
free-energy-info
keelynet
Prof. Tutur
Dr. Meyl
ou-theory
Fl.Sweet
solarenergynews24
solar-energy-news-24.info
UfoMovie.info
free-energy-news.info
free-energy-news.net
wind-energy-news.info
overunity.de
Free-Energy.TV
magnet-motor-news.info
Free Energy Party
Partyservice Berlin Bauern-Kate.de
deutscheahnen.de
TravelHotelsCheap
WikiPedia
IPads price

Erfinder
Hero Member
Posts: 995

Re: Pulling energy from the ambient energy field using a coil capacitor
« **Reply #171 on: Today** at 12:57:30 PM »

Quote

Quote from: Jack Noskills on Today at 12:52:52 PM

> I don't have camera, all the information I can provide is in the pdf:
> http://overunity.com/17119/pulling-energy-from-the-ambient-energy-field-using-a-coil-capacitor/dlattach/attach/165932/
>
> I don't think twisted pair is more effective than side by side coils.

The pdf does this concept no justice..... a video is absolutely necessary!

and I agree, twisted pairs are not as effective as side by side coils.

Question....

How far have you evolved this concept? I have something I would like to show you that relates to what you are presenting.

edit..

the video I made of the plasma arc induces nausea....so...I won't be sharing it.... pay attention to what you are doing in this area....you are playing with fire.

Logged

Free Energy | searching for free energy and discussing free energy

Re: Pulling energy from the ambient energy field using a coil capacitor
« **Reply #171 on: Today** at 12:57:30 PM »

Quote

Sponsored links:

iPad 9:09 AM 88%
overunity.com

CCTool

Thanks for sharing the demonstration.

You open showing that there's no continuity between the windings of your machine and the output terminals. I assume that your machine is " commutated " either mechanically or electronically to achieve this?

Cheers Graham.

I was pretty clear that at the generator output there is zero conductivity....zero resistance....

There is no mechanical or electronic commutation of the generator.... How was this "character" and "quality" of energy produced using an air core machine?!?! Don't tell me what you think, show me what you know.....

Reply Quote Notify

Free BitCoins

How to get FREE BitCoins ! The new digital currency ! Very easy to get free digital money !

Amazon Deals

Amazon Warehouse Deals ! Now even more Deep Discounts ! Check out these great prices on slightly used or just opened once only items.I always buy my gadgets via these great Warehouse deals ! Highly recommended ! Many thanks for

2 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: The secret to Overunity**
« **on: Today** at 09:20:24 AM »

Fame 2.0

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HqWdxVNnhDc&feature=youtu.be

Jack Noskills,

If you are watching....this is what you should be experiencing, assuming we are on the same page....if you aren't experiencing this, keep at it, you're closer than the rest.

to whom it may concern.....those electrodes are welding rod.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

iPad 11:38 AM 69%
overunity.com
ashtanga primary series - Google Search | Free Energy | searching for free energy and discuss... | The secret to Overunity

Lindemann Videc



Great new video from Peter Lindemann

Navigation

Start Page
Forum
Updates
Free Energy Youtube
RSS-Feed
WAP2
Upload / Download
Google+
Links to other energy sites
Link Page
add your link here

Service

Profile
Member List
Who is Online?
Statistic

Erfinder
Hero Member
Posts: 999

Re: The secret to Overunity
« **Reply #157 on: Today** at 05:09:53 PM »

Quote

Quote from: TinselKoala on Today at 04:32:33 PM

> Your demonstration proves nothing, illustrates nothing, because as usual you do not provide enough information to determine what you are showing and whether it is actually interesting or not.

...thanks.... and guilty as charged regarding not providing what you and your kind refer to as "enough information"...

Quote from: TinselKoala on Today at 04:32:33 PM

> There are any number of ways to produce what you've shown in your video. For example, just a simple, small, spark gap, off screen, in series with your wiring to the welding rods would allow you to show the same "zero conductivity or continuity" and "infinite (not "zero") resistance" readings from the meter. Then when you turn the device on and draw an arc from the visible welding rods, this small offscreen gap would of course be bridged, allowing continuity to the welding rods on-screen.

...you got it me.....wtf....

Quote from: TinselKoala on Today at 04:32:33 PM

> So you've demonstrated only that you have a high current output along with a small degree of HV necessary to jump a tiny gap. And that your power supply is somehow associated with a noisy motor that cannot sustain RPM when under the load represented by the short-circuit arc or the halogen lamp load. What has this to do with anything discussed in this thread?

wait....your opening statement was "your demonstration proves nothing, illustrates nothing.....", now you're saying I've demonstrated a high current output along with a small degree of HV.... make up your mind, and while your at it, how about you demo your noisy motor-generator, make sure its capable of producing high current and a small degree of HV, I want to see you melting a few welding rods... Under load, if it stalls its a fail.....

Of the few who can speak with authority..... you should know what's going on in that demonstration, and if not you should at the very least, being an authority, have a pretty good idea of what was demonstrated.....

tja....not knowing is one of the many possible reasons why you don't know how my demo relates to the topic.... my opinion, my addition has more to do with the subject than your electrostatic adventures.

Logged

iPad 12:08 PM 76%
overunity.com

Support

Search
Help
F.A.Q.
Sitemap
About us
Terms of Service
TOS
Privacy Policy
Disclaimer
Impressum

Free Energy Streaming Media

Dr. Stiffler
Lidmotor
Rick Friedich
John Bedini
Mopozco
ZeroFossilFuel
LaserSaber
Wesley
freeenergylt
jonnydavro
GBluer
PirateLabs
diveflyfish
ibpointless2
PlengoFreeEnergy

Ron Paul 2012
NuoViso
Alex Jones Radio

Other Alternative Energy Sites

Energetic Forum
OUResearch
Forum
Panaceauniversity
JL Naudin
free-energy-info
keelynet
Prof. Tutur
Dr. Meyl

Erfinder
Hero Member
Posts: 1000

Re: The secret to Overunity
« **Reply #159 on: Today** at 05:53:10 PM »

Quote

Quote from: Cadman on Today at 05:27:41 PM

> Erfinder, thank you for increasing my comprehension with that video. I am not blind, nor deaf.

As Tk pointed out...the demonstration was of a noisy device capable of producing high current and a little HV...(don't know how the majority feel about it, but high current and a little HV....sign me up...) (haven't seen anyone else do it yet, including TK, and don't think I'll be seeing anyone, TK included, doing it any time soon...)

The question we should be asking is how do we produce high current and a little HV.... followed by is this alternative method superior to what we already know, and finally how does this relate to the subject. I agree with you fully, you aren't blind nor deaf.

Quote from: Cadman on Today at 05:27:41 PM

> One thing that has always bothered me is the textbook explanation of cemf in a coil. A voltage is produced in opposition to the applied voltage. I always wondered; Produced? From where? How? I have an inkling now.

I cannot argue with the info on CEMF found in the textbooks. I will say that the subject of induction isn't limited to interactions between the applied and induced. I can say with authority that the interaction between applied and induced are not restricted to the applied dominating the induced. Speaking from experience, the induced can be made to exceed the applied, in addition to this, the induced can be inverted so that it works with the applied. At some point one must recognize what the "induced" is, and at that point, one can stop directing it or redirecting it, having found how to relate oneself to it, one becomes part of the mechanism through which and in which it, the induced manifests and operates.

Quote from: Cadman on Today at 05:27:41 PM

> I wish I was around when you posted all of your other videos.
>
> Respectfully,
> Cadman

check your pm.

iPad 8:05 PM 59%
overunity.com

First time I discovered this was using a 5&1/4" floppy drive magnet
took a few yrs to realize that it was Not the complex field of these magnets
But actually Any rotating magnet, oriented properly to the coils will do this

The field just has to be larger than that created by the internal magnets

The two fields combine to form a combined field, with the coil induction
forming a feedback flux between them. It becomes like a spring

Logged

Erfinder
Hero Member
Posts: 1005

Re: The secret to Overunity
« **Reply #177 on:** January 05, 2018, 06:25:59 PM »

Quote

Quote from: sm0ky2 on January 05, 2018, 12:14:51 PM

> with the wires 'open' circuit, you have flux, without current.

my research indicates that you do have current with conventional open circuits, its value is infinitesimal, we need only figure out how to make it useful.

in my open circuit systems, I have both flux and current.....

Logged

iPad 6:17 AM 100%
overunity.com

Magnet Secrets

Lindemann Videc



Great new video from Peter Lindemann

Navigation

Navigation

Start Page
Forum
Updates
Free Energy
Youtube
RSS-Feed
WAP2

Erfinder
Hero Member
Posts: 1007

Re: The secret to Overunity
« **Reply #185 on: Today** at 08:57:12 AM »

Quote

Quote from: blueplanet on Today at 03:05:08 AM

> No magnetic flux. Just current in the manner of charge movement from one place to another. And this current does not have to be from the same source because capacitor can be monopole rather than dipole.

Since my machines use permanent magnets, I am going to go out on a limb and speculate that there is magnetic flux operating in "my" systems.... I am pulsing current into coils....current in coils produce magnetic fields....soooo..... we aren't on the same page it seems....

Do you comprehend the difference and or commonalities between the flux of the permanent magnet, and the flux and current of a "current" carrying conductor of a "magneto-dielectric" system?

I do not acknowledge the commonly accepted view of the dipole....as such, the monopole concept as presented makes no sense. When we see the dipole as Nature produces and maintains it, rather than how the learned present it to us, we can say dipole and mean monopole, say monopole and mean dipole. Present geometry of both are fundamentally fucked, no parallels can be drawn between the two..

Quote from: blueplanet on Today at 03:05:08 AM

> I am talking about systems involving electrostatic waves.

Yeah.....here's the thing....I am not just talking about systems involving them.....I am demonstrating what one can do with systems which produce them..... fire breathing pulse motor.....show me yours!

Logged

Erfinder
Hero Member

Re: The secret to Overunity
« **Reply #186 on: Today** at 09:05:27 AM »

Quote

Quote from: sm0kv2 on Today at 03:52:11 AM

Facebook
Follow 3K people are following Stefan Hartmann. Sign Up to see who your friends are following.

Erfinder
Hero Member
Posts: 1008

Re: The secret to Overunity
« **Reply #191 on: Today** at 09:06:46 AM »

Quote

Quote from: Cadman on January 07, 2018, 11:50:31 PM

> Erfinder, my cup is empty, just ask anybody.
>
> When certain people were investigating the harm done when high voltage DC was suddenly switched onto a line it was reported that stinging rays were experienced.

Whether systems under observation are supplied by AC or DC sources, these systems should always be considered as though they were reactive. Analyze your circuit from the perspective of their reactive components.

From your example, borrowed from "Secrets of Cold War Technology", it is assumed that the HVDC system is open circuit, what the circuit actually consists of is anyone's guess. When the switch is closed, whether it is shorted on itself in the literal sense, or shorted to transition from a series to a parallel tank (an idea repeated over and over again...), the latter is more likely, advantage/leverage can be generated in the latter, the HVDC system opposes change in potential.

What is the result of opposition to change in potential?

Quote from: Cadman on January 07, 2018, 11:50:31 PM

> [/font][/size]I think that was an electrostatic effect, the sudden increase in potential causing an electrostatic field to explode from the wire. That field would attract the opposite charge to the wire and I think this is where cemf comes from.
>
> Is this correct?

When the switch closes, the established potential drops across the system impedance. The mechanism responsible for causing what you call the "electrostatic field" to explode from the wire can only be found when we correctly identify the true capacity of the system.

CEMF is born out of opposition to change in current or magnetic flux....as you haven't included a changing magnetic flux, I will not elaborate on it.

Logged

iPad 8:45 PM 50%
overunity.com

Erfinder
Hero Member
Posts: 1015

Re: The secret to Overunity
« **Reply #208 on:** January 11, 2018, 10:14:16 PM »

Quote

Against my better judgment I am going to reply to this post of yours. Please do not interpret it as the beginnings of an exchange, we have been down that road, we have nothing in common, I do not care to repeat any of our low moments. This reply is to establish my position with regards to some of the statements you've made.

Quote from: webby1 on January 11, 2018, 06:30:09 PM

> I am trying to get a better appreciation for the difference between 2 words.
> Opposite
> Opposition
> Opposite forces can be complimentary, push-pull, positive-negative,,,
> Oppositional forces preclude either from doing work.
> My take so far is that if you build a complimentary system "you" put in all the energy and as such "you" can take it back out, whereas if you build an oppositional system "you" put in no energy do to no change in some part of the system that the opposition precludes.

if you say so..... the idea I see repeated often is the majority cannot identify unity in what they consider opposite or oppositional.

Quote from: webby1 on January 11, 2018, 06:30:09 PM

> so, **for every action there is an opposing reaction**, could there also be a complimentary condition as well?

One can setup a relatively simple experiment and from said experiment inform oneself of the inaccuracy of the above stated in bold. We must recognize as fact that reaction is simultaneous-sequential with action! Our inability to observe this on the bench lies in our lack of understanding, and this coupled with poor experiments to verify the same.

Quote from: webby1 on January 11, 2018, 06:30:09 PM

> If so, could the input be an oppositional condition where the Universe supplies the complimentary component?
> This is what I am playing with in the mechanical realm using levers and gravity, looking for the Universe to supply a reactionary response.

I find it curious how from a comfortable position of ignorance, we contemplate the possibility of the universe aiding us in perpetuating our ignorance....
The universe isn't going to help anyone, shouldn't be expected to assist anyone who isn't in the position to help him/herself.

iPad 8:57 PM 41%
overunity.com

artv

Good luck with that.

Reply Quote Notify

Battery Recondit

320 **solid state devices / Re: ENERGY AMPLIFICATION**
« **on:** February 28, 2017, 09:05:06 AM »

Quote from: shylo on February 28, 2017, 02:28:54 AM

> Is heat not a form of energy?
> Or better yet , the disappation?
> Utilize it all.
> artv

The energy in the flame is to be directed into a suitable capacitive storage. The accumulated is to be periodically discharged back through the circuit which charged it. The supply can be inserted in the discharge path. The net effect being that the cap dump recharges the supply while simultaneously augmenting torque and speed of the device.

Arduino

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

Bedini

321 **mechanic / Re: Reviewing Pulse Motor Circuit Ideas and Theory**
« **on:** February 27, 2017, 04:29:20 PM »

Quote from: tinman on February 27, 2017, 12:31:41 AM

> @MH
>
> Could you explain as to why you believe that the circuit i posted looks like it wouldnt work?.

iPad 9:14 PM 39%
overunity.com

Quote from: Dog-One on April 16, 2017, 03:18:44 PM

I was thinking about this very thing the other day.

Resistance, or maybe better stated, impedance, is an interesting thing when we consider capacitors.

A fully charged capacitor as a load provides very high resistance.
A fully discharged capacitor as a load provides a very low resistance.

So resistance in this scenario can actually be seen as the state of charge on a capacitor.

Quite a long time ago, Erfinder was explaining to me how it is important to keep a capacitor charged at all times in order for the resonant circuit to perform well. There's a voltage point at which if you pull any more energy from the capacitor, the resonant circuit becomes too damped and dies off. But if you stay above this voltage point, the resonant circuit remains undamped and will continue to oscillate freely. So if we attempt to extract any energy from the capacitor, we must do it via voltage regulation--only pull the voltage down to the threshold and no more.

Doug Konzen use the concept of switching between multiple capacitors so that energy being extracted is never coming from the same capacitor that is currently being charged. **Still, we cannot connect a depleted capacitor back to the resonant circuit, so when a charged capacitor is switched out and we begin extracting energy from it, we must be careful to only draw it down to our known threshold.** That way when we switch it back in to be recharged, it doesn't dampen the resonance.

The bold was the standard....I am beyond that now, it has been discovered that if the circuit doing the charging is predominantly capacitive reactive, you can extract energy from the charged capacitor and do not need to recognize a threshold. Dead shorting the charge cap has no effect on the circuit charging it. Current produced within and by a capacitive system is fundamentally different than that which is operating in an inductive system. The capacitor isn't storing current, and as such, it cannot generate those conditions which plague inductive reactive systems.

Resonance needs to be a concept which we see operating across the entire spectrum that the system is transitioning through and not just frequencies associated with parasitic and proximity relations....

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

283 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: The bifilar pancake coil at its resonant frequency**

iPad 9:50 PM 36%
overunity.com

YT Subscribe

overunitydot

YouTub

Gravity Machines

New Book

Tesla-Ebook



Magnet Secrets

Quote from: Erfinder on October 06, 2017, 04:13:32 PM

> If you build the circuit like it is in the patent, substituting the motor for a single coil (for ease of familiarization purposes) you will experience exactly what I said will happen. Specifically, with the switch "OPEN", an empty capacitor is inserted in the circuit, it will charge to the supply potential, through the motor and transformer, a magnet placed on the coil can be thrown across the room when charging a capacitor of moderate capacity, and a neon will fire when placed on the secondary of the transformer. From this point, you can replace the coil with a properly selected motor.

For the record, you quoted from a exchange I was having with web000x..... (link to the specific post)
http://overunity.com/6763/energy-amplification/msg511505/#msg511505
I was was talking to him and not the community. I made a suggestion for him, one which would allow him to experience the motor rotating during the cap charging phase. In his drawing he states:

Quote:

"Battery charges cap through an anti-series (anti-series is a term I used in offline discussions with him) coil configuration, creating a magnetic null condition. No motoring during this charging phase."

end quote.

In my response I provided steps which "could" be taken which would enable him to experience motoring during the charging phase. The first step would be to get the system configured right so that it would throw a magnet. To assist him in understanding, I suggested he use a simplified setup (for ease of familiarization), the motor was to be replaced with a coil, the coil had a magnet placed on it. When setup and operated correctly, the cap would charge through the coil and primary, throwing the magnet, and firing a neon placed on the secondary. I concluded this by telling him to replace the coil with a proper motor. I assumed that if he made it this far, this simple experiment would highlight where he went wrong, and he would be back on track with a motor that received motivating impulses during both charging and discharging cycles.

I am only offering my perspective on the subject. I know what I can do. I am only asking folk to "consider" as I always have. Taking what I say out of context will not get you where I want you to be.

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

iPad 9:56 PM 35%
overunity.com

Navigation

Start Page
Forum
Updates
Free Energy
Youtube
RSS-Feed
WAP2
Upload / Download
Google+
Links to other energy sites
Link Page
add your link here

Service

Profile
Member List
Who is Online?
Statistic

Support

Search
Help
F.A.Q.
Sitemap
About us
Terms of Service
TOS
Privacy Policy
Disclaimer
Impressum

Free Energy Streaming Media

Dr. Stiffler
Lidmotor

Quote from: Magluvin on October 20, 2017, 12:48:57 PM

> When the motor closes the switch, it basically puts the motor windings directly across the input 'through the primary of the transformer thus causing the motor to run.

UH....WTF?!?

When the switch closes, it, the switch, places the motor across the supply, simultaneously, it places the cap across the primary.... focus..... we are looking at two circuits during the instance of switch closure, the motor and supply representing one circuit, and the cap and primary representing the other, the switch (controller) being common to both.

The motor and primary become a "functioning series circuit" when the switch opens, when the now empty capacitor is allowed to complete the circuit to the supply through the series connected primary and motor.

I have tried to paint the significance of this picture time and time again, but am constantly opposed by those whom I am positive have yet to truly immerse, and lose themselves (literally) within this subject.

When the switch opens allowing the cap to charge to the supply potential, the current, manifesting out of opposition to change in voltage, moves through the serial connected motor and primary, causing the motor to rotate, and exciting the primary. The fact that both motor and primary are excited during the cap charging cycle is overlooked by all! Another overlooked aspect, the cap charging current is not motivated entirely by the supply potential, it is motivated by the induced potential generated by the collapsing field of the coil. This "mixing" of energies, (for lack of a more descriptive way of formulating it) how and when it is to be accomplished, and through which circuit(s) it is to propagate through is one of the primary lessons taught by this patent.

I hope you read this and it clicks...I hope you read this and realize how mistaken you are in thinking that you can perform the tasks which need to be performed without the motor....

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

199 **solid state devices / Re: ENERGY AMPLIFICATION**
« **on:** October 18, 2017, 05:26:20 PM »

Free BitCoins

How to get FREE BitCoins ! The new digital currency ! Very easy to get free digital money !

Amazon Deals

Amazon Warehouse Deals ! Now even more Deep Discounts ! Check out these great prices on slightly used or just opened once only items.I always buy my gadgets via these great Warehouse deals ! Highly recommended ! Many thanks for supporting OverUnity.com this

184 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: The Old Standard Ignition System. Battery. Coil. Points. And Condenser....**

« **on:** October 27, 2017, 05:37:48 PM »

Quote from: Magluvin on October 27, 2017, 05:06:08 PM

> Well read that info and tell me what you think,
>
> I asked if you have seen a Bedini motor because you seemed to indicate how we can get more out of this other than a spark like a bedini motor as if you have seen a bedini motor put out more as in ou? If you havnt seen it for real do so then you are like the rest of us and cant really refer to the Bedini motor as an ou device example.
>
> Mags

I consider the Bedini SG to be a form of "rotary transformer", a concept which has yet to be demonstrated operating at its optimum, let alone fullest potential. It should be understood that the terms "rotary transformer" as I use them, are not as of yet, nor in any foreseeable future the private property of anyone nor any group researching the same that I am presently aware of. It is my firm understanding that there are a multiplicity of methods and apparatus which can be classified as "rotary transformer". I say this so that it's clear that my use both in word and in deed, of the terms differs from how it may be used by others.

Regarding the Bedini SG, the concept of recovery is fundamentally flawed in my opinion..... The answer to the question of what exactly is being recovered, and the mechanism through which this is made possible is extremely vague. From this position it becomes difficult to impossible to properly orient oneself so as to be in the best position to profit from and through reflection. Recovery as I have come to understand it is not as simple as we have been led to believe. It is multifaceted, and requires that one be able to observe at a minimum three energy transfer and storage processes and their collective and individual influence on one another at any given instance while the system is in operation.

A step in the right direction would be to first comprehend impedance, followed by engineering the system in such a way that "self impedance" (I know....its not in the books....) becomes impossible.... one mans' experience.....

Regards

Reply Quote Notify

185 **solid state devices / Re: ENERGY AMPLIFICATION**

« **on:** October 26, 2017, 01:37:26 PM »

Excellent...they are there...if you do the test I recommend web00x do, you will experience them.

Quote from: Magluvin on October 22, 2017, 04:43:49 AM

> Are the currents when the switch is open, more than when the switch is closed?

The electronic savvy will say no.... I say it's more important to consider the difference in the rate of change. When the switch is open, under ideal circumstances, the high inductance circuit can charge the capacitor at a rate equal to or greater than the discharge rate, here we come face to face with another of Teslas' lessons, where self-induction is not an obstacle..

Quote from: Magluvin on October 22, 2017, 04:43:49 AM

> Is there an advantage there? Or is it that the motor is just mainly constantly powered? Its not like I had said that currents in the large inductor ever stop flowing. Along with the motor motoring and would have to say that you could use that output, is the secondary output used by you for other than ozone generation?

The obvious advantage is the cap charging current motivating the motor, and exciting the primary! Most only consider the cap dump across the primary! To say that the motor is powered constantly is not accurate... This motor is not connected straight across the supply. It receives impulses during very specific instances.

The secondary should not be made to double duty, so no, the output of the transformer is not being used for anything else. When you recognize this circuit for what it is, when you figure out how to generate ozone in the motor, the transformer output can be used for other things!

Quote from: Magluvin on October 22, 2017, 04:43:49 AM

Quote from: Magluvin on October 22, 2017, 04:43:49 AM

> Is there an advantage there? Or is it that the motor is just mainly constantly powered? Its not like I had said that currents in the large inductor ever stop flowing. Along with the motor motoring and would have to say that you could use that output, is the secondary output used by you for other than ozone generation?

The obvious advantage is the cap charging current motivating the motor, and exciting the primary! Most only consider the cap dump across the primary! To say that the motor is powered constantly is not accurate... This motor is not connected straight across the supply. It receives impulses during very specific instances.

The secondary should not be made to double duty, so no, the output of the transformer is not being used for anything else. When you recognize this circuit for what it is, when you figure out how to generate ozone in the motor, the transformer output can be used for other things!

Quote from: Magluvin on October 22, 2017, 04:43:49 AM

> In my head, what I can see is when the switch is closed, the cap and primary ring at a high freq, and when the switch is open, being the large inductor/motor would now be the more significant inductance in the big loop, so the freq of oscillation would be lower during the open times. So is the switch time during the open session only as long as it takes to get the cap charged up to a desired potential and then close? Or is that time longer where there is some lower freq operation before it closes again. Either way there would need to be some critical timing in order to have the cap charged up for discharge when it is closed.
>
> Mags

You see it ringing...and that's where it all stops...you guys aren't listening to anything I say....and are quick to jump onto the useless crap that others have to say, knowing full well that they are nowhere near where I am on the bench! It's not about single sine resonance.... look at the circuit, consider everything that's happening, and more importantly when it's happening.... there is a shit load of mixing going on, mixing implies directly or indirectly that we need to be aware of superimpositions, they change everything.... specifically, the cross section of the so called energy storage coefficient of the entire system.

You are thinking and that is refreshing, however, unfortunately, you are only considering those things which the tech savvy own you with.

iPad 7:12 AM overunity.com 28%

If you understand what he saying, you understand me.... I am doing what he did....

Reply Quote Notify

CCTool

168 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: The Old Standard Ignition System. Battery. Coil. Points. And Condenser....**

« **on:** November 05, 2017, 10:30:23 PM »

It's too early to be looking between the turns.....

"Inductance is the characteristic of an electrical circuit that makes itself evident by opposing the **starting, stopping, or changing** of current flow."

Three instances when EMF is induced.... How often does the current start, stop or change in either of the circuits?!?! What are you doing during these key instances?! How are you positioned to capitalize on opposition to change, how was Tesla? How can you expect to understand what is being suggested by the use of the terms "flux capacitor" if your attention isn't focused on the flux!? Which flux!? Capacitor? What capacitor?

@Forest

its crystal clear you have no idea what I am talking about.. it's all good.... no one does....

Reply Quote Notify

Free BitCoins

How to get FREE BitCoins ! The new digital currency ! Very easy to get free digital money !

Amazon Deals

Amazon Warehouse Deals ! Now even more Deep Discounts ! Check out these great prices on slightly used or just opened once

169 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: The Old Standard Ignition System. Battery. Coil. Points. And Condenser....**

« **on:** November 05, 2017, 05:11:17 PM »

Quote from: Jeg on November 05, 2017, 05:00:36 PM

> Thanks a lot for this pushing
>
> There is also something else happening between the motor coils. It took me a while to understand the mechanism of energy storage between the two half's, which gives thicker spikes when current suddenly stops flowing. A great mind called it once as a flux capacitor...

iPad 7:16 AM 27%
overunity.com
YouTube
Gravity Machines
New Book

Tesla-Ebook



Magnet Secrets

174 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: The Old Standard Ignition System. Battery. Coil. Points. And Condenser....**

« **on:** November 05, 2017, 01:37:04 PM »

Quote from: Jeg on November 05, 2017, 12:04:38 PM

> Yes Mags, this applies also to me as yesterday i made wrong assumptions on the way the switch works. Distorted reality due to lack of experience is a common mistake of mine. I'll setup igniter's circuit today to see what happens. I just can't visualize its operation and how the superimposition happens.

With regards to the ignitor patent, Mags mentions that the current charging the cap "bypasses" the primary.... that's where Mags ended the comparison.... There's a shit load more to compare....hello!

The two circuits are different in their layout, different in the relations between components, the circuits are different in operation, they are, and this is important so pay attention, different in how they approach and deal with current limiting.... for fuck sake gentlemen, we cannot amplify anything till we stop the circuit from limiting the very thing we want to amplify!

The two patents illustrate circuits using the same components, save one, the motor. This is an incredibly significant omission, and if you can't see this, good luck with your research. I keep saying the motor is important, but those with very strong opinions inform you that we need to keep things simple, and by simple they mean "fuck the motor"..... WTF!?!?!? I will say this again, and I will keep saying it, all of this is about the damn MOTOR (technically it's a motor-generator, but you gotta crawl before you walk, and walk before you run...)!

I am not attacking anyone's views here....nor am I criticizing anyone in particular....

Reply Quote Notify

175 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: The Old Standard Ignition System. Battery. Coil. Points. And Condenser....**

« **on:** November 05, 2017, 10:28:25 AM »

Quote from: forest on November 05, 2017, 08:14:26 AM

> Mags
> You must find the answer to the question : why Tesla patented so many "circuit breakers" ? What he wanted to accomplish ? The partial answer is in his

iPad 7:17 AM overunity.com 27%

Magnet Secrets

Lindemann Videc



Great new video from Peter Lindemann

Navigation

Navigation

Start Page
Forum
Updates
Free Energy
Youtube

175 Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: The Old Standard Ignition System. Battery. Coil. Points. And Condenser....

« **on:** November 05, 2017, 10:28:25 AM »

Quote from: forest on November 05, 2017, 08:14:26 AM

> Mags
> You must find the answer to the question : why Tesla patented so many "circuit breakers" ? What he wanted to accomplish ? The partial answer is in his interview about AC currents of high frequency....

Ok....thats a good question, but it's not "the" question.... The questions I asked were: what motivates one to patent the same circuit, what's so important or significant about the circuit..... how does one get a patent on the exact same circuit?!

In my opinion, "the" circuit had to be preserved for future generations. It was the central hub of what would/could become his legacy, assuming future generations could/would comprehend and apply his insights... His greatest accomplishment in the realm of electrical engineering, which has the potential to bridge electrical and mechanical engineering is embodied in that circuit.

The perfect switch was discovered by Tesla years before the circuit controller series patents were granted. The patenting of inferior switching techniques allowed him to present the dream circuit x more times..... You people are still looking for a mechanical solution... the mechanical make and break are a catalyst.....the real switching is not taking place there.....open your minds.....

Quote from: forest on November 05, 2017, 08:14:26 AM

> " It will become useless as soon as the inefficient apparatus of the day, with antennae that radiate energy rapidly, [are] replaced by a scientifically designed oscillator which does not give out energy except when it gets up to a tremendous electromagnetic momentum."

I am certain you don't know what this statement really suggests, tito and kapanadze don't either....

slightly used or just opened once only items.I always buy my gadgets via these great Warehouse deals ! Highly recommended ! Many thanks for supporting OverUnity.com this way.

Magpi Magazine

Battery Recondit

95 **Resonance Circuits and Systems / Re: The secret to Overunity**
« **on:** January 08, 2018, 09:06:46 AM »

Quote from: Cadman on January 07, 2018, 11:50:31 PM

> Erfinder, my cup is empty, just ask anybody.
>
> When certain people were investigating the harm done when high voltage DC was suddenly switched onto a line it was reported that stinging rays were experienced.

Whether systems under observation are supplied by AC or DC sources, these systems should always be considered as though they were reactive. Analyze your circuit from the perspective of their reactive components.

From your example, borrowed from "Secrets of Cold War Technology", it is assumed that the HVDC system is open circuit, what the circuit actually consists of is anyone's guess. When the switch is closed, whether it is shorted on itself in the literal sense, or shorted to transition from a series to a parallel tank (an idea repeated over and over again...), the latter is more likely, advantage/leverage can be generated in the latter, the HVDC system opposes change in potential.

What is the result of opposition to change in potential?

Quote from: Cadman on January 07, 2018, 11:50:31 PM

> [/font][/size]I think that was an electrostatic effect, the sudden increase in potential causing an electrostatic field to explode from the wire. That field would attract the opposite charge to the wire and I think this is where cemf comes from.
>
> Is this correct?

When the switch closes, the established potential drops across the system impedance. The mechanism responsible for causing what you call the "electrostatic field" to explode from the wire can only be found when we correctly identify the true capacity of the system.

CEMF is born out of opposition to change in current or magnetic flux....as you haven't included a changing magnetic flux, I will not elaborate on it.

Reply Quote Notify

doing with it. (even though I know they are all F'up now) you seem to have gobbled it all up in a single mouthful ! well bravo MM! but alas I have to plod away at the back of the class ....
T'was ever so .. :rofl: I will look at these links with interest ..
Best wishes Duncan :cheers:

machinealive 10-20-2013, 11:19 AM

Stupify asked me to post my work here, my 2 and 4 pole toroidal field setup.
my vid posted at asymmetric thread and diagrams.

Toroid field setup? - YouTube (http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sunkCAL2SCU)

http://i1153.photobucket.com/albums/p520/machinealive/image_zps9b762f26.jpg

http://i1153.photobucket.com/albums/p520/machinealive/image_zps621e8b54.jpg

machinealive

erfinder 10-20-2013, 11:21 AM

oh wow MonsieurM I am but a simple soul it took days for me to comprehend such a thing ... a while longer to understand some of what these dedicated guys were doing with it. (even though I know they are all F'up now) you seem to have gobbled it all up in a single mouthful ! well bravo MM! but alas I have to plod away at the back of the class ....
T'was ever so .. :rofl: I will look at these links with interest ..
Best wishes Duncan :cheers:

This isn't directed at anyone, I feel we must rise above our preconceived notions. Embrace higher concepts, proven or unproven (on your/my/his/her bench). Complex geometry versus simple sine functions, complex geometry born out of the blending of sine waves.

I would like to play with the malleable substance that our great teachers claimed exists, call it by which ever name you choose, the science of its manipulation has a name, "Cymatics". What i'm suggesting is bringing cymatics into the realm of dielectric and magnetic fields. Space Terra Forming.

Talk about timing....Machinealive...that's a work of art...I will build one and apply what i'm doing to it....you would't believe how close our base geometries are, the fields you show are also close. I wish you the best with your research.

Regards

machinealive 10-20-2013, 02:22 PM

Thanks erfinder:thumbsup:

Machine

shylo 10-20-2013, 04:58 PM

Hi Machine and all,
I've been working on something quite similar.

iPad 9:55 PM 84%
energeticforum.com
Re-Inventing The Wheel-Part1-Cle... | http://i1153.photobucket.com/album... | terraforming - Google Search | Photobucket

It will be great if you could draw this winding over the drawing from 1902 Figuera`s patent which is the one which resembles a toroid

Regards

hanon:cheers: I actually ask machinealive to post he's magnetic field and magnetic flow configuration here for discussion and improvement. I think people here still don't get it. The Egg of Columbus is a device design by Nikola Tesla and understood by Clemente Figuera.

If you will understand everything I told you before about this machine.I think you have already figured it out that the Clemente Figuera's device run and function on Tesla's Electro Dynamic Induction Machine Principles. Figuera draw he's patent in different way, maybe for a purpose of confusion.

If you could design a 2 Phase Alternating/Inverting H Bridge that would be a great help hanon.

@machine:whistle: This is the right place to post this concept and design of Electro Dynamic Induction Machine. Your Imagination have run wild, I can see it on stacking all coils on your new illustration.:rofl:

erfinder 10-21-2013, 02:23 AM

I'm getting more feedback on this thread. Here is the last pic.

http://i1153.photobucket.com/albums/p520/machinealive/image_zps805b9c9d.jpg

machine

I have a machines built already in which the coils are laid out like you have in these drawings, I call my machines which are based on this type of geometry, "Orthogonal". Its been my experience that mixing clockwise and counter clockwise wound coils in this particular geometry results in machines that will not produce the type of self oscillation that I am looking for. It is my opinion that the forces we are trying to manipulate have a preferred direction in which they are "already" moving in prior to our cohering them into our machines. This must be respected, or the device, when polarized, will not harmonize with its environment. I use the squaring of the wave as a sign that the device is harmonizing with its environment. If you aren't looking for self oscillation and harmonic mixing, then ignore this message.

Regards

JohnStone 10-21-2013, 03:30 AM

....
If you could design a 2 Phase Alternating/Inverting H Bridge that would be a great help hanon.....
Just reuse existing solutions. Any DC PWM motor driver contains H bridge and stepper drivers as well - use one per phase.
Popular IC is L298 (http://pdf1.alldatasheet.com/datasheet-pdf/view/22437/STMICROELECTRONICS/L298.html). Search at eBay for complete drivers ready to use.
i.e.
http://i.ebayimg.com/t/L298N-Stepper-DC-Motor-Driver-Board-L298N-Controller-Module-Rep-L298-/00/s/NTcxWDYxMQ==/z/8p8AAMXQBwlRLbRf/$(KGrHqV,!qEFEFYnjRPHBRLbRe2pvg~~60_12.JPG
JS

stupify12 10-21-2013, 06:05 AM

Just reuse existing solutions. Any DC PWM motor driver contains H bridge and stepper drivers as well - use one per phase.

Ren,

Right....well by the time we cross paths off forum, I will be moving on to the next phase.....

Take the switching circuit presently used on the window, and sit it in a box in a corner somewhere...you won't be needing it...

Lets say your window motor has three trifilar windings...configure one of those trifilar windings to operate as a standard school girl circuit, (One trigger, and two power strands..with recovery).

The recovery rail of the SG trifilar window winding(s) will charge three capacitors.... the rail must be setup so that the caps charge, and discharge independently from one another (diodes in the right places..). This ensures that the caps charge to the same potentials, and do not discharge into one another...

These caps must be discharged somewhere...discharge them into the second trifilar winding. Each strand has its own transistor, and its own capacitor... This is the torque producing section. The transistors in this section are optically isolated from the circuit triggering the transistors into conduction...(refer to the Bedini cap pulser for a general idea of how isolation can be accomplished simply..) The optical isolator can be driven by a hall sensor, or receive its signal from the SG trigger winding.....the latter makes things too easy...too easy is good..

The SG alone will not produce torque (**in a no iron environment**) however, it will accelerate a mass so you can expect some torque at high rpms (torque via flywheel effect) ....thats where the cap discharge into the second trifilar winding comes in....here you produce torque via cap discharge...couple the torque generated via cap discharge with the torque produced via flywheel effect and you have a pretty good combination...(my opinion)...

The cool thing about this is that you are accelerating the mass for peanuts....and the 70% that you recover you use to generate torque, only to recover 70% of that....(if you want...) (I pulled 70% out of my rear...don't take it as a literal figure...)

The talk going on lately is a reference to a no load generator...you have one trifilar left.....**watch the latest video on youtube from Dadhav and you will know how to setup your generators**...my gut feeling....the generator will be non-reflective just like recovery is now! See my video on that....if and when the generator becomes non-reflective, then technically theres no reason why one couldn't drive trifilar one with the output of the on board generator...one would need only spin the rotor up to a speed where it begins to generate enough to power the SG.

The race is on...Lets see who gets there first.....

Regards

---

iPad 9:22 PM 53%
energeticforum.com
Page 56 - Energetic Forum | 404 Not Found | Page 5 - Energetic Forum | US7109671B2 - Device and... | patentimages.storage.googl...

01-28-2012, 03:00 AM #1672

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Les_K**
> *Been thinking what JB said about aircore for the generator part. The axial flux alternators are great for low rpm windmills as there is very little drag on startup. but as the rpms go up as is typical with all generators the drag increases. Thinking in terms of this as a G-field I have thought about using a stator much like an R/C outrunner 3 phase motor. But I think I would want to get a higher voltage and if possible DC spikes rather than dealing with current. Anyone got any ideas?*
>
> *Les*

I have tried several flux gating techniques, and they all result in the rotor accelerating when you apply an electrical load to the gen coils. Just think G-field or the Leedskalnin PMH and you got the perfect generator! US 7,109,671 B2 is the perfect example of a flux gating motor generator combination...its beautiful, and it works...and its in my opinion directly related to the topic under discussion, and if that weren't enough, the patent is one of John Bedini's patents!

One of the unique features of this particular design topology is the concept of two asymmetrical spikes....I highly recommend this patent as a source of inspiration!

Regards

_____

Quote

02-14-2012, 02:28 PM #1673

minoly
Silver Member

Join Date: Jul 2008
Posts: 514

iPad 9:23 PM 53%
energeticforum.com

> Originally Posted by **SkyWatcher**
> *Hi folks, Hi erfinder you said about bedinis patent, "One of the unique features of this particular design topology is the concept of two asymmetrical spikes....I highly recommend this patent as a source of inspiration!"*
>
> *I have also heard from a little voice, that it may have anti-gravity properties also.*
> *peace love light*
> *tyson*

Hi SkyWatcher,

"A method is utilized to temporarily produce a much larger source of available external energy around an energized coil. Then the unique design features of this new motor provides a method and a mechanism that can immediately produce a second increase in that energy, concurrently as the energy flow is reversed. Therefore, the motor is capable of producing two asymmetrical back EMFs, one after the other, of the energy within a single coil, which dramatically increases the energy available and causes that available excess energy to then enter the circuit impulsively, being collected and utilized."

That is a quote direct from the patent. The question that should be asked, and maybe I missed it when someone did ask is how are the two asymmetrical spikes being generated? I'm going to go out on a limb and say that one spike is being generated by the drive circuit. How this other spike is generated is a secret known only by the inventor of the method.

I have preformed a few experiments in this direction and I have found a means for producing two additional "**spike like**" manifestations, the method has commonalities with the Kromrey and G-Field devices; namely flux gating.... Is flux gating an aspect of this patented device? I say spike like because what I'm seeing is not a true transient, it is a spike like phenomena which starts off with a wide base. The more abrupt the change the higher the potential of this spike like phenomena, similar to what we see with electronic switching where the faster the switch transitions, the higher the transient voltage...

With reference to Fig.1 of the aforementioned patent, it can be seen that the inventor of the concept incorporated the mechanism for flux gating....if the magnets in the rotor are replaced with iron slugs we have a flux gate system which is similar to the Kromrey, and with the permanent magnets left in place we have something similar to the G-field device.

At the end of the day, it must be said that we have been provided with tons of of insightful information, in the various documents and posts found online, videos, and other outlets. Various machines have been demonstrated, their operating principles broken down in layman's terms. I believe our main problem is that we aren't connecting the dots, we aren't seeing the big picture (if there even is one....I believe there is...) We aren't seeing how all these different machines are demonstrating the various aspects of a single machine. The referenced patent is in my opinion the official public record of the G-Field, or "completed and or perfected (balanced) monopole (Energizer)".

It is highly likely that I am way way way way off....but you know what...it doesn't matter...results always speak for themselves.

Regards

> Originally Posted by **Armagdn03**
> *This is very interesting territory from what I have seen. Take for instance a coil, resonating at its fundamental. If another coil enters its space, it will have a preferred distance (which is a radius around the coil, and is different for each reciever) at which the all of the variables align to give the structure (reciever and transmitter) the highest possible Q and impedance point / field strength. At this ring around the source. Same thing happens with musical vibrations, and our planets interaction with mater (stratification of our earth and atmosphere).*
>
> *I look at it like this, as soon as there is a source of movement, there is an interaction with the environment. This interaction creates field densities, which are radially decreasing in strength (inverse square) and circular in nature according to the right hand rule. As things enter this space structured by the movement of something along an axis, they want to move toward the space of equilibrium. When their density equals the density of the space they are in, they feel no force. This could be why every particle entering a field of a "transmitter" or source of movement, will try to find a place of equilibrium to it. When we put iron filings in the field of a magnet, they find their place of equilibrium, and a tube is born. lol. The whole network of linear movement surrounded by spinning sheaths of decreasing density perpendicular to the movement, with surface tensions defining each octave as they radially move away from the source.*
>
> *I can see how its hard to engineer around the idea of flux we "know" it. But who can throw away the geometric structures which arise out of a field source interacting with its environment?*

About a year ago...possibly longer, there was a guy who visited this forum, he presented a motor/generator which when looked at closely was very similar to your peg motor. His device accelerated when the generator coils where attached to a load, or shorted. This effect was not limited to rotor speed like many devices that are popping up on the net as of late. It was a complete reversal of the lenz law as we (I) understand it. At the time of his visit, I didn't understand the significance of what he demonstrated...I have since built my own version of his device and can say that everything he showed is true..I say that to ask this.

You mentioned that to change this concept into a generator you would "suppress" the motor function. Why? What would you use as your prime mover? I'm thinking that this device could be a motor/generator where using the generator would result in acceleration of the rotor.....what are your thoughts in this regard?

If you think this is possible, how would you go about it?

Regards

Quote

03-10-2012, 04:04 PM #35

iPad 9:35 PM 51%
energeticforum.com

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **SkyWatcher**
> *Hi folks, Hi erfinder, what your thinking of may be the Dan Quale lenzless generator.*
> *OU LENZLESS GENERATOR -design*
> *peace love light*
> *tyson*

Hi SkyWatcher..

What I am talking about is superior to the Dan Quale lenzless generator. The Dan Quale device is a "generator" only!!! After years of burning things up in the lab I have come to the realization that motors are generators, and generators are motors...this has been pointed out by a few of the good folks here. The thing to do is get the counter electromotive force in a motor to work for you instead of against you, same holds true for the generator, get the "negative torque" to work for you... The individual referenceded in my last post accomplished this task.

10Lbs and 1000 toasted transistors later, I found that there is an even better version of this device patented by John Bedini.

7,109,671 B2

The device in that patent is what I am talking about, replace the magnets in the rotor with iron slugs and you will find that you have a very interesting motor/generator.... test that and see for yourself, then and only then will you begin to understand what I'm getting at. Replacing the iron slugs with magnets as its illustrated in the patent makes things even more intersting....(note how the coil polarity will change owing to how the coils are driven, and how the polarity of the rotor and stator doesn't change...) very interesting configuration.....

I mentioned this before...this patent with the magnets left in the rotor is like a G-field generator...this embodiment of the that device has a fixed magnet attached to the stator and one which moves, versus what we see on the net with two moving magnets. If the rotor magnets are replaced with iron slugs you have something like a Kromrey motor generator.

This is not what the device is, I don't claim to know what this thing is, what I'm getting at is that the device reminds me of two devices that John Bedini has demonstrated (then theres the Peg Motor and the Perpetual Motion Holder Motor Generator...my device, inspired by this patent....coming soon...)

Regards

---

iPad 9:38 PM 51%
energeticforum.com

03-11-2012, 10:21 PM #42



John_Bedini
Silver Member

Join Date: Apr 2007
Location: Hayden Lake, ID, USA
Posts: 914

adding info

Erfinder,
The Dan Quale Lenzless generator was done years ago by Ron Cole all posted on the net in the Yahoo groups files. As for My patent it is much more then the patent states. It did use Irons slugs in one of the machines as a matter of fact 8 to be exact. Sorry you toasted transistors as the switching for that is not easy to do. When I get back to work I will post one of the machines, but first the Radus Motor. So far nobody has discovered what it really is, but I did say in passing one time what it was and what could be done with it.

The geometry of the machine is important. I have worked out a design for the Peg Motor but I'm going to show it to Peter L first about how it could work at very high speed. I would suggest you read between the lines on that patent and see if you can tell me what it is and how it does not need any input energy to run. That is why it is so important to view the Radus work.

The Kromray is also a motor and that was built By Ron Cole with Iron bars, which has been in the groups for a long time. I think everybody forgets when the internet first got going where most of these motors came from and how they got changed around. Peter and I have built motors the internet has never seen. Then Peter has built machines before that nobody has ever seen. Back to work on the Peg motor.

I'm going to list two books here for you and all.

Handbook of Magnetic Phenomena, By Harry E. Burke
The Nature of Magnetism, By M.I. Kaganov and V.M. Tsukernik

The Westinghouse Documents I can not post unless I scan them in PDF.

IDEAS AND MOTORS
John B

_____
John Bedini
www.johnbedini.net

iPad 9:39 PM 51%
energeticforum.com
Peg Motor - Page 3 - Ener... | 404 Not Found | Bedini Ferris Wheel Regaugi... | US7109671B2 - Device and... | patentimages.storage.googl...

03-25-2012, 12:40 PM #68

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Armagdn03**
> *Ecklin was thinking along similar lines.*
>
> *What I am primarily talking about is....*
>
> *MEG or Radus + Magamp (non inductively coupled flux switching) = very interesting device.*

Heres a thought....

Latching Magnets....the switching mechanism would be electromagnetic, and should not require an external power supply, nor electronic switching.....wonder if something like that is possible.....

Regards

_____

Quote

03-26-2012, 01:59 AM #69

Les_K
Senior Member

Join Date: Dec 2010
Posts: 190

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Armagdn03**
> *Ecklin was thinking along similar lines.*

iPad 9:45 PM 50%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Matthew Jones**
> *OK*
>
> *If its just rotor with magnets and coils on the stator, your just waisting a bunch of copper.*
>
> *Lenz Free Ecklin-Brown style generator is the only way to go.*
>
> *You gotta make everything real tight and put a little felt on your rotor so it never completely makes contact with the magnets while at low speeds. the attraction goes away though at higher speed.*
> *They need to be big magnets. 2" radius half in thick. So the rotor will want to stick. During storage you have to pin it away from the magnets.*
> *The rotor as shown in the pictures is an attachment blade for weed eaters. You can pick them up for 10 dollars or something.*
> *The coils do better when constructed short and fat with cores of soft iron welding rods. You can also premagnetize the rods in the opposite direction to get not only a small gain but a more radial sine wave. Do this by bundling the rods with bailing wire, heating the entire bundle up, adding magnets in the correct direction and cooling quickly. Then repeat Until you can pick up a paper clip with just the iron.*
> *When you store it you have to cover the magnets with something metal to prevent the constant saturation of the coils. Which will cause polarization in the wrong direction.*
>
> *Mount the magnets on a NON ferrous material. You can use both sides as well and daisy chain the thing together.*
>
> *This generator is only hard to start. Once its up and running you have little t know resistance and should have a very cold current that will run on small wire, as well as charge batteries negatively.*
>
> *Mind you it may not measure over unity but if you are slick and not consuming power to turn the motor you'll have real nice setup.*
>
> *And NO you cannot see mine, build your own.*
> *Matt*

At long last!!! Once again someone mentions the proper method for building a **MOTOR GENERATOR!!!!** Personally I wouldn't go with the Eklin-Brown method, but it is definitly a step in the proper direction!!! If you have a little free time and spare parts, invest a little time in that direction.

iPad 9:49 PM 50%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Matthew Jones**
> *Which way would you go!*
>
> *The only reason I do not want to show mine is it is duck tape and bailing wire. LOL its embarrassing to say the least.*
>
> *Matt*

Hello Matt,

I understand now, duck tape..can't say I have needed to use any in any of my contraptions.....yet...LOL...If that is the only reason for not showing it...show it off anyway! You of all people know that this is not a beauty contest it's about demonstrating working principles, and if your device does that then it's definitely worth demonstrating!

To answer your question as to which direction I would go in, a year or two ago there was a guy who visited this forum. He demonstrated a very interesting Ecklin-Brown type setup, in my opinion, the design and performance of his setup was superior to what I have been able to find and test with regards to Ecklin-Brown. He had youtube videos, and was posting info about his device here. I asked him a few questions which I guess he wasn't ready to answer. Long story short, he vanished!!! He removed all of his videos on youtube and deleted his account!

Thankfully, in keeping with the times and trends, this individual patented his device.

5,191,258 - Electric Current Generator Including Torque Reducing Countermagnetic Field

Very interesting device! The inventor calls it a generator but a few of you here know like I know that motors and generators are one! This is the preferred direction (mine), with a few twists of course.....

Regards

Quote

iPad 10:35 PM 46%
energeticforum.com

Quote

07-15-2012, 03:54 AM #1852

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Whats charging the battery

Hello John,

It is my opinion that pulsed generator action combined with a second transient phenomena (completely independent from the documented transient) is charging the primary battery.

Quote
"if respective non-linear actions are initiated that sharply affect and increase the reaction cross section of the circuit".
End quote

These non-linear actions are not limited to electronic switching alone, care must be taken that the coils are properly configured to allow for the evolution of said transient (implying magnetic switching is taking place in addition to and independent from the electronic switching...., proper coil configuration opens the door to generator energy storage, a mechanism which is the electro-magnetic equivalent of a flywheel. Generator must pulse, this is not to be understood as being an electronic pulse, it is more like what is experienced in the Kromrey and G-field when the magnetic circuit is made an broken, a magnetic pulse.... If you understand flywheels, you know whats necessary to make the generator pulse.

I can only report on that which I have personally experienced. Done.

Watch the videos John has provided, specifically the video on the ferris wheel. Also read and reread US patent 7,109,671.

To date, I have no idea if what I see on my bench has anything to do with the original information provided by John Bedini, John puts everything in the open, but is still not at liberty to share certain things (my opinion). What I can say is when one really reviews all the information that has been provided, and work with it, you will find things that will shock and amaze you. Sometimes you must leave the paved path, leave it to be drawn back to it when your mind has matured enough to comprehend things which were for a time.....uncomprehendable to you....

Cheers John

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 07-15-2012 at 04:05 AM.

iPad 10:39 PM 45%
energeticforum.com

07-17-2012, 09:56 AM #354

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Truth be told this setup is old!

INTERUPTION.....PUBLIC SERVICE ANNOUNCEMENT.....

This technique dates back to Tesla, would be nice to hear that repeated form time to time. Would be nice to see what was learned from the source instead of this ranting and raving....I have yet to see anything new or improved. The method under display was perfected to an extremely high degree by Tesla, where is the info on what he did? I mean this Tesla tech.....Right...?



Then theres that one guy...whats his name...Robert W. Alexander. In 1975 he got a patent 3913004.pdf granted for this same topology....check the attachment if you are interested. Same damn topology...my question to you is, in light of this, why are you teaching people or better directing the researchers here to "Frankenstein" perfectly good motors?

Refering to the attached images, you will find that these motors,

these things, the dual commutator devices you are trying to get people to build ALREADY EXIST and have since Tesla's time!!! Guys and gals, please do your homework, save yourself a boat load of trouble with fabricating. If you really want to work in this direction, study the attached patent, and find yourself a few of those old DYNAMOTORS and tear them apart and rewire them! Or... ignore me

these things, the dual commutator devices you are trying to get people to build ALREADY EXIST and have since Tesla's time!!! Guys and gals, please do your homework, save yourself a boat load of trouble with fabricating. If you really want to work in this direction, study the attached patent, and find yourself a few of those old DYNAMOTORS and tear them apart and rewire them! Or....ignore me and continue working on your frankenstein motors! The true potential of this direction is in that patent, and in the information Tesla left regarding it this special topology, not in what you are being sold....my opinion...not subject to change any time soon..

This stuff is old and I'm tired of seeing hard working, serious researchers singing and dancing to this tune. Where in the hell are all the real questions? Why is no one challenging this confused mess. Some are and I truely applaud you! Keep asking those questions, one day they will get answered, by someone else...a competent authority, if we are lucky.

There is nothing wrong with established science, other than the fact that is one sided. Science as its taught is complete in its onesidedness..... what I'm seeing here is a guy who has cloned that one sidedness? So now we simply have way more of the same....not good in my book.

Be careful with going with the sacred geometry...you reintroduce symetry...but you knew that...right....how does asymetry apply to your topology?

anyway..

Fellow researchers, the anwsers are right in front of us. How can we formulate the right questions if we're caught in the following the leader spiral.....

Some leaders have provided all we have been searching for, real working technology that is ready right now, however, for whatever reason, the information wasn't released in a format that the layman (us) could do anything with.

The following is to be taken as an example only...maybe...

That being said.....the school girl is more that just a battery charger. Some of you know that. Radiant energy....Why in the hell is it limited to one side of the conductor?????? Isn't the magnetic field generated by the entire coil? Hmmmmm.....somethings missing.....and you have found it when you find the second spike, the spike which charges the supply like the spike you generate now charges the second battery.....

Ignore everything in this post except the patent and the images of the motors! Or ignore that too....its up to you.

Regards

iPad 10:45 PM 45%
energeticforum.com

Quote

07-17-2012, 12:43 PM #369

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Wow...UFO...

This was the half assed blah blah I have come to expect from you, and for the record, I gave you the benefit of the doubt. I watched your films (complete waste of life force...), a dedication to the good doctor isn't a detailed description of what "he" brought to the table and your understanding of such!! Its not me who has to prove or demonstrate anything its you, your thread(s) remember? Your demos and "testing" methods leave one wanting .....someone else to test it for you...you have been provided with several really good suggestions..my recommendation...try them. I love how you completely ignored the dynamotor, and the patent which was granted to its inventor. Its all good..as I have read else where from another poster, the truth will come out sooner or later. I hope for you, that it comes out in your favor.

You might want to print a copy of that patent you snagged that picture from and study it! That image is a side view of the rotor, but you knew that, as you are rewinding rotors....right? Well I have studied the patent and it has absolutely nothing to do with what you are selling here. What you didn't know is this topology (as Tesla lays it out in the patent) is extremely significant and you do Tesla and everyone here a real disservice by not presenting the device as it is being presented in the patent!!!

390721 Dynamo Electric Machine. Thats where you got the picture from right....?

This topology is extremely special because when properly driven it becomes a true motor generator!!!!! You would know that if you studied the damn patent ...right? This is one of Tesla's most important gifts to the layman, it is one of the first if not the first acceleration under load topology, the perfect motor generator when left in its "patented" form and driven using methods "patented" in 1896. But you knew all this right? Any response from you at this juncture will be a waste of both of our time so ignore me and get back to butchering motors and telling others how its the right thing to do.

Regards

Quote:

Originally Posted by **Ufopolitics**
Hello and good morning dear Erfinder,

TESLA'S ORIGINAL 1888 ASYMMETRICAL MOTOR-GEN

iPad 10:49 PM 44%
energeticforum.com

Hi John_K,

Personally, I think the AC motor topology is superior in many ways to the DC motor topology, there are a few exceptions to this, it's more or less dependent on application. This is my personal opinion.

Regarding your question, My first modified induction motor was able to operate on both circuits, the motor was trigger coil based. This modification was my attempt at producing the equivalent of a Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor (PMSM), driven by either of the aforementioned circuits. The first motor was a fairly large 10kw motor, the windings were broken at the internal WYE connection and all phases individualized. In this particular motor I was lucky, each phase was wound with two strands. One of these windings was used as the trigger winding and the other winding of the same phase was used as the power winding. Attempts were made to trigger all three phases using a single trigger from one phase, however, this did not function. As the motor comes with a squirrel cage rotor, it was replaced with a custom rotor, this particular motor was a two pole machine, so a two pole permanent magnet rotor was fabricated and installed. When connected to a suitable supply the motor ran. It didn't run very fast, and keeping to the tradition of the SG it didn't produce any torque. It wasn't till later that it occurred to me why the SG isn't producing torque, like the motor would in days prior to the modification and like the Window motor can and does, but that's a story for another day.

The second motor I modified was a 3.8kw induction motor. It was completely rewound, with 18x trifilar coils, it was originally designed to operate on a 54 transistor SG circuit. The motor was setup so that there were three phases, 6 coils per phase. One trigger and 2 drive windings per phase. Each phase produced 12 stator poles so a 12 pole rotor was designed. A communications error between myself and the machinist resulted in me receiving a 9 pole rotor!!! The project was put on hold for lack of funds for a new rotor. Two months after the 9 pole rotor was delivered I was performing a test on another SG type motor when it hit me that I could salvage the 9 pole rotor by turning it into a quasi six pole rotor, three magnets, all north poles facing out. I did this and the motor runs perfectly, just not as strong as it would if the south poles weren't imaginary.....All of my SG devices are supplied with mains level voltages, and because of this, I have experienced things while using this circuit which I do not like. The weak point in this circuit as it is presented, and this is my personal opinion, is the transformer action that takes place between the power windings and the trigger winding! This transformer action coupled with the generator action already taking place in the trigger results in sudden death of high wattage pots when the circuit is operating. This is a loss which cannot be circumvented. I have seen some very clever methods for attempting to get around this issue, to include the bipolar circuit which uses a transistor in the trigger circuit. At high power input, it matters not! Ultimately the solution to the problem was isolation. The trigger if it was to be inductive, had to be removed from the power winding. However, I digress....

The point is, a conventional induction motor can be modified to operate on either of these circuits, however, there are many key issues which need to be addressed before you attempt a modification. Today I would just save my beans and buy a PMSM. What you drive it with is up to you, just make sure you are recovering from both ends of the wire!

Regards

iPad 10:52 PM 44%
energeticforum.com
My Asymmetric Electrody... | Page 5 - Energetic Forum | US7109671B2 - Device and... | patentimages.storage.googl... | Southside Social - Apple Maps

07-20-2012, 05:52 AM #637

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **lamare**
> *Pulsing at the moment the rotor pole enters the PM (or otherwise magnetized stator) may indeed very well be the key to COP > 1.*
>
> *Posted this on the Gray thread:*
>
> *May be an interesting experiment for people that want measurements...*
>
> *If anyone does, please share your results.*
>
> *More on Leedskalnin's experiment here:*
> *Edward Leedskalnin's Perpetual Motion Holder*

EXACTLY!!! I think John Bedini shows an advanced version of the pmh in his patent 7,109,671. I have a rig setup to test his configuration, and I have noted that when your coils are properly configured, you can pull power out of this configuration with extreme reduced drag, this is in complete alignment with the patent text. I can share my findings with you offline, if you are interested, send me a PM.

Regards

Quote

07-20-2012, 07:10 AM #638

iPad 10:55 PM 43%
energeticforum.com

> *pieces like so many glory hounds that feed off of forums like this, I'm talking system details. The things that matter, the things that get results. I have combed through the hundreds of pages on this site. I have an idea of those who could help. I have no idea of those with enough compassion for mankind to set aside their desires for financial gain and openly give their results, successes and failures. Otherwise, what's the point.*
>
> *Peace and Love to the World.*

Hello,

Try getting your setup to generate the waveform pictured below.

Watch EFTV 23 to find out how to properly connect your coils, John Bedini states how they are connected, in the "Self Reguaging Idling Magneto" if your rotor is all norths out, you will need to change to an alternating configuration same as in the aforenamed device. For the record, this is not an attempt at replicating the device in the video, it is an attempt at understanding what is going on in this unique winding topology. This winding method is not to be limited to the axial design seen in the video, if you have a radial setup, like I do, try it, it works there as well... I'm not trying to convince anyone of anything nor am I making any claims. Just relaying something that I have personal experience with, I am not looking for peer review so I will not post my work here. The source charging energy is real, and its not inductive collapse alone charging the battery, not that inductive collapse should be taking place on this end of the wire so far away from the switch anyway!!! On another thread somewhere someone asked about a magnetic switch....I think this is one. But I have been wrong countless times and am probably wrong here aswell...time will tell.

Once you get the waveform, if the inductance of your coil network is at least 100mH, and low resistance, around 5 ohms, you should see the supply charging when you stop driving the device as a motor. Another key point is that this waveform will morph into a perfect square wave, but I am no expert, interpret it as you will when you have the effect taking place in your lab.

Regards

_____

07-22-2012, 02:06 AM #22

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **tachyoncatcher**
> *erfinder, thanks for your contribution to this thread. Finally, some meat I can feed the dog. I have read through the BFW thread and remember JB saying that alternating magnets were not necessary. I do have an all north axial rotor in a horizontal position. If both mechanical and electrical are considered, I probably have near, if not over a COP of 1. This won't keep the lights on. Just saying. I will study the video referenced and keep on plugging. My rig is already asymetrical, as far as coil placement, timing and winding polarity. I test everything. My basement, er, lab, yeah thats it, my lab smells like a house on fire. Least that's what my wife says. Back to testing. (I need to build a new rotor.)*
> *Peace and Love to the World!*
> *Randy*

Hi Randy,

Just to be clear, I'm not attempting a replication of John Bedini's device, yet. I am simply testing a peculiar coil configuration that John Bedini shared with us (EFTV 23). I am sharing what I have experienced thus far with like minded individuals like yourself.

As far as this waveform is concerned, I have not been able to produce it with an all north or all south pole rotor, "I" must alternate the magnets or the waveform does not manifest in "my" rig. I'm finding out that there are other methods for producing this and similar waveforms, however, I am not certain if those methods are going to generate the effects that I am seeing in my rig, however, I am hopeful.

Can you share more about your rig? You say its asymmetrical, owing to the coil placement, timing, and winding polarity. Can you elaborate on that?

Regards

Quote

07-22-2012, 11:05 PM #23

iPad 7:42 AM 37%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/3e/55/bb/2737aee6e53a6b/US7109671.p...
3 Battery Generating System - Page 67 - Energetic Forum

04-23-2013, 08:33 AM #1982

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

> Quote:
>
> > Originally Posted by **Matthew Jones**
> > *Go here and have look The Regenerative Acceleration Effect from Thane C. Heins*
> >
> > *Then start searching everything listed on that site. Plenty of info out there.*
> >
> > *Matt*

Ah....ok...Thane's regenerative acceleration.....gotcha...

One more thing....

Matt someone somewhere is going to be pissed at you for giving away this geometry....I've been looking at this geometry for about 2 years but never found the right moment to test it.

I don't think you will need Thane's regenerative acceleration mechanism when you properly configure this coil geometry you are using in a generator. I get the feeling that it would be a pulsed generator, the load being applied when the magnets are aligned with the equatorial poles... Not sure if anyone's scoped that motor yet (independent of attached load or circuits and associated systems), I think those phantom like transients are related to those equatorial poles.....I've seen transients like those off the chart phantom transients in some of my orthogonal configured pulse motors.

Regards

_____

Last edited by erfinder; 04-23-2013 at 10:51 AM.

Quote

iPad 8:17 AM 36%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/3e/55/bb/2737aee6e53a6b/US7109671.p...
3 Battery Generating System - Page 67 - Energetic Forum

04-24-2013, 06:32 AM #1985

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Turion**
> *erfinder,*
>
> *You are probably right about someone being pissed at Matt over this motor. He has had it for quite a while and has been working with it for a long time. It is so simple, but has potential that most people will never recognize. Even though we showed how to rewind the motor here, Matt didn't give away ALL its secrets. There are still some things you need to do to unlock its full potential. But this thread isn't about the motor and its potential, it's about the 3BGS, and the BASIC motor is a good fit for that setup. I know Matt has had his scope all over this motor, and I have played around with it a good bit too. If and when Matt shares everything about this motor and how to use it's potential, I think you will remember the statement you just made. LOL.*
>
> *Dave*

Hi Dave,

The 3BGS is cool, but, as far as I can tell, things got way way cooler when the new motor winding was introduced. That being said...it would be really awesome to see a thread started where the motor is the middle point... And yes I am positive that there is more to this winding geometry than Matt has made public!

Sorry for interrupting the 3BGS flow....

Regards

Quote

iPad 8:19 AM 36%
energeticforum.com

06-15-2013, 07:33 AM #2096

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Turion**
> *Dave,*
> *But please understand, this is not JUST about the battery anymore. The motor design for a pulse motor Matt disclosed here puts out more power than it takes in. (Not a lot, but a couple volts!) If you pulse that motor on a high voltage (36 volts or higher) you have adequate torque to do some serious work. If that motor is connected in the 3BGS setup with 48 volts on one side and 12 on the other, and you pulse it by interrupting the connection between the primaries and battery 3, you get incredibly long run times without discharging the primaries, even with a NOT SO GREAT bad battery...running off the potential difference. Now you can do work. What work you ask. Now we're working on a lenz free generator to be run by Matt's motor as the prime mover. So this thing is moving forward step by step, and we WILL figure it out. Little bits of info are coming to light every single day, and I can't wait for each day to start. Some day SOON!!!*
>
> *Dave*

Now this is what I have been waiting to hear. Matt is in my opinion spot on with it being a motor thing....I have gotten similar results.....I have a "prime mover" (I'm not justified in calling it a motor....yet...), which leaves the input at higher voltage than what you started with.

Like Matt's motor mine generates a (forgive the term...) transient like phenomena which like in the 3BGS goes off the scope in both directions, regardless of zoom....(this is not the collapsing field transient....I have that transient suppressed, doing measurable work!!!) when this wave manifests, everything electronic in the working area of the device and beyond if sensitive enough stops working....LOL....

Operating this device is likened to operating a powerful Tesla coil wanna be, every electronic device in the house has a powerful interference superimposed into it! If that device has an amplifier in it....the amplifier amplifies the interference!!! Unlike the 3BGS, my machine has no commutator...so there is no sparking of any kind....the device is electronically commuted...simple PWM, and yet, I get behavior similar to spark gap or commutated devices...

Not sure if you guys are experiencing these kinds of effects yet...if so do tell...if not...interesting....as we are generating a similar waveform...

Regards

Regards

iPad 8:42 AM 36%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/3e/55/bb/2737aee6e53a6b/US7109671.p...
3 Battery Generating System - Page 70 - Energetic Forum

06-15-2013, 09:52 AM #2099

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Matthew Jones**
> *Its a Scalar. Put it in an old microwave, the spike will come through.*
>
> *Matt*

Right...I am making no claims...I have no idea what the wave is....all I can say is nothing stops it...it doesn't appear that you can shield it.... I had the the device in something akin to a Faraday cage, and it didn't stop the waves coming out of the device from interfering with everything electronic in the room!

Your response leads me to believe that you too have experienced this kind of destructive behavior from your device?

Regards

Note...
My previous statement regarding it (the scalar?) not being stoppable isn't entirely accurate....if you touch the coils which isn't possible in many cases like with the 3BGS you can dampen the broadcast ability, not eliminate it but dampen it...also, if you place a bifilar wound pancake in close proximity to the device with the bifilar pancake shorted on itself, it has a similar dampening effect....for what its worth....

_____

Last edited by erfinder; 06-15-2013 at 10:06 AM.

Quote

06-15-2013, 10:52 AM #2100

Join Date: Aug 2010

iPad 8:43 AM 36%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/3e/55/bb/2737aee6e53a6b/US7109671.p...
3 Battery Generating System - Page 71 - Energetic Forum

06-15-2013, 02:45 PM #2105

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **tachyoncatcher**
> *DaveSw,*
> *The broadcast distance of those scalars is phenomenal.*
> *Randy*

500v input knocks out all electronics in my house..Don't try this at home kids...that Blue-ray player, PSP, X-Box, your choice of digital reciever, and anything else electronic that you prize has no safe place to hide when these things are really up and running...you thought frying 12-48 transistors in a SG circuit in a single sitting was bad....frying all the electronic devices in your home is a tad worse..not to mention.....expensive....

I agree....the broadcast distance is phenomenal...

Regards

_____

Last edited by erfinder; 06-15-2013 at 06:44 PM.

Quote

06-15-2013, 07:12 PM #2106

Duncan
Gold Member

Join Date: Aug 2010
Posts: 1,330

Quote:

iPad 9:22 AM 35%
energeticforum.com

06-17-2013, 07:02 AM #2115

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Hi,

In my opinion, the PMH as Ed Leedskalnin gave it to us is a kind of magnetic oscillator....if its not setup correctly and placed in the proper magnetic circuit, you cannot take advantage of this oscillating property...(as a side note...this magnetic oscillating feature is why I don't think we need "Barium Ferrite" magnets to make a so-called free energy generator, in defense of those who would suggest that the material is necessary, the use of that material in concert with this kind of winding topology would be an unparalleled match...)

This winding is (major speculation on my part again...) the coil of choice in those systems where a coil is "shorted on itself.." lots of patents out there where the design incorporates a coil shorted on itself...

Leedskalnin was a trickster, and he made this clear when speaking about misleading the scientists who came to visit..That being said...we should take a closer look at the coils, and use common sense when constructing the apparatus...It has been my experience that when the coils are properly connected, depending on your topology, you can end up with one of two wave forms. For the sake of clarity I'll only reference the one that everyone should be familiar with and capable of generating.... The attached image is of a small G-Field, I don't know who built it, and I have no idea how it works, how its windings are wound, nor how they are inner connected...what I do know and what matters to me is the wave form in that image.

According to this link...

Fourier series - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

That wave is special... That waveform that we see associated with that G field generator and the wave that I am generating in some of my devices...is part of a series of waves...These waves, all odd harmonics, lead to the generation of a square wave...and that is exactly what happens in my machines...When the square wave manifests, that's when you begin to store the CEMF which is in opposition to the applied EMF....this stored up CEMF then discharges back into the primary when you stop driving the device as a motor...

UFO Politics is WRONG about CEMF! WRONG WRONG WRONG!! PERIOD!!! Damn...that felt good.. Why anyone would make it their mission in life to snuff out that one good thing is beyond me...CEMF is a gift not a curse, ignorance that's the problem....

The question that should be asked after the most important question is answered....(the most important will be skipped over.....as usual....owing to that little voice inside which says....build it test it....build build test test test...)

is....

Fourier series - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

That wave is special... That waveform that we see associated with that G field generator and the wave that I am generating in some of my devices...is part of a series of waves...These waves, all odd harmonics, lead to the generation of a square wave...and that is exactly what happens in my machines...When the square wave manifests, that's when you begin to store the CEMF which is in opposition to the applied EMF....this stored up CEMF then discharges back into the primary when you stop driving the device as a motor...

UFO Politics is WRONG about CEMF! WRONG WRONG WRONG!! PERIOD!!! Damn...that felt good.. Why anyone would make it their mission in life to snuff out that one good thing is beyond me...CEMF is a gift not a curse, ignorance that's the problem....

The question that should be asked after the most important question is answered....(the most important will be skipped over.....as usual....owing to that little voice inside which says....build it test it....build build test test test...)

is....

How **MUST** we connect our coils...
Easy answer...EFTV25

Almost forgot...a video of one my rigs generating that wave.....

G-Field Generator Waveform - YouTube

Regards

Attached Thumbnails



Last edited by orfinder: 06-17-2013 at 07:04 AM

iPad 9:32 AM 35%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/3e/55/bb/27... | 3 Battery Generating System - Page 72 - Energet... | YouTube

06-20-2013, 01:51 PM #2135

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Hey LutherG,

I see you....LOL I got that issue we talked about perfected...Officially I got three different versions now...The most promising is a window type topology...(acceleration under dead short with no iron)....WTF...Looking forward to a chat so we can discuss it.....

Regards

Quote

06-20-2013, 04:51 PM #2136

LutherG
Member

Join Date: Apr 2007
Posts: 91

iCU2!

Oh that's funny - I just emailed you saying we need to skype soon! That's awesome news!! I have a couple of things I need to discuss with you as well...

Cheers,

Luther

PS: its great to see you on this thread!

iPad 9:43 AM 34%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

UFO,

I applaud what you have accomplished thus far, and applaud what you have planned for the future...You may bash this message to bits, however I hope you would consider what I am about to tell you.

CEMF can be neutralized without the use of diodes....The magnetic fields of the devices can be so configured so as to produce what I call "Dielectric-Magnetic Rectification". When the coils are properly configured you see what looks like a rectified sine wave coming from your machine! This "rediscovery" kinda punches holes in your theory....I'm sure an individual of your experience has figured this out by now and I am wasting both of our time telling you something that you already know...You have seen this im sure...(at one point you were very close...then something happened...and you lost it...).....

It only took me a year to rediscover how to properly wind and configure the coils so that you are not opposed by CEMF. When I spin my rotors in one direction I get CEMF full force, but when operated in the opposite direction, CEMF is next to nothing! When CEMF is gone consumption drops insanely.... Your modified machines, if wound properly...(not judging your devices or the devices of those who are replicating your work I am not trying to offend in any way...) would draw peanuts unloaded and would run like turbines! (Got to ditch the brushes and commutator.....but that should be no problem for you all as you are already into high power PWM.

This is where you are probably going to get all hot under the collar...take this as a suggestion and not as an insult. The proper method for winding the coils you demonstrated ages ago! Another on this forum a wise man is using a similar configuration, his and mine are very very similar. You would be wise to adopt this windings topology....again....match the stator to the rotor...when you do you will have what I call the Orthogonal Hybrid. The motor and generator are identical...direction of spin determains what you will have. If wound and communicated proper (coil to coil communication.....not series connected as you understand it..) your generator will accelerate when you place a load on it...

You don't have to believe anything I just stated...take a chance....go back to where you started when you first came here...back to this winding....see attachment...you missed something wonderful...or maybe you didn't.....

For the record I don't agree with 99% of what you have disclosed, however, that 1% made a powerful impression. I give credit and honor where its due...

In conclusion I want to say that you have the right to your opinions and theories, and I have mine....neither of us nor anyone on this forum has the finial say....we are all learning...evolving and developing our understanding of the forces. If you doubt anything I have said...send me two of those big motors...LOL...I'll get em rewound, and connected up and then show you what I'm talking about using motors that you and your replicators are using...

Regards

iPad 11:23 AM energeticforum.com 32%

Once We get full control to "Play" with this arrays...a huge Universe will open in front of Us...and not necessarily and only related to Energy my friend...

Robotics...Servo Motors based on this Technologies could be SO ACCURATE...and yet so ULTRA-FAST SPEEDS...Imagine a Heart Surgery done in milli seconds...by nano bots...

Space...and Flights through our Planet and outspace...Man will fly as easy as a bird gets off the ground...

So now...the Extraterrestrials will no longer could call Us "The Surface People"...

Humanity WILL make a change...

Cheers

Ufopolitics

While reading this I got the feeling that you don't understand what I stated to you in the post I made on your thread..Its ok....I realize that you are very busy...and as such.....might not have the time to invest in thinking about what I pointed out...so....

Magnetic fields aren't what we think they are...arranging them in exotic configurations isn't going to deliver what we think...there is something fundamental missing in our logic....I am not the one to explain this for I too am a student of nature. What I have found, I can present...I will not make a thread dedicated to the subject...but will post in areas where I think the information fits...

If you have a true asymmetric machine, the waveform that it generates should be asymmetrical in appearance. When you spin your rotor, the wave that's associated with the generated EMF should be Asymmetrical! If its not, then chances are you don't have what you think you have...period...When you spin the rotor in one direction the generated wave will be more positive than negative, and when the rotor direction is reversed, you have a wave more negative than positive ... This is what I referred to as "Magneto-Dielectric Rectification". (I am human...my interpretation of what I have on my bench is probably flawed...but know this...I got it and you don't...but I am trying to give it to you....You the guy who hates for good reason and no reason...think about that while watching the clip.

100% Orthagonal - No BEMF Motor - YouTube

"Poor Erfinder"....I wish I knew what you meant by that.....I am here trying to right a wrong....both of us were wrong, comprehend that, comprehend what I'm doing here...comprehend what you are being shown....lets move forward!

Regards

iPad 11:27 AM 31%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.co... My Asymmetric Electrodynamic M... YouTube YouTube

06-30-2013, 12:15 PM #4992

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

I don't know how to put this....other than direct...hopefully you won't take offense....

These motors you are redesigning are drawing way way way way too much at idle especially the ones you demonstrate driving generators.....There are and I'm certain you are well informed on this, far better methods for generating torque, see Lockridge Device.....capacitor discharge into low inductance low resistance windings.....Torque in my opinion should be developed "when needed" and shouldn't be produced at idle...the CEMF generated in existing unmodified motors is doing its job, and doing it well....CEMF serves us well by limiting the consumption of the motor...Let nature do her job, she is trying to restore balance.

The issue with CEMF is not in what its doing, it can only do what it does...**its the coil to coil, inducing to induced communication which is the problem...there is no communication!** You and a few others have done a grade A job of rewinding these machines, but, have still not solved the communication issue...Consumption **MUST** drop when you load your motor mechanically, and if you are driving a gen head, when it is loaded, draw should decrease, and the prime mover should either accelerate or maintain rpm while still showing a decrease in consumption..

Your group is demonstrating a current hungry motor driving a gen head is proof to me that you haven't comprehend the difference between a prime mover and a motor... A motor is what you have....not one that I would want but you got one...a prime mover is what you want for driving your gen head...**A prime mover produces just enough torque to overcome the magnetic cogging that it experiences at start up**.....prime movers draw less to nothing at top rpm..and when mechanically loaded decrease draw even further. The proper generator to drive with such a prime mover is one which is properly communicating between its associated parts...when all blockages are removed...and or not allowed to manifest as is now the case, the generator regardless of coil inductance and resistance will accelerate when loaded...no need for massive torque...

Just food for thought..no insults...no ego...the motors you have already converted can be converted again.....its not a big deal....

Regards

_____

Last edited by erfinder; 06-30-2013 at 12:17 PM.

iPad 11:28 AM 31%
energeticforum.com

> *The price is that the amperage "reduced" in the outer terminals of a Symmetric Motor...is the final result of "Forcing a front/head on collision inside those Series Coils...the Heat, the burning of those machines are the proof of what's going on in their inner components.*
>
> *Again, negative...Our Motors are "Not Hungry for Amps"...wrong concept, and I am impressed how you keep bringing same subject ...knowing the basics behind a simple coil and its relation to resistance/amp draw...*
>
> *However I agree 100% with your statement below:*
>
> *Tesla did that in the 1800's...Asymmetry allows that, communication between Machines "common" parts...having common stators...or common arrays of coils.*
>
> *For example...a Figeura's Generator would be very simple to incorporate to an Asymmetrical Pulsing "Prime Mover"...that have wound Stators.*
>
> *Regards*
>
> *Ufopolitics*

Ok....I officially give up...The truth...whatever that is...will prevail in the end...

A friend of mine just recently found out that he has a Lathe from the 30's that's communicating properly...when he loads this **factory built** machine his consumption drops from 2 amps down to 1 amp, and accelerates..

I'm very very sorry but you are in grave error on many of those points above, but its not my place to correct you nor point you in this or that direction....

Good luck and happy hunting UFO...the truth is out there.....we don't have to reinvent the wheel....but you keep on trying anyway....

Regards

iPad 12:37 PM 30%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **boguslaw**
> *erfinder, ufopolitics*
>
> *It's a communication barrier. Maybe that make you feel better if I point that I don't understand both of you 😟 So maybe you are talking about the same without understanding each other ? KISS (keep it stupid simple) , please bring it the the simplest possible level so we can comprehend the total importance.*

Coils in existing motors aren't connected correctly...that's it...It's not Lenz or CEMF opposing you its ignorance!

I have no idea where this thread is going, it is my opinion that none of what has been presented has anything to do with Tesla. Tesla patented one series of machine which I have interpreted as being asymmetrical.....this particular config was in your face, 100% Orthogonal! It is my belief that necessity drove those skilled in the art to find a simpler, more cost effective method for winding machines which was equal in performance to the prior art....the method was found....and the winding of toroids was superseded.

These are common sense conclusions that I came to, and are subject to the approval to a "competent" authority only.... the waveform generated by the machine I built in my attempt at replicating Tesla's 100% Orthogonal motor is in my opinion.....asymmetrical.

Hope that was simple enough...now its up to you to find out how to properly connect coils, and configure the magnets in your devices. You have a few options...

- you can choose to do it like Tesla did it.....(Highly Recommended)
- you can roll the dice and do it your way....
- or you can continue down the path you are on and continue to take instruction from UFO, and continue doing what hes instructing you to do...

When its all said and done, only one of these options will deliver the goods....

Regards

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Cornboy 555**
> *@ Erfinder, i have watched your videos, and i think you have a very valid point or two, but we here would like you to show us how to rewind, or reconnect, the imperial motor to your specifications, so it will use less input under load, and speed up at the same time!!.*

I can't show you how to reconfigure an imperial if I don't have one. Unfortunately, you would need to rewind the motors and not just reconnect them....

Speed up under load is a easy, several individuals one in particular (Tinman) demonstrated how this was done using a motor similar to the ones you are rewinding, but he got the boot...tunnel vision...I really don't get it... I demonstrate acceleration under load using low inductance high low resistance coils operating at low frequency.....no interest.....LOL....

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Cornboy 555**
> *This thread is about practical replication and building, not just theory.*

You watched my videos...I am not just theory...I build...I build proof of principle first, cost effective approach...then I modify existing...I don't dive head first into modifying the existing....why....it might surprise you but the system as is might just need a small push in the right direction...but as you have torn it apart completely...you'll never know for sure...

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Cornboy 555**
> *A simple diagram, or sketch will do, no one here is going to send you an Imperial to modify!*

No...a simple diagram or sketch will not do....and I know no one is going to send me an Imperial...

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Cornboy 555**
> *My interest is purely in Vehicle Traction motors, and they require heavy torque, right from idle, like these motors show.*

OK....just saying you have options....What I am curious about is where you are going to get all that power you will need.....I'm sure you all have that figured out, I'm looking forward to seeing that...

Regards

iPad 12:43 PM 29%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.co... | My Asymmetric Electrodynamic M... | YouTube | YouTube

07-01-2013, 07:17 AM #5005

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Cornboy 555**
> *Thanks Erfinder, i don't have Skype, i am not very Computer savy, but surely you can share what you have discovered, here, i mean what's the big deal? Are you worried, that what you have found with rotating devises, is something that shouldn't be shared with all?.*
>
> *Let's break down the barriers here and now!!*
>
> *Warm Regards, Cornboy.*

Skype....that is how it has to work...the information I want to pass on to you if you are interested...didn't originate with me....there is a very short video clip you should see.....if you are interested....the offline communication channel is open.....its not really proper for me to rebroadcast something that's already out in the open...the problem is the information I am referring to isn't free...we can discuss it offline, I won't post the stuff on an open forum...what you do with what I share with you is your business. Hope you understand..

EFTV23...in that video we are instructed how to properly connect coils.....

Regards

Quote

07-01-2013, 07:35 AM #5006

Cornboy 555
Silver Member

Join Date: Aug 2011
Posts: 803

iPad 2:59 PM 25%
energeticforum.com

> *transmitting the transverse wave into the "bad batteries" putting two batteries there has produced a di-pole its improved things . I don't know anything like as much as I would like to about antenna theory, but in basic terms the higher the frequency the smaller the antenna needs to be . A wire antenna in the low Khz range as our motors are could be in the order of miles long.*
> *So IMHO we need to get solid state or even thermionic in order to get into high frequencies.*
> *The impedance match of a very simple transmitter needs altering away from stupid 50ohms*
> *and then perhaps we can balance …. The transmitted transverse wave (which we know about) and the received telluric wave (which we know next to nothing about) both have an electrostatic and magnetic content …. by trial and error we may match one side of the pipe to the other but it would be so very much easier if we could engineer it so. We are of course trying to bring about a totally "open system" although it may not seem like it to you …. nature seems to work at a phenominal frequency.*
> *She'll rush in the front door …. rattle your battery with her wand .. then rush out the back door*
> *then she'll repeat the operation in reverse like a child happily at play obstruct either door and you will not have a visitor .*

I find it very difficult to agree with you regarding resonance and other related topics, however, in the same light I'm not in the position to disagree with you either...with that being said...I have a question for you...

I have a machine which charges its supply back without any special circuitry...all that has to be done is I remove the hall sensor so that the device is no longer being driven as a motor...when this is done, the motor sends what appears to me to be a current pulse back towards the supply. The most spectacular results I have obtained thus far with this mechanism was once when I drove the setup with 100v. The device was connected to the supply and ran for roughly 30 seconds, at the end of this time frame the hall sensor was removed and the buffer capacitor (capacitor paralleled with the supply) shot up to 250v! Now according to my poor calculations....disregarding what the device needs to get it to the proper speed where the anomaly takes place, I start with 100v in a 4700uF capacitor, roughly 23.5 joules were required to charge that cap to that potential..... When I stop pulsing after 30 seconds, the capacitor resting voltage is instantly increased to 250v....to charge that cap up to that voltage one would need 143.8 joules...the difference between starting and ending joule values is heart and soul of my question.

My question.....where is this 123,3 joules which ends up in my supply capacitor coming from?

I am not asking for you to tell me how my device works, I know how it works, I am asking for your opinion regarding where you "think" the power for charging the cap is coming from... I have come to the conclusion that for this cap to charge like it does (instant voltage rise! a massive current has to be flowing!) I believe the capacity of the coil is being modified owing to how my device is configured....I believe the capacity is somehow transitioning from a few nano-farads to a few thousand micro-farads. Inductance can be varied in many different ways, however no one discusses how capacitance of an coil can be varied....it is assumed that the capacitance of a coil is limited to the turns between wires...this in my opinion is bull****! But I'm not a competent authority so all I can offer is speculation....your opinion would be much appreciated...

Regards

iPad 3:15 PM 25%
energeticforum.com

08-03-2013, 12:59 PM #2570

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **OrionLightShip**
> *I made the mistake being asleep when I chose to first watch duncan's video and I made the assumption that trace 2 was the current and that he had shifted the sine and cosine back into phase somehow because of the battery. My hopes for a var to va conversion were unfounded it would seem.*
>
> *I know that Tesla said you could add capacitance by shorting or shunting the top of the coil. It's in his colorado springs notes somewhere.*
>
> *Also, is it possible that under the right conditions, for the wire to momentarily adopt the property of isotropic capacitance?*
>
> *Seems unlikely I know, but that is one serious anomaly you have there.*

Hello OrionLightShip,

I haven't watched any of Duncan's videos, I probably should...Duncan can you please provide a link to your channel...

The effect is amazing...I mention it here because I believe its related....I strongly believe that the capacitance of my motor windings are being modified in some heretofore unknown way! I believe the cap is being charged with displacement current...how that's possible I don't know and can only speculate...and I won't do that here..I will say that this massive charging current doesn't produce the Lenz induced drag, ergo, my assuming that I am dealing with displacement current and not electronic current...When my motors are operating in the anomaly zone they generate pure square waves! The wave of the motor literally changes from a sine like wave into a perfect square wave....the wave is so clean it appears as if it was being generated by a signal generator.

I truly believe that this is related to the motor, and positive and or negative splitting aspect of the 3BGS....that's my only reason for posting this here...

Splitting the positive and negative reveals the self oscillating nature of the current(s)....

Regards

---

iPad 3:17 PM 24%
energeticforum.com

Whatever you can do,or dream you can,begin it.Boldness has genius,power and magic in it.Begin it now.

Quote

08-04-2013, 06:27 AM #2585

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **Duncan**
> *about as much as I appreciate your hypothetical machine that you already have all the answers for anyway I dare say*
>
> *... any time erfinder Its been a real pleasure .. happy to have helped*

Why do you keep saying hypothetical, the machine and associated effect are anything but....I didn't show a schematic.....you don't need to see one to understand my machine...a simple hall sensor triggered BJT or FET, with a recovery capacitor, and buffer capacitor across the supply is all that's needed...no PWM...circuit isn't the middle point...coil to coil interactions and inner connections are...I was asking your opinion....you have presented opinions here with less starting information....A video link was posted elsewhere...you might have watched it and got bored and blew it off...it happens...anyway....thanks for wasting your time....the pleasure was all mine...

Regards

Quote

08-04-2013, 09:36 AM #2586

OrionLightShip
Senior Member

Join Date: Nov 2011
Posts: 414

iPad 3:23 PM 24%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.co... | Open Letter to John B. - Energetic... | YouTube | YouTube

08-13-2013, 03:52 AM #12

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Thank you for the Ferris Wheel Lesson

The purpose of this thread is to thank you..... what do I want to thank you for? Heck, what can't I thank you for....? It would be easy for me to jump on the bandwagon and thank you in general, I'm not going to do that, for I want you to know that I truly, sincerely, appreciate the impressions you have given me. I want you to know that I see you, I see the blood sweat and tears invested in developing this device, I see the sleepless nights, the self doubt, the threats and suppression. I am too young to say I have watched you grow, however, you must have over the years, for I have since I found you. Your years I made my years...your blood sweat, tears, successes, and failures, I made my own...No John, I don't know you, I'm just married to your work, and my wife is my mistress....I shook your hand in 2010 and thanked you like all do for a machine that I didn't comprehend. With each hand shook, I'm sure you were hoping that one would get it...mission accomplished....

The Self Reguaging Idling Magneto, the heart of the Ferris wheel. The motorized magneto operating at a steady 5 rpm is overdriven by the Monopole Motor to 17rpm. The magneto draws no current after its accelerated, it generates that which it requires to operate, when driven fast enough, the cost for driving the Monopole motor are also covered, the two share the same primary battery. When driven faster still, (this is speculation) the primary battery is charged to the point to where it becomes necessary to siphon current off the primary battery in order to keep it from exploding. When all is said and done....the cost for switching is the only real cost you have, and even that is covered....brilliant.....

So John, in conclusion, I want you to know that I am honored to have found you and been allowed to work shoulder to shoulder with you (figuratively). Thank you for taking me under your wing and sharing your world with me. Thank you for the lesson that the Ferris wheel teaches.

Regards

Quote

Post Reply

iPad 3:25 PM 23%
energeticforum.com

> *_E S_e W_at W_ _ant T_ _ee.*
>
> *AC*

We need to talk again. Question. Can we accumulate the CEMF thats being generated in the motor, if so, in your opinion, what happens when we are enabled to accumulate the CEMF and discharge this accumulation either back into the supply or route it out into an external load?

Remember we talked briefly about surface area as being the mechanism for output exceeding input, I think I may have found the relation of surface area to the characteristic C (capacitance)of the reactor, and have found a mechanism which enables me to increase C. I am of the opinion that since I can manipulate C without making changes in the reactor itself, that C is a property of the field and not a property of the reactor proper as it is being taught.

Would enjoy an exchange with you when you can find the time. This isn't theory, I have demonstrable proof that supports the idea of being able to accumulate, and utilize the CEMF developed and wasted in motors. I know you aren't going to make the mistake of thinking I mixing up the terms...CEMF and inductive kickback...you know I know the difference, and for the record, both are being harvested.

Almost forgot, you note that all hell might break loose if the applied EMF and the induced EMF were acting in the same direction. I think I have accomplished this, and it has been suggested that what takes place in my machine is that the device can draw more current for a given set of circumstances.

ie. supply voltage of 50v into a 1 ohm coil means (discounting impedance) the device should draw 50A. Considering the applied EMF and the induced EMF are now in the proper relation, the motor generates say 30v the two voltages appear to add and I now draw 80A from the supply.

So yeah, in a way I agree all hell can break loose. The ability to get the applied EMF and induced EMF to work together is through proper timing, and something I call "Magneto-Dielectric Rectification", this is seen when you spin a rotor and the wave is either positive dominant, or negative dominant. The wave is either positive or negative when the rotor is spun either CW or CCW. The sine wave appears as if its been half wave rectified, no diodes are used.

So again we are faced with the truth that we have no idea what magnetism is, or how it works, of this I am now certain, if you would have asked me 2 years ago if I though you could rectify (this thing we are calling a magnetic field), I would have shook my head and laughed silently.

Regards

iPad 4:38 PM 19%
energeticforum.com

AC's drawing demonstrates the wonder of magnetism's workings on other pieces of iron, however, one hardly ever finds in our research, iron without an associated reactor.

In light of what you've pointed out regarding how you assume I am interpreting the behavior of the line of flux and iron powder interactions, I would like to say that I made no claims of comprehending whats going on there, I posed a question to AC, he answered the best of his ability, and you did as well. My truth is that in truth no one save the creator of the phenomena comprehends the phenomena, and till we align our thoughts with ITS knowing, we won't know.

That being said, I feel I must ask you yet another question. I can demonstrate an effect that I call "Magneto-Dielectric Rectification" (contrary to popular belief, I believe that alternators based on this concept are the type that Tesla used in his HF experiments where he states that he could control whether the output at the spark gap was either positive or negative....) I have a device which demonstrates what appears to be rectification without the use of semiconductors. When I spin the rotor clockwise the positive half of the wave manifests, and the negative half of the wave appears as if it is rectified out, when I spin the rotor counter clockwise, the negative half of the wave manifests, and the positive half appears as if it has been rectified out. Whats your take on this?

As far as I can tell, this effect has not been demonstrated by anyone. What do you suppose is enabling this to effect to take place, and do you see the advantage of being able to generate such conditions?

This wave is being generated in my 100% Orthogonal - No Counter Electromotive Force Motor. This device is in my opinion since the publication of John Bedini's Zero Force motor on youtube, the second demonstration of a real Asymmetric Electro-Dynamic Machine.

I would like to add that I really really really like your monster driver, and would like to use it but in order to do so a few modifications would be mandatory, owing in part to a few interesting and powerful properties of working with a true asymmetric machine. What I call a true asymmetrical machine charges the supply. The supply can and is often times charged to a much higher potential than the supply is itself supplying. In addition to the generator action charging the supply, that which is being called radiant is also being collected. The two energies move in opposite directions, but under certain conditions can be made to move in the same direction, this isn't necessary for back charging the supply.

Anyway...please respond at your leisure to my question regarding magneto dielectric rectification. Here is a video of the wave..

100% Orthogonal - Waveform - YouTube

Regards

Last edited by erfinder: 09-09-2013 at 06:53 AM

iPad 4:40 PM 18%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.co... | Re-Inventing The Wheel-Part1-Cle... | YouTube | YouTube

09-10-2013, 01:42 AM #505

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Turion**
> *The applied EMF and the Generated EMF are BOTH allowed to leave the motor, which is one of the reasons for the interesting results we are getting. When we learn to separate these two forces and use them independently of each other, we will have our answers I believe.*
>
> *Dave*

That is exactly what I am claiming to have accomplished. The separation of applied EMF and induced EMF. Once you have separated the two, you are then in the position to raise the potential of the induced to the point to where it can exceed the applied EMF. Once that happens, your motor is technically powering itself minus losses, still not enough for your machine to be looped, but its closer than anything I have seen being offered anywhere. Off the shelf motors do work, however, owing to improper geometric relations, balance and stability is next to impossible. Matt is the closest I have seen to getting it stabilized in his rewound machine, however, even here the system is still limited. I have designed a Plexiglas kit that I will be offering very soon.

The kit allows you to experience first hand how the applied and induced EMF are separated. In addition to being able to use the heretofore locked in induced EMF, you are also able to harvest the inductive kickback, my device is the second to demonstrate the difference between CEMF and inductive kickback, I believe John Bedini is demonstrating this, however, this should be viewed as speculation on my part.

Prior to posting an official link for the kits, I will shoot a short video series of the device in operation, demonstrating applied - induced EMF separation, inductive kickback collection, and induced EMF multiplication. It is my sincere hope that after viewing that series many of you take interest and support my/our research by purchasing a kit, and please keep in mind, that you get what you pay for.

Regards

---

iPad 4:43 PM 18%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.co... | Re-Inventing The Wheel-Part1-Cle... | YouTube | YouTube

09-10-2013, 06:10 AM #508

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **Cornboy 555**
> *Hello Erfinder, what modifications would you deem as Mandatory, for John Stones Monster driver? Please share your knowledge of this here.*
>
> *Thanks Cornboy.*

Hello Cornboy,

In my system it appears that the induced EMF is flowing unimpeded into the supply, because of this, measures must be taken to ensure that the supply isn't damaged when the induced EMF exceeds the applied EMF. So diodes and or diode networks are required between the supply and the circuit being driven.

In addition to the diodes, there should be a buffer capacity equal to the capacity of the (variable) reactor (will elaborate on that later) at the time of reactor discharge (not to be confused with the inductive kickback phenomena), this capacitor serves as a buffer between the supply and the circuit being driven by the supply.

The diodes on the back end of the circuit for recovering the field collapse (inductive kickback) in the monster driver should also be upgraded to higher amperage diodes.

Those are a few of the minor changes that should be made when dealing with a system like the one I am describing. Hope this answers your question.

Regards

Quote

iPad 4:46 PM 18%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

Originally Posted by **Armagdn03**
And here is a mind blowing statement given to us as a response to Erfinder by John Bedini concerning Floyd Sweet

Armagdn03.
That is how you would do it, Erfinder is right in what he is saying but you want to self bias this from the pulse and he is correct with the statement untwisted wires. Now I'm going to say something I should not. Floyd Sweet was privileged to work with the Germans after WW2.

He pulled this trick on me with the VTA except I caught him and was booted out he only made it look like he condition the magnets . The Germans already had developed, kept away from the people rotating mag amps and Sweet worked on them. (He was an electromagnetic expert in this field)

It was funny to me when I would go over to Sweet's place with the coils I wound for him and when I would leave it would be working the next day. I asked him to remove the 100 watt power Amplifier and he refused so I left then was asked to never return by Tom Bearden, Tom did not know as I never told him.

Tom even brought one over to me to test away from Foyd's house where it was working before Tom left to have it tested. Floyd went nuts when Tom told him he was testing this at my shop. It did not work.

So what ever GE had knowalage of , Floyd knew How, but I can make this machine work either way. Erfinder is right and should continue to experiment with this. The Germans already had over unity devices and were using them and the Mag Amp was at the root of that.

So the Vacuum Triode Amplifier used a mag amp as the core of its operating principle!

Armagdn03,

Taking the advice of John Bedini in that post, I continued to experiment with this and when I found the proper coil to coil relation (demonstrated by John Bedini), and utilized the proper pulse based bias (the biasing is a parameter variation on the inductance of the coil, the "Reactor" materialized.

The reactor is simply an inductor which is driven into a condition I call "Infinite Frequency - Resonant Self Oscillation". In this condition the coil is generating an infinite number of harmonic frequencies, these nested frequencies all sum and generate a perfect square wave. All of the nested frequencies share a common fundamental, and this fundamental is dictated by the rotor speed in

iPad 4:46 PM 18%
energeticforum.com

> *Floyd went nuts when Tom told him he was testing this at my shop. It did not work.*
>
> *So what ever GE had knowalage of , Floyd knew How, but I can make this machine work either way. Erfinder is right and should continue to experiment with this. The Germans already had over unity devices and were using them and the Mag Amp was at the root of that.*
>
> ***So the Vacuum Triode Amplifier used a mag amp as the core of its operating principle!***

Armagdn03,

Taking the advice of John Bedini in that post, I continued to experiment with this and when I found the proper coil to coil relation (demonstrated by John Bedini), and utilized the proper pulse based bias (the biasing is a parameter variation on the inductance of the coil, the "Reactor" materialized.

The reactor is simply an inductor which is driven into a condition I call "Infinite Frequency - Resonant Self Oscillation". In this condition the coil is generating an infinite number of harmonic frequencies, these nested frequencies all sum and generate a perfect square wave. All of the nested frequencies share a common fundamental, and this fundamental is dictated by the rotor speed in mechanical systems (the fields are operating at super high frequency, but the mechanical device is operating at a controllable frequency). To get a perfect square the harmonic train of frequencies must be approaching infinity, at this insane frequency of operation, the inductance of the coil for all intents and purposes no longer exists and the coil behaves as if it is a frequency based infinitely variable capacitance.

It is well known that the capacitance and inductance of an inductor has a direct relation to the frequency that the coil is operating at, that being said, under the proper conditions if the coil is properly referencing itself and the environment, the capacity of the inductor can be raised many orders of magnitude over its measured (calculated) static value. This is the mechanism for high COP (my opinion...completely speculative.) This method allows your circuit cohere to more of the disturbances taking place around the circuit, its been suggested in the aforementioned patent, that there is 10^13 energy flowing around our circuits which is not being tapped. This is how you tap it. From here it can be understood that all EMF based devices are high COP devices when their reaction cross section is increased, in this case the reaction cross section is a reference to the coils capacitance....now Tesla's patented bifilar coil has a true circuit to function in.

It is my opinion that this is what John Bedini and Tom Bearden were referring to in the patent when they made the statement regarding manipulating the reaction cross section of the power EMF circuit.

So my friend, in the end, you were right parameter variation on the capacitance side is way better, thankfully, we don't need high voltages nor do we need to generate the high frequencies, the latter is a self governing function inherent in a properly configured system.

Regards

iPad 5:23 PM 17%
energeticforum.com

> *The Bedini circuit can remove the BEMF as well and allow for storing the energy (or the field collapse) in a secondary battery, so it does not oppose the rotation. Is this comparable to the principle of asymmetric machines?*
>
> *Regards*
>
> *adversarius*

There are several different schools of thought regarding Asymmetry in motors and generators. I'll skip that and go straight to the BEMF in the SG.

When you look at the device the first thing you see is the all north poles out. This topology limits the amount of potential that the device will generate when spinning. The next thing to note is that during the BEMF moment, a pulse is applied which effectively turns the BEMF cycle into a forward EMF. The generator function within the SG is overridden by use of proper timed pulsing, and the unique magnet configuration in the rotor.

It is my opinion that the SG has nothing in common with the machines under discussion in this thread.

So in conclusion, the BEMF isn't being removed, and the collapsing field energy storage does have an effect on rotor speed, if the impedance isn't properly matched the speed is negatively affected.

Hope that helps...

@ Cornboy

You will have much more control over harmonic mixing if you eliminate the spark gap (this includes commutators). The harmonic train you want is not a mix of all harmonics, it is specific wave train that you are looking for and it is directly related to the logarithmic spiral. The idea is to try and get those harmonics with abrupt changes in circuit conditions, however without the formation of plasma at the switch. My 2 cents.....

Regards

Quote

09-12-2013, 07:39 AM #2452

JohnStone

Join Date: Feb 2009
Location: Global Village

iPad 7:23 PM 55%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.co... My Motors got me to Tap into Radi... YouTube YouTube

> *So, it seems to me now that turning the coils on and off is achieved by the asymmetrical architecture of the motor and not by a pulsing-circuit (like in the Bedini circuit)?*
>
> *The first pulse motor I learned about was the Bedini, so my knowledge of those motors is quite influenced by this principle. The Bedini circuit can remove the BEMF as well and allow for storing the energy (of the field collapse) in a secondary battery, so it does not oppose the rotation. Is this comparable to the principle of asymmetric machines?*
>
> *Regards*
>
> *adversarius*

There are several different schools of thought regarding Asymmetry in motors and generators. I'll skip that and go straight to the BEMF in the SG.

When you look at the device the first thing you see is the all north poles out. This topology limits the amount of potential that the device will generate when spinning. The next thing to note is that during the BEMF moment, a pulse is applied which effectively turns the BEMF cycle into a forward EMF. The generator function within the SG is overridden by use of proper timed pulsing, and the unique magnet configuration in the rotor.

It is my opinion that the SG has nothing in common with the machines under discussion in this thread.

So in conclusion, the BEMF isn't being removed, and the collapsing field energy storage does have an effect on rotor speed, if the impedance isn't properly matched the speed is negatively affected.

Hope that helps...

@ Cornboy

You will have much more control over harmonic mixing if you eliminate the spark gap (this includes commutators). The harmonic train you want is not a mix of all harmonics, it is specific wave train that you are looking for and it is directly related to the logarithmic spiral. The idea is to try and get those harmonics with abrupt changes in circuit conditions, however without the formation of plasma at the switch. My 2 cents.....

Regards

iPad 7:25 PM 55%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.co... | Cross Field Capacitor - Page 2 - En... | YouTube | YouTube

09-12-2013, 11:21 AM #49

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Allcanadian**
> *@Erfinder*
> *In retrospect it is not difficult to understand the principal however we have to accept that energy in the form of oscillations is the norm. We have to accept that the conception of dead and empty space is a false construct.*
> *AC*

Energy in the form of oscillations is the norm...this is true, however, how many circuits are designed so that "self oscillations" are allowed to manifest? Allow me to clarify what I mean regarding self oscillation, a simple circuit oscillating at high frequency isn't what I'm talking about. Present day systems are designed so that the potentials appear to move from one end of the conductor to the other, this in my opinion isn't how the fields move. The fields move from space towards the inner most regions of the conductor and from the inner most regions back towards space. Coils in a device should be configured to accommodate this, presently they are not. Coils should be arranged so that the location where two coils join, produces either a positive or negative node. This we just don't see!

_____

Quote

09-12-2013, 12:34 PM #50

Armagdn03
Silver Member

Join Date: Oct 2007
Posts: 913

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **erfinder**
> *Armagdn03,*

iPad 7:28 PM 55%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Beamgate**
> *Enough fun with the lubricated or levitated axis!*
>
> *We have a newfound puzzle to solve!*
>
> *My belief:*
> *All that is gravitic has been touched by a current (even if in minute proportion).*
> *One could consider that "without the electric there can be no gravity".*
>
> *There, it's done.*
>
> *So, @ERFinder, what do you feel about your configuration has influenced your CEMF to depart (beneficially, with minimal influence) **your** system in such a willful manor (seemingly defeating the effects of capacitive/inductive reactance and ultimately potential loads in the process)?*
>
> *Curious, no doubt. But, some may be wondering (at this early stage of the thread) just what you've built. Can you describe (show if comfortable) the design of this puzzle?*

The simple answer is in my device I can use the CEMF to charge the supply (deemed impossible). Owing to the timing, and a few other factors, there is no mechanical (Lenz) loading experienced when the supply is being charged. The method by which the supply is charged is novel, I have not seen it done by anyone. I suspect that John Bedini is using this but I can only speculate on that. I'm not defeating the effects of capacitive/inductive reactance, I'm finding that reactance isn't an issue when the coils are properly communicating.

I am parameter varying the inductance, and as a reaction to this there can be an exponential increase in the capacitance of the coil. The coil becomes a capacitor...the supply is hit with capacitor (here the inductor is a capacitor of varying capacitance) discharge between drive pulses, no extra circuitry required, the supply receives a pulse when the supply current is removed. In addition to this, the inductive kickback from this same coil (huh) is collected and sent to a load of choice. This is the first time that I have seen a true separation of CEMF and inductive kickback, it is also the first time I have seen CEMF being utilized, independant of the inductive kickback (i.e. Window motor) and a mechanism for increasing the potential of the CEMF to the point to where it can exceed the source potential.

I hope I have answered your questions, if you would like more info, just ask. I am preparing a kit which will demonstrate this phenomena, and will be offering it for sale soon.

Regards

iPad 7:31 PM 55%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.co... | My Motors got me to Tap into Radi... | YouTube | YouTube

09-13-2013, 02:10 AM #2465

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Cornboy 555**
> *@ Cornboy*
>
> *You will have much more control over harmonic mixing if you eliminate the spark gap (this includes commutators). The harmonic train you want is not a mix of all harmonics, it is specific wave train that you are looking for and it is directly related to the logarithmic spiral. The idea is to try and get those harmonics with abrupt changes in circuit conditions, however without the formation of plasma at the switch. My 2 cents.....*
>
> *Hello Erfinder, to what logarithmic spiral do you refer to.*
>
> *Thanks Cornboy.*

Hello Cornboy,

The golden section, the true signature of Asymmetry. One or two of my machines generates wave forms which follow the Fibonacci Number sequence, and thereby harmonize with the golden section. This is part of the reason why I'm so disappointed with where this thread is going. There is so much that you all should be observing but aren't. There can be no fix for this till it is accepted that there maybe something wrong. However, I am grateful that you are considering that there could be more. There is! The golden section is the thread which we find centering all things on this planet and beyond. You can call it the central hub gear if you will. When your device is engineered properly, we are enabled to link up to that hub and it can drive our machinery, partially or fully. The wheel work of nature....

Regards

Quote

iPad 7:38 PM 55%
energeticforum.com

> *amaze that everything is not just under your noses but its already inside your noses. LOL . Boguslaw has already found this everything maybe he found out this way back 2010, and keeping all the mysteries to oneself.*
>
> *The real trick of free Energy is the another way of using the High Self Inductance Coil on the system. e.g. Bedini High Self Inductance Coiling, Stanley Meyer Coiling, UFO Radiant Coil,The set up on your 3BGS system is ONE. and a lot more people who knows how to wire the system with out using the power source, all we need is to give a kick at start and stabilize the energy stored on the Capacity and let the capacity do all the trick.*
>
> *The Energy(High Electromotive Force) created by the motor coil thus charge the battery or any means of capacity like you did is the correct one. But this system is only limited only to this cause it is not perfect.*
>
> *How did Bedini discharge the cap to the battery, no diodes right? Ev gra charged his battery no diodes right? This are all example that i always tell to you people battery can be charge as a capacitor.Because battery is built like a capacitor. Polarize capacitor if you connect the same POSITIVE TERMINAL it will convert it to non-Polarized capacitor, Energy on capacitor is can be Flipped in any way(both sides) you know it right?*
>
> *Try to pick a Bedini type coil of coiled in spool with wielding rod on the core, then connect both terminal of coil to the battery supply and put a metallic object on the wielding rod core and tells me what you observe?Switch it on and off as faster as you can, what do you feel on the metallic object you are holding? Imagine the coil your holding is the coils of Your motor in the 3BGS!*
>
> *Did you Light a 12Volt BULB very bright in a 1:1 ratio Transformer with out draining the batteries, also feeding the 555 driver to Make and Break the system with just only 1 12volt 7AH battery for 24hours, the battery never goes down even 1 milivolt after the system stabilize, but once you measure the Voltage accumulated on the capacity is much bigger like 200v to 400v and keep on rising ringing the coils and the battery. I never extracted yet the ElectromagneticFeedback on the input, Im just using the OUTPUT, YES you heard me We can used the Energy of EletromagneticFeedback of the input at the same time Using the High Frequency Output. I must admit the semi conductor switching cant really attain the efficiency I am looking for. Once the system is turn off the voltage on the Battery will keep on charging itself.*
> *If nobody listen on this on this ill deleted this post in anytime.*

You sound like I did back when I first started, throwing quotes at people from Tesla's patents. You are clever though, you have your eye in the right spot, however, I must ask, do you really understand what's being stated in those patents? Do you really comprehend what's being demonstrated in those figures? The breaking of the motor circuit results in the production of a current of high electro-motive force, (everyone seems to be doing this or something similar..Bedini, Muller, Adams, Teal, Gray, basically anyone pulsing a motor) according to Tesla this force is developed for charging the capacitor, you say without diodes, however rectification is still necessary, do you know how Tesla was able to rectify without diodes?

Counter electromotive force and High electromotive force aren't the same thing, however, their origins are common. They both come into being via a change in what we term the magnetic field. How are we justified in labeling the preexisting counter? That which we call counter EMF is there prior to our applying an EMF from our supply. This EMF will manifest even if we don't connect our device to a power supply. What then is counter EMF and where does it come from? Why do we design systems which destroy this CEMF, or decide to do stupid stuff as has been suggested elsewhere and attempt to control this CEMF with diodes? Showing us how to charge a cap using this "high electromotive force" is the reason (in my opinion) for getting this patent! What is he trying to tell us about the relation of the force developed at switch break, the inductor that the force is developed in, and the capacitor that the force is moving towards? What is the lesson?

I am of the opinion that the mechanism which enables us to manifest a CEMF is the secret! I find it interesting how the ignorant focus their hate towards CEMF, trying to excommunicate it from motors, while at the same time worshiping it in generators. Talk about a conflict of ideas, double think.....Separation of the two (applied EMF, CEMF) EMF is impossible, as far as I can tell, the two share a common fulcrum, this fulcrum is designed and constructed based on a false understanding of how the fields move about the (fulcrum) conductor (I don't claim to have the proper understanding, however, as a thinking individual I have the right and obligation to question dogma.) Isolation becomes possible when we establish a condition which is similar to a junction (field interaction based) found in semiconductors within the conductor, however deeper understanding of how the forces move in and around systems we develop are required. The 3BGS is working with a junction of sorts, but there are alternatives which should be investigated, for when the circuit is really doing what it should, the battery could become something very dangerous to one's health.

A circuit of high self induction is important in my opinion. What is the significance of making it high? Don't forget we were instructed to keep the resistance low, why is that important? At what frequency did Tesla suggest operating circuits such as those that you mention? What happens to your high inductance when the device is operated at a high frequency? What happens to the capacitance? Why does Tesla make no mention of coil capacitance? Make no mistake, there is more taking place in that circuit than you may get out of a casual once over.

Resonance is overrated, isolating a single sine wave function out of an ocean of complex standing wave patterns and saying that Tesla taught you that is an insult on that mans intelligence! Keep digging, there is a lesson to be learned, and I don't think anyone is talking about it. When you find it, and you will if you keep looking, you will realize that what you are trying to share right now isn't it, at that time you will also know why. That which I seek is that which we ignorantly call into existence to destroy.

Dave, please forgive me for making this post, I just couldn't resist...hopefully it won't happen again.

Regards

iPad 7:40 PM 55%
energeticforum.com

Quote

09-20-2013, 03:01 AM #2508

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **boguslaw**
> *I think the energy is stored in dielectric in case of capacitor. I tend to visualize a capacitor like a resonant cavity with a lot of bouncing waves. The simplest way to prove would be to dismantle charged leyden jar and replace all metalic parts with the new one from uncharged jar.*
>
> *Tha may also open a "worms can" because all electrostatics are based on the same concept....so if I'm right things would not look the same as in books. In electrostatics case the point would be to do all experiments in vacuum (obviously that is impossible without a labolatory).*
>
> *The issue with protecting capacitor from overcharge from radiant energy is a big interest for me also. And here is the question : I know that the simple regulated DC supply could be made just with a zener diode and a power resistor, but the problem is the resistor placed in the power supply line limiting the output current after the capacitor. Could it be arranged in such way that the zener diode and resistor act only in case on overvoltage but not during the normal output processing ? !!! Imagine a power supply line going to capacitor and forward to load , with no resistor inline, while zener diode and power resistor in shunt to the capacitor - would that work in case of overvoltage ????*

If you are seeing something going back to your supply, interpret it as a good sign! You just have to make sure that you know what it is that's returning, you have to find out whether its CEMF or if its inductive kickback. Hopefully you will find that its CEMF, if it is, then you win, if its inductive kickback, reconfigure so that its CEMF. It is imperative that you get the CEMF to charge the supply and not the inductive kickback (what you guys call radiant). Once you get the CEMF returning, learn how and when it will exceed the supply voltage, then learn to control it. Once you control it, then you can contemplate methods for "mixing" CEMF and inductive kickback.

It was suggested by John Stone that you insert a choke, I don't recommend this, what I recommend is that you place two diodes between your supply and the circuit that you are using to drive the motor (one diode on the positive leg, and one on the negative leg), then place a high value capacitor after those diodes. That is all you need to do, then watch the voltage on that capacitor. You will need to adjust the timing of the device you are driving and the pulse width that its being operated at. Doing so, depending on your configuration you should see an increase activity where you are collecting inductive kickback, or the cap which is across the power supply behind those diodes will charge to a higher value than the supply. I make no promise that you will see this in your setup, I see it in my machines, and have come to the conclusion that its due to the winding configuration that I use. However I made this post because it was stated that you or another poster is seeing something go back to the power supply and cause problems. This is good! You have to tame the beast.

edit..

I have been experiencing this phenomena for a very long time and now take advantage of it.

Here is a demonstration from about a year ago when I first found that beast that was blowing up my power supplies.

. - YouTube

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 09-20-2013 at 03:18 AM.

Quote

09-20-2013, 02:59 PM #2509

JohnStone
Gold Member

Join Date: Feb 2009
Location: Global Village
Posts: 1,092

iPad 7:44 PM 55%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.co... | nay sayers ... 100,000 Euro's Bonu... | YouTube | YouTube

Quote

09-22-2013, 04:56 AM #20

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Duncan**
> *For that reason AC I think you have probably hit the nail if not squarely on the head ... certainly a glancing blow. If the **90 deg vector to the parallel resonant electrical circuit is the electromagnetic transverse wave** which is what we are taught .... and if the vector at **90deg to the series resonant electric state is the Linear wave** ... which it is but the existence is denied and we are taught nothing of the subject, **Then It stands to reason (to me at least) that the sling shot ... whip action .. would be that mechanical equivalent** ... food for thought a nice post AC.. thank you.*

Finally we have reached a point in our exchanges where we find ourselves where Tesla probably desired for us to be when he patented his famous "Electro Magnetic Motor" back in 1888.

http://www.keelynet.com/tesla/00381968.pdf

Machines of this particular geometry I have come to call "Orthogonal" under the banner "Asymmetrical Magneto-Dielectric Machines" for reasons obvious to those skilled in the art. Sling-shot, whip action..... indeed!

Regards

_____

Last edited by erfinder; 09-22-2013 at 04:59 AM.

Quote

09-22-2013, 08:21 PM #21

Farmhand

Join Date: Jun 2010

iPad 7:57 PM 55%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.co... | 3 Battery Generating System - Pag... | YouTube | YouTube

> *things in either "DC" through the load fashion, or "AC" through the load fashion.*
>
> *But the point is, you really can induce one or more processes that allow simultaneously charging the battery (changing the chemistry in the charging mode) while discharging energy onto the Drude electron gas in the external circuit, powering them up and thereby powering the load.*
>
> *And you have not violated any laws of physics or thermodynamics, and the conservation of energy law is enforced at all times.*
>
> *Presently I know of no other book or paper that has such as its stated goal. The books and current research seem to all try to "coherently organize and synchronize" the various battery processes and currents to maximize charging and maximize discharging efficiency, while keeping the two completely separate. On the other hand, our purpose is to "decoherently organize and synchronize" the various battery processes and currents, to accomplish charging of the battery and discharging through the load to power it, simultaneously. In short, we seek to convert the battery and its processes into an open dissipative system capable of overunity operation, and all the way to self-powering operation while powering a load also.*
>
> *The ion current can only sluggishly slow to a stop for its reversal; it requires it a finite amount of time to do that. So it continues right on charging the battery for awhile. During that ion current hysteresis or overshoot time, you have a tremendous "charge density squeeze" occurring. This gives you an overpotential to use, and you can use it in dramatically different manners, simultaneously, on differing current types.*

I really enjoy reading this information that Tom Bearden put out. There is so much to be learned from it. Now the thing that I have found that I haven't seen discussed in light of this text here is that it doesn't apply to anything that we are being told! There are battery chargers being sold which have very little relation to the mechanism that's being discussed in this text! I find it even more interesting that its suggested that we focus our attention on the chemistry of the battery as if the battery is charging itself, I find that strange, because isn't it the discharge current from the inductor which makes the change in battery chemistry possible? Why are we being instructed to focus on the battery, shouldn't we be focusing on the inductor? Speaking for myself...I am focusing on the inductor. Thanks again for posting this text, the future will show that this was Tom Bearden's best work when we finally apply it, specifically the increase in "reactive cross section".

The play on words is also awesome, we are told that the battery "capacity" increases....hint...hint....maybe we should be focusing on capacity in the general sense and not the capacity of slow moving ions....capacity of what.....that's the question.

Regards

iPad 7:59 PM 54%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.co... | 3 Battery Generating System - Pag... | YouTube | YouTube

09-23-2013, 12:49 PM #2865

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Mario**
> *What's funny is that Bearden always says that the electron current to power the external load is to be taken from the batt while the back-pop happens. Or in other words, the back-pop overpotentialises the battery AND the load simultaneously. While Bedini always said the battery has to be disconnected from anything while being back popped....???*
>
> *Mario*

I have found that we have to pay more attention to that which has been demonstrated more so than whats being said, and relate the demonstrations to that which has been patented. We have been shown many things, back popping was attempted by Rick however, his effort was a miserable piss poor interpretation of what I believe John Bedini really had in mind (would love to hear what he has to say about back popping).

In my opinion, the ferris wheel embodies the true principles governing back popping, speculation can only get you so far..... I think parameter variation is a must, and that reactive cross section aspect that Tom Bearden suggest is the key, well it is to me...

Almost forgot, its been my experience that the over-potentializing is nothing more than allowing the generator action to exceed the supply potential. This can been engineered to take place in a number of different ways. Cool thing is the generated potential is always higher than the applied when the supply isn't supplying! This doesn't mean that the supply has to be physically disconnected! There is not need for disconnecting! We must comprehend the generator action in the motor. Once we understand it, we can put it to use, we can manipulate it to work for us in ways we have not yet even begun to contemplate.

Regards

_____

Last edited by erfinder; 09-23-2013 at 01:01 PM.

iPad 8:01 PM 54%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.co... | Cause and Effect in Electro-Magne... | YouTube | YouTube

09-24-2013, 12:07 PM #42

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Resonance

Text books do a terrible job at describing what resonance is, that which has been stated is a description of a phenomena at best, there is no definition...in my opinion. Its been shown what conditions need to be established for resonance to manifest, and what it takes to maintain this condition. I don't know about you but I would like to know how the energy is moving inside of the LC, how energy if it can be called that is translated between L and C. I personally believe that anyone reading this can demonstrate how the energy is moving from L to C, however, how many can demonstrate the movement from C to L?

If we can find how the energy moves without impedance inside an LC, and can establish those conditions readily....who needs a super conductor...or a negative resistance? Would we need potentials which can overcome any impedance, and currents which do the same? If so, how do we generate such things?

Heretical thoughts.....

Regards

_____

Quote

09-29-2013, 02:40 AM #43

barbosi
Senior Member

Join Date: Feb 2009
Posts: 270

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **erfinder**
> *Text books do a terrible job at describing what resonance is, that which has been stated is a description of a phenomena at*

iPad 8:03 PM 54%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Hi Barbosi,

Its been a long time, I hope all is well with you and yours!

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **barbosi**
> *These are not heretical thoughts although I believe the consequences might be fearful. What are we going to do with tremendous potentials and how could we control the destructive currents.*

Fear of high potentials and currents is unjustified. The potentials will be high, (currents too when the mechanism is found) however, well within the limits set by the devices we design and construct to conduct these forces (should be noted that these energies are more than likely not going to move along the conductor as they do now, mode of travel will more than likely be closer to what Tesla describes). It is common knowledge that IGBT's and Mosfets can be configured into high voltage high current arrays. Do not fear for the switch, it will be able to handle the currents and potentials, think about it....you already know how to deal with the potentials!

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **barbosi**
> *As my personal belief that an LC circuit is like a "molecule" for any analogy to a mechanic system, this will be similar to no friction (super conductor) and allcanadian will not need magnets in his riddle setup*

I am not qualified to say what a molecule looks like or behaves like. As for my present stance on the subject, I have come to view LC as spatial energy storage mechanism. Energy stored up in one half of this two part mechanism is discharged into the other. Understanding this mechanism is key, once we do we come to the realization that there are no flux lines, (mentioned by AC) all is but a displacement of something that is already there, the displacement mechanism gives rise to the illusion of the lines. Isn't it interesting that we are told that cutting lines is what causes potentials to be induced, they tell us this and in doing so drop the spatial relationship. What is happening to the inductance when the so called lines are cutting the inductor? In the same light, whats happening to the capacitance?

What happens when we change our view from change in flux to change in inductance?

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **barbosi**
> *Now, regarding on how the energy moves inside an LC (not necessarily without impedance), I have to admit I cannot demonstrate how the energy is moving from L to C, nor demonstrate the movement from C to L. I know the text book*

iPad 8:03 PM 54%
energeticforum.com

> Originally Posted by **barbosi**
> *Now, regarding on how the energy moves inside an LC (not necessarily without impedance), I have to admit I cannot demonstrate how the energy is moving from L to C, nor demonstrate the movement from C to L. I know the text book description but I never thought of a setup to demonstrate these movements. Moreover, if a charged C will discharge into a coil Leedskalnin PMH style... Damn,,,, wait, with a diode maybe...* *Demonstrate you say? Surely, otherwise it will be a belief system.*

As the energy is not part of our dimension proper, and dimension is probably not the proper term to use, impedance takes on a new meaning, and would not apply as we presently use the term. Sure there will be opposition, however the nature of this opposition we can only speculate at. Transient potentials like those in our pulse motor circuits raise its own potential to overcome any opposition that it encounters. Here impedance as we know it goes out the window, for here we have a potential which is oblivious to the impedance set before it.

As for you not being able to demonstrate how energy is moving from L to C, our present experimentation with pulse motors is a testament to the fact that you and everyone else does indeed have it within your means to demonstrate this. One need not look any further than the transient phenomena associated with a transitioning switch in a circuit carrying current. I am "suggesting" and by no means claiming that energy is moving from one storage mechanism into another, in this particular example, from the inductive into the capacitive, L to C respectively. This "high emf" as Tesla called it is suitable for charging capacitors. Why would he say that? Was he trying to tell us something? He wouldn't be the hero of this drama if he wasn't.

C to L is the monster you have to attack now. If we can find the mechanism which allows us to generate a current which overcomes the opposition provided by an inductance, we officially earned every watt or watt equivalent that comes out of that effort. The first step in this direction is establishing a foundation. The flux line concept is flawed in my opinion, and must be replaced (not by mainstream, it must take place on the personal level we either want to spend the rest of our lives trying to verify that which has been designed to have us chasing our tails, or we listen to Nature, and those men and women who knew her best) with something that reflects whats really taking place, and is all encompassing, something which supports the idea of work being done through the mechanism of changing in potentials.

> Quote:
>
> > Originally Posted by **barbosi**
> > *Thought provoking, thank you for that, and you too allcanadian for opening the topic. I feel the same energizing joy when I meet a great idea.*

I am glad it tickled your fancy a bit. We are closer to the truth than we could possibly imagine...being in it, we are blinded by it till that day we realize we are one with it, not parts of it, the whole of it. Centered from within, and controlled from without by truth!

Food for thought.....

Regards

iPad 8:15 PM 53%
energeticforum.com

Hello,

Thankfully the thread was titled as a question. I don't think anyone frequenting these pages is qualified to ask nor answer this question. Its difficult not making a mess when throwing stones in a glass house. With that said, I agree with Shanjaq. We have turned our backs on the visionaries, and it wouldn't surprise me if one day they all just vanished, leaving us here to wallow in our ignorance. To which men and women is he referring specifically is the most logical question, and I will just throw up one name. Its one that not many are familiar with, hell, I wasn't as familiar with this genius and his work as I probably should have been. His name was Gabrial Kron, and he had the following to say:

"...the missing concept of "open-paths" (the dual of "closed-paths") was discovered, in which currents could be made to flow in branches that lie between any set of two nodes. (Previously — following Maxwell — engineers tied all of their open-paths to a single datum point, the 'ground'). That discovery of open-paths established a second rectangular transformation matrix... which created 'lamellar' currents..."

"A network with the simultaneous presence of both closed and open paths was the answer to the author's years-long search."

Is it possible that hes explaining whats taking place in this demonstration? Youtube link below...

There is alot of baseless, talk on these forums...and even more pointless experimentation, major replication efforts in areas where all one is provided with is an unproven incoherent theory, and all this in areas where it is established fact that there is nothing there...reminds me of a cool quote..

"Insanity: doing the same thing over and over again and expecting different results"

Albert Einstein

Kron was a man of vision, and had his work been a focus of some of our attention we might have found some pretty interesting things.....maybe...how many of you have seen this on your bench?

Sine to Square - YouTube

Does Kron's work explain what I'm seeing here? Maybe, maybe not....

Lets not waste any time questioning the mental condition of anyone. Directing our attention on a lower level, fixes you to that level while you're observing it...so by calling them dumb, you become dumb.....my opinion...lift your fellow man up.

Regards

iPad 8:15 PM 53%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googleapis.co... | Re-Inventing The Wheel-Part1-Cle... | YouTube | YouTube

10-16-2013, 08:44 AM #554

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **Michael John Nunnerley**
> *Has anyone thought of this being parametrics? the coils have capacitance as well? is this a parametric LCR setup?*
>
> *Have to run but I will post a link later when I have found it*
>
> *Regards*
>
> *Mike*

I have...

Its been my experience that we don't connect coils in our circuits correctly. Coils in generators should be configured so that the wave forms that they generate when current is flowing is SQUARE! That's right gentlemen, and ladies, if there are any present. An AC generator which produces Square waves.

Sine to Square - YouTube

Square wave generation forces us to rethink our present understanding about LCR resonance.....we are no longer dealing with a single resonant frequency. We are creeping up on infinite resonant harmonics...

Regards

_____

Last edited by erfinder; 10-16-2013 at 09:30 AM.

Quote

iPad 8:27 PM 52%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **GSM**
> *Hi Steven,*
>
> *What are the 'Buy Now' and 'Donate' buttons for ?*
> *Are you Donating your self proclaimed knowledge towards a better world for humanity or not ?*
> *If I am expected to buy whatever your explanation is, then I most certainly shall not be - I have not had a job for 20+ years due to injury, and yet I have never ever expected anyone to pay me for any informations I have FREELY shared, or when published, I requested that all payments be made directly to a cancer hospice.*
>
> *Also, your stating of "outputs both electrical and mechanical energy" is meaningless in isolation, and a rotor speeding up when a switch is closed proves nothing without a full explanation of everything involved.*
>
> *Are you claiming that your arrangement outputs an energy total greater than that used to energise it, this such that your device is capable of running itself with a provision of excess output as Akula has demonstrated with his device atop a table out in his garden, similarly Kapanadze with his generator inside a fish tank out on a stony beach ?*
>
> *United States Patent: 7531930*
>
> *So please, is there a page of links whereby you clearly share useful advice with explanatory drawings so that humanity might eventually free itself of the self appointed Powers That Be parasites presently giving themselves rights to not only control and tax (legalised theft) from all of us, but also to corrupt the minds of our young children ?*
>
> *Folk need better than this if they are not to waste time here in the same way that I already have !*
>
> *Cheers ..... Graham.*

When I first came across his demonstration, I asked myself similar questions. I tried to the best of my ability to understand his explanation, (Mr. Ward's) didn't work for me either, I didn't let this stop me trying to comprehend what he was presenting though. I went on a patent search, and came up with one which gave a better explanation of the observed effect. This is when he first came to the forum. I brought this patent to his attention, and he left.

Here is a quote from:

5,191,258 - Electric Current Generator Including Torque Reducing Countermagnetic Field

"An alternating electric current generator comprises an armature rotatable carried by a drive shaft and positioned between stabilized, non-moving magnetic elements. The armature has first and second magnetic field transmitting sections with a magnetized section sandwiched there between. As electric load is applied to the generator, a counter magnetic field is generated through the armature to increase speed of the drive shaft and thereby lessen torque required to rotate the drive shaft."

I like this explanation better than the one that Mr. Ward has provided. In all honesty, and I mean no offense by this, I don't see how Mr. Ward was awarded a patent, when we look at the figure 2 from the patent I listed, and figure 4b from Mr. Wards patent, the images are "technically" one and the same..... ..... Fact is....the aforenamed patent is where I went to get a better understanding of whats happening. This principle is in my opinion also is related to the Kromrey device.

Comparison between the two patents...

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 11-04-2013 at 01:55 PM.

Quote

GSM
Join Date: Jan 2011
Posts: 688

iPad 8:32 PM 51%
energeticforum.com



[/IMG]

Ok, this Post is "Machine's Style" dropping Bombs ...

Suggestion....use two coils instead of one. Wire them together so that you generate square a square wave when you load them. Spin magnets, instead of spinning the coils, this simply means that your inner runner commutated DC motor will be treated as if it were an out-runner.

Regards

Quote

iPad 9:00 PM 49%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.stora... Use the Force Lu... YouTube YouTube US6392370B1 - De...

11-06-2013, 12:03 PM #46

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

Originally Posted by **GSM**
The Gary motor was small scale, but Hubbard's and Hendershot's developments were most definitely home power sized !

Hi erfinder,

*If you have a horseshoe magnet then please *hands-on* replicate the Gary effect as per my video.*
If you have a bar magnet then give it a pair of pole face extensions using steel bolts.
I used a ferrite rod in the video because a soft iron core was not handy, but a transformer laminate would do.
The stronger your magnet the further away it can be to induce the core field reversal shown.
Overwind = output voltage generation without the typical cogging or force reaction effects from rotation.
As I said, increasing magnet field strength very effectively modifies the distance for series-parallel induction alternation.
!!!!! The field need not be fixed strength. !!!!!

Please - you need to try all this for yourself.

Cheers ..... Graham.

I do not have a horseshoe magnet, however, I had to make one while trying to replicate and understand one of John Bedini's patented devices. I know the effect you are referring to well. My magnet was made using solid iron, I found that for this kind of circuit, solid iron is preferred to laminated in some cases, mixing laminated and non laminated is highly recommended. In the past I began building a generator which was inspired by a related concept. I abandoned the design when I found that what I was attempting was already mastered by others. Attached is an image of the homemade horseshoe type armatures I made for the generator.

With that I know the configuration, and the associated phenomena, what I don't understand is your interpretation, specifically, what it is that you mean by "series-parallel induction alternation".

Now what I found with this (Wesly style...horseshoe) configuration is that as you increase the gap, taking advantage of this "neutral" zone, your coupling (textbook) goes away, resulting in generator action yielding less to nothing....If you can demonstrate otherwise, I would love to see it, and would immediately attempt a replication.

The Alexanderson Alternator
The Kromrey Converter

When you look at the patents which inspired this thread, you see that you are dealing with something which could probably be called a variable reluctance type of generator. These principles are well established. There are two schools of thought here on this forum. Both are leading the readers to the same conclusions, via very different methods. On the right we have the supporters of the Alexanderson style alternator, and on the left we have supporters of the Kromrey converter (alternator). Close examination reveals that they are one and the same technology. Don't take my word for it...look into the two. The Kromrey is in my opinion the power generator for the layman, however, only if we know how to properly configure it, and are willing to try and comprehend how and why it functions.

The Magnetic circuit of the Kromrey "could" be viewed as a fundamental arch form, the broken magnetic orbit. We wind our coils on these magnets (Bedini and Flynn two familiar names who are masters in the art of manipulating flux) or in the gaps between the magnets. What we do from this point reveals our ignorance or our genius. How do should we connect the coils? EFTV25 shows you how, as does the attached figure.....

6,392,370 - Device and Method of a Back EMF Permanent Electromagnetic Motor Generator

The author of this patent is still among us. Does he give any suggestions as to how we should configure our coils?

Quote:

"The stator is comprised of a permanent magnet connected to a means for conducting electromagnetic energy such as two parallel bars, each having a magnetized pole piece at one end of the bar. The conduction material of the bar may be ferrous, powdered iron, silicon steel, stainless magnetic steel, laminations of conductive material or any other magnetic conductive material.

Each bar is wrapped in a conducting means to form an input coil. The means for conducting may be copper, aluminum, or any other conductive material suitable for making a coil. The primary or input coil is connected to a switching circuit. A second conductive

iPad 9:00 PM 49%
energeticforum.com

> *I used a ferrite rod in the video because a soft iron core was not handy, but a transformer laminate would do.*
> *The stronger your magnet the further away it can be to induce the core field reversal shown.*
> *Overwind = output voltage generation without the typical cogging or force reaction effects from rotation.*
> *As I said, increasing magnet field strength very effectively modifies the distance for series-parallel induction alternation.*
> *!!!!! The field need not be fixed strength. !!!!!*
>
> *Please - you need to try all this for yourself.*
>
> *Cheers ..... Graham.*

I do not have a horseshoe magnet, however, I had to make one while trying to replicate and understand one of John Bedini's patented devices. I know the effect you are referring to well. My magnet was made using solid iron, I found that for this kind of circuit, solid iron is preferred to laminated in some cases, mixing laminated and non laminated is highly recommended. In the past I began building a generator which was inspired by a related concept. I abandoned the design when I found that what I was attempting was already mastered by others. Attached is an image of the homemade horseshoe type armatures I made for the generator.

With that I know the configuration, and the associated phenomena, what I don't understand is your interpretation, specifically, what it is that you mean by "series-parallel induction alternation".

Now what I found with this (Wesly style...horseshoe) configuration is that as you increase the gap, taking advantage of this "neutral" zone, your coupling (textbook) goes away, resulting in generator action yielding less to nothing....If you can demonstrate otherwise, I would love to see it, and would immediately attempt a replication.

The Alexanderson Alternator
The Kromrey Converter

When you look at the patents which inspired this thread, you see that you are dealing with something which could probably be called a variable reluctance type of generator. These principles are well established. There are two schools of thought here on this forum. Both are leading the readers to the same conclusions, via very different methods. On the right we have the supporters of the Alexanderson style alternator, and on the left we have supporters of the Kromrey converter (alternator). Close examination reveals that they are one and the same technology. Don't take my word for it...look into the two. The Kromrey is in my opinion the power generator for the layman, however, only if we know how to properly configure it, and are willing to try and comprehend how and why it functions.

The Magnetic circuit of the Kromrey "could" be viewed as a fundamental arch form, the broken magnetic orbit. We wind our coils on these magnets (Bedini and Flynn two familiar names who are masters in the art of manipulating flux) or in the gaps between the magnets. What we do from this point reveals our ignorance or our genius. How do should we connect the coils? EFTV25 shows you how, as does the attached figure.....

6,392,370 - Device and Method of a Back EMF Permanent Electromagnetic Motor Generator

The author of this patent is still among us. Does he give any suggestions as to how we should configure our coils?

Quote:

"The stator is comprised of a permanent magnet connected to a means for conducting electromagnetic energy such as two parallel bars, each having a magnetized pole piece at one end of the bar. The conduction material of the bar may be ferrous, powdered iron, silicon steel, stainless magnetic steel, laminations of conductive material or any other magnetic conductive material.

Each bar is wrapped in a conducting means to form an input coil. The means for conducting may be copper, aluminum, or any other conductive material suitable for making a coil. The primary or input coil is connected to a switching circuit. A second conductive wrapping on top of the input coil becomes a secondary or output coil. The secondary or output coil is connected to the recovery circuit.

When the rotor is energized from the battery of the switching circuit, there is an initial magnetic field that is instantly overcome as the magnetized pole pieces are in apposition with the rotor magnets. As the rotor begins to move, increasing electromagnetic energy is produced as a result of flux gating from the apposed magnets of the rotor and pole pieces. The coils surrounding the bars 'buck' the permanent magnet connecting the bars. This is known in the art as the 'buck boosting' principle. When the permanent magnet is bucked by the coils, it reverses the polarity of the pole pieces which are apposed to the rotor magnets causing the rotor to increase its rotation or spin. "

End Quote

Hope you guys can see what I see in this stuff..

Regards

Attached Thumbnails



iPad 9:00 PM 49%
energeticforum.com

The author of this patent is still among us. Does he give any suggestions as to how we should configure our coils?

Quote:

"The stator is comprised of a permanent magnet connected to a means for conducting electromagnetic energy such as two parallel bars, each having a magnetized pole piece at one end of the bar. The conduction material of the bar may be ferrous, powdered iron, silicon steel, stainless magnetic steel, laminations of conductive material or any other magnetic conductive material.

Each bar is wrapp m, or any other conductive mater conductive wrapping on top he recovery circuit.

When the rotor is y overcome as the magnetized p magnetic energy is produced as a he bars 'buck' the permanent m anent magnet is bucked by the co tor to increase its rotation or spi

End Quote

Hope you guys ca

Regards

Attached Thumb



Picture 37.jpg (1 of 2) 11-06-2013 11:39 AM

Last Next

Last edited by erfinder; 11-06-2013 at 12:07 PM.

Quote

iPad 9:00 PM 49%
energeticforum.com

The author of this patent is still among us. Does he give any suggestions as to how we should configure our coils?

Quote:

"The stato parallel
bars, each ered iron,
silicon ste

Each bar i ny other
conductive ctive
wrapping very
circuit.

When the come as
the magne tic energy
is produce s 'buck'
the perma magnet is
bucked by increase
its rotatio

End Quote

Hope you

Regards

Attached



connections.jpg (2 of 2) 11-06-2013 12:07 PM

Previous First

Last edited by erfinder; 11-06-2013 at 12:07 PM.

Quote

iPad 9:10 PM 49%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.storage.googl... | New Magnetic Forces! : St... | YouTube | YouTube | US6392370B1 - Device and...

11-19-2013, 01:09 PM #51

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **Armagdn03**
> *Love the approach. I always felt it should be able to accomplish what you desire using only the simplest of ingredients. How do you make a transistor? I cant in the way they are presented at present, so why use them? unnecessarily complicated.*

Field Effect Transistor...

Catchy title right? If industry has mastered affecting a specific effect in the field, utilizing it as a switch, then what is stopping the observant researcher from designing a field effect switch which does not require the use and or knowledge of silicon? Aren't the fields induced in coils "switching"? We don't see anyone using inductors as switches because they can't get the inductor to behave like a silicon based, current or voltage driven switch.....but what if you could? Would a step in the right direction be getting coils to generate the type of signals needed to drive FET gates or BJT bases?

Say.....square waves?

Regards

Quote

Sponsored Links



iPad 9:36 PM 46%
energeticforum.com

11-23-2013, 08:10 AM #677

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

Originally Posted by **hanon1492**
Hi all,

In overunity.com forum a user believes that the real configuration of the Figuera´s patent is with like poles facing each other.

My impression is not clearly in favor or against this view because the only sentence in the 1908 patent where it is defined the poles orientation is written as follows: "Let`s suppose two electromagnets represented by the rectangles N and S". Nothing more and nothing less. Maybe it was just a "patent notation" trick to hide the real pole orientation.

Suppose two like poles facing each other and with their flux lines repepling and swinging back and forth along the changes in intensity in the electromagnets. Those flux lines cross partially the central induced coil and also cut the induced wire during his swinging motion up and down.

As you can see in this scheme there is induction by 2 mechanisms:

1. Induction by Flux linking along the part of each coil transversed by the flux lines
2. Induction by Flux cutting the induced wire

We could have both types of induction into the same device.The key in this scheme is that the induction by flux cutting to be greater than the induction by flux linking. (the flux linking induction is under the Lenz Law effect and will produce an opposing induced magnetic field which will reduce the inducers strength)

The good part of this idea is that if the flux cutting induction by an N-N configuration will induce in the sense to reduce the inducers field (due to the Lenz effect), then the S-S configuration will do it in the sense to increase it because the flux lines in each case have opposite direction (from inside-out or from outside-in).

Any comments?

Your theory, is in my opinion, very stable. Its interesting how the majority of posters on this topic have not made this spring to opposition versus cooperation. You are most certainly in my opinion, one of the few whom I believe can see whats really going on!

Experience has taught me much of what is seen in this patent like many others like it are red herring time wasters. Once you find them and remove them from the picture, a new image emerges. Why are there so many "cells" required? This question can only truly be answered when you have built and tested something.

I am of the opinion that owing to the difference in coupling, the output of the design under discussion is lower than that of a machine of conventional design. For this reason, the multiple "cell" topology "may" have been adopted. It has been my experience while working with systems where fields oppose, that the mechanical reaction assists in the direction of rotation, "IF" the generator phase is in proper relation to the motor phase (timing of impulses applied to the motor, pulse duration isn't as important as "when" the pulse is applied with relation to the induced EMF in the generator...). Acceleration is the rule in this case and not an acceptation to the rule. And before anyone suggests delayed Lenz, no....I am claiming that when we adopt the proper geometry, and establish the proper phase relation between motor and generator circuits, the **Lenz observation** functions to assist versus to oppose.

Also noteworthy, my system does not incorporate ferrous material, it is composed of air core coils only! Inductance of my coils is under 50mH, and rotor speed is kept under 2500rpm, this rules out any chance of my device operating in "resonance".

Hannon1492, again, of all the posters on this and related threads, you are one of the few whom in my opinion is even close to having a working idea of whats going on in this, and related (Tesla - 381,968) devices.

Regards

Quote

iPad 7:13 AM 100%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Mr. Ward

First I would like to thank you for replying about those patents, I figured you knew that person. After comparing the patents, it was clear to me, and this is my opinion, that he knew more about the effect than you do. In addition to this, his patent presents a more scientific explanation of the effect, one which can be supported by existing scientific literature. This is independent of the fact that you claim he is dealing with one pole and you are dealing with two poles. I don't agree with you on that for obvious reasons.

Now I don't claim to know how long you have been investigating, I will tell you that I have been investigating for a few years, much of this time has been devoted to finding demonstrable methods for extracting energy from magnets.

After I studied your friends patent, I started studying yours. You mentioned a device that you called a "magnetic base". In your videos you demonstrate this magnetic base, and also designed and constructed a few of your own. You failed to describe and or mention where the magnetic base came from. Well I found a source, shortly after studying your patent.

In 2005 Jack W. Hildenbrand filed for patent no.:

7,453,341 - System and Method for Utilizing Magnetic Energy

https://docs.google.com/viewer?url=p.../US7453341.pdf

I am not claiming that Mr. Hildenbrand was the originator of this concept, however I am claiming that from his descriptions, he was a master of the effect that you have yet to adequately describe. With a proper understanding of this patent, one could do everything you are suggesting, and more, based on known principles.

A few years ago motors based on principles covered in this patent were being discussed on various forums. Several attempts were made at designing controllers for motors based on these principles, most of the controllers landed in the scrap bin owing to what I'm going to call "curiosities" associated with this design topology.

Regards

_____

Last edited by erfinder: 11-24-2013 at 04:26 AM

iPad 8:53 AM 100%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Ufopolitics**
> ***THE CONSEQUENCES OF HAVING THE SAME SYMMETRIC MODEL LOCKED UP FOR SO LONG...***

Unfortunately this statement is applies to you too UFO....Before you get upset and begin the wave of insults, take a closer look at **your** model, and ask yourself why is erfinder justified in calling your model symmetric. The word "your" was underlined and placed in bold type because it is yours and not Natures model that you are suggesting that others pay attention to. This is a mistake. Please understand I am not in any way trying to insult you I am pointing out what you should know. It is my hope that you re-investigate the patent granted to Tesla which inspired your design topology. That which you and I both along with everyone else seeks is in that patent (381968 - Electro Magnetic Motor). I am of the opinion that you misinterpreted this significant work of genius.

Find the 4 petaled clover in the field filled with 2 petaled clovers.

To see what Tesla saw, to experience what he must have experienced, you must build the machine like he built it. Here I make specific reference to figures 1a - 8a and fig.9 of the aforenamed patent. That gives you 9 images 8 of which repeat, and don't show the rotor, fig.9 depicts the rotor and its orientation in relation to the stator and its orientation, this is how the machine looks! Your devices have no relation to this design topology, field wise or geometry wise. In my opinion, Machinealive is on the right path as far as geometry is concerned with his latest ring setup, we will see where he goes with the rotor, hopefully he will be wise and setup what Tesla presented. If he doesn't he will miss it too. There was a time when I noted you were about to find these truths yourself, but those days are long gone now, I sincerely hope you find your way back.

The reader of the patent should set two goals.

First the construction of the motor-generator in one package, using air core coils when the field relations are comprehended. The generator produces torque in the direction of rotation when loaded. The counter EMF generated by the motor is phase offset from the applied EMF to such a degree that the input current increases with increasing rpm (reverse regulated Lenz).

Second, and most important, the patent reveals the quad-pole magnet structure, the concept of the dipole is in my humble opinion an intentional red herring. The dipole in "my reality" (following bread crumbs left behind by Nature) consists of two counter rotating ring vortex. This structure has four main points where flux enters and leaves the structure, the north-south points we are familiar with, and east-west points at the equator.

I'll be here.....waiting for you to come to these same conclusions. If you are indeed working towards that goal that we all are working towards you will get here eventually, hopefully, sooner rather than later....when you do, the real work can begin.

Your success till now could be dwarfed by future success if you adopt Nature's model and drop yours, the people are in your corner.....lead them to truth.....Nature's!

Regards

iPad 8:58 AM 100%
energeticforum.com
patentimages.stora... My Asymmetric E... US6392370B1 - De... Critical Thinking -... 381968 - Google Se...

Quote

12-10-2013, 07:44 AM #5875

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

Originally Posted by **Cornboy 555**
Hello Erfinder, once again i await eagerly, for you to disclose what you are cleverly hinting at, that can enligthen all of us to a true path forward.

Please don't hold back, NDA's don't mean much anymore.

Don't you want to go down in history, as the shining light that brought Mankind out of the Dark Ages???

Regards ER finder.

Cornboy

Hi Cornboy,

No hinting here...just stating what I see as fact. Nothing to disclose that isn't for lack of a better way of putting it "hidden in plain sight". Folks have to find it for themselves. Find what I claim to have found and see what I am seeing, coming to the same conclusion is the goal, for in my opinion, there is only one way to see it, Nature's way. As for going down in history, I'm in my mid thirties and have a few years to develop my understanding of things before making plans in that direction. There are no secret deals with anyone which would require an NDA.

I am of the opinion that we are all the shinning light you are referring to, the times we find ourselves in now are a reflection of our collective thinking, we are projecting the darkness that centers us out into the world. The term "darkness" simply means ignorance in my use of the term. Some project this ignorance better than others.

Here is my challenge to you Cornboy, break from mainstream, and from those who don't send you to the one true teacher, Nature.

Food for thought....

Mainstream tells us that magnetic monopoles do not exist. I am now totally convinced that this is a boldfaced lie!!! Told to us to keep us from comprehending the true nature of magnetism, and associated fields and forces, known and unknown. The dual ring vortex (vortex ring = dipole) generates the veil which stops us from experiencing truth. The two rings are in a condition I feel I am justified in calling "asymmetric gravi-radiation", a condition which requires 4 poles, two poles of gravitation and two of radiation. In this structure, the implosive and explosive power of gravitation are in a state of "rhythmic balanced interchange", a phrase coined by Walter Russell (Lord of the Rings.....). The illusion of stability (static nature of the lines) is accomplished by fast motion, analysis of the adopted field shape reveals asymmetry as being a fundamental aspect of this geometry. The fact that the field isn't destroying itself via the centrifugal forces associated with the fast motion of the field should be a testament to the fact that the implosive forces are dominating the explosive forces, further confirmation of the asymmetrical nature of the structure. We have yet to establish the proper conditions whereby we are enabled to experience the explosive condition of gravity as Nature uses utilizes this force.

The dual ring vortex (what we ignorantly call a dipole) can be split into two counter rotating ring vortex (dipole proper) when the proper conditions are established. As this is an unnatural condition in this particular medium, the natural state being two rings in union, their existence as monopoles is extremely short. One needs the magnetic equivalent of a spark gap to experience this. An example of how this "could" look is occulted in the concept of monoatomic hydrogen, where the H2 molecule is caused to split into two H1 atoms. H1 is not stable and seeks out that which will balance its unbalanced condition....violently...The Schappeller sphere is the "magnetic" equivalent to the atomic hydrogen furnace. Generating what he called "glowing magnetism" or what I would call monopole magnetism, as the quadpole is broken into two dipoles.

Unlike many, my motivation isn't a free energy machine, nor is it a motor that produces tons of torque for whatever input. My motivation isn't to teach men nor be taught by them. My desire if I am justified in calling it that is to understand, to comprehend Nature. When we understand her in simpleton terms we will collectively rise from Earth. We are grounded on Earth, bonded to her because we don't comprehend the mechanism which connects us to her...

Our feet aren't planted on earth, they are planted in space!

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 12-10-2013 at 07:48 AM.

Quote

12-10-2013, 05:23 PM #5876

iPad 5:29 PM 97%
energeticforum.com

Kogs

Why you chose to respond to a post I made to Cornboy is amusing, and calling it negative is humorous. I take no offense to your opinion, however, allow me to become a mirror, and reflect your image back at you, show you what I see. Its people like you, forgive the expression, "the blind follower" who stagnate progress. I am not the first and am not the last who will question the "information" that UFO chose to disseminate via this platform. If you found my last two posts negative I feel sorry for you. When questions arise, (and they will arise because the information being spread is fundamentally flawed), motivated by genuine research in the area under discussion it is the duty of the replicator to bring these concerns to the attention of the information disseminator. All attempts at getting those concerns the attention that they deserve failed. There is no interest by either the disseminator nor his most devoted replicators. The few souls who were bold enough to more or less demand that their question be addressed as their findings did not reflect what was being claimed were banned from participating in the discussion and showered with rotten tomatoes as they were dragged by the hair kicking and screaming to the exit to the bawl "get outta here". Were you in the crowd back then? You speak down to me like a select few did back then, were you among them...don't answer that.

I'm well aware of what this and related threads are about, for you to assume that I haven't replicated is a sign of your ignorance. You don't get it...and it's really sad, it's because I have done my homework that I am even posting. Why show you more of the same? Against my better judgment I played the role of the good follower and butchered a motor. When I was satisfied that there was nothing there I purchased an RC motor which outperformed the devices we are being shown here both mechanically and electrically. Another user pointed out the very same thing, he got the boot real early, I won't name names but I'm sure you remember him. He shared his work and what did it do for him, the community ripped him apart! So, screw your requests that I show you my replication. When work is shown to shed light on the misconceptions of the author of the thread, the posts are deemed counterproductive. I thought we were all after the same thing, I guess that's wishful thinking and ignorance on my part.

I won't start my own thread, because what I'm saying applies to the topic under discussion (debate). I am of the opinion that the present view of the magnetic field as being discussed here is WRONG. This is not to say that the one I support is accurate, fact is, I don't have those Lenz issues that you guys have, you know the ones...where the generator action in your motor limits the current, and how loading your generator results in you drawing stupid amounts of current. My opinion...motors should get stronger the faster they spin, they don't, why? The current regulator (in this case limiter..) is the generator action in the motor, as the speed increases the current drops, this can be reversed, by adopting the proper arch type, and positioning the permanent magnets correctly with relation to the inductors, when properly executed, the CEMF now regulates the "increase" of current as the rotor accelerates. This is a possible solution to run away experienced in series wound motors, but isn't a practical application that you would be interested in....now how can this be applied to a generator so that the loading of it would result in acceleration of the motor?

North and South as we are taught to observe them do not exist. The north pole of a magnet is actually North-South and the south pole of the magnet is South-North, do you know why? It should be as clear as to you as the nose on your face! Not knowing the answer to that question leads one to building motors that drop current with increasing rpm, and generators which produce torques which oppose their prime movers. Not knowing the answer to the question leads one to assume that a possible solution to the problem is to capture, kill or convert the sacred female force using diodes...uh...? Break her will, domesticate her.....senseless..... The solution isn't in diode placement, and just because the diodes give you a little bit of room to stretch your legs, don't fool yourself, you haven't addressed the problem, and you will regret this later, that lovely lady (its not a lady) comes back stronger then ever and and destroys your power supplies and switching circuits. Don't take my word for it, just make proper connections in your projects and then push the machines harder, raise the input voltage. Wear goggles, and acid resistant aprons, you might loose your batteries...

The top of a coil is North-South or South-North, depending on how you supply it, or induce into it. North cannot exist without its mate, south cannot exist without its mate, the creation of one is the creation of the other, the two are one.

At this point we must pay really close attention to geometry, in addition to this windings should be wound unidirectional, and the connections between coils determine the dual polarity at the poles.

That which we identify with as a pole on a magnet is actually a dipole! If Mr. Bearden's calling the quad pole a dipole then that explains why none have come up with a practical application which does not result in the destruction of the dipole.

Ever stop and think how passing a single pole past a coil results in the coil flipping, that's one you might one to think about.

UFO inspires, his negative attitude motivated me to prove to myself and to him that he is in error. Like it or not, you will find that I'm telling the truth sooner or later.

Oh, and for the record this isn't UFO's site, it's his thread, huge difference.

Regards

iPad 8:08 PM 89%
energeticforum.com

Quote

12-22-2013, 05:51 AM #74

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **gotoluc**
> *Hi erfinder,*
>
> *glad to see you here! ... it's been something like 5 years since we communicated.*
>
> *Your motor is quite special ... thanks for making a video demo of it.*
>
> *Is the output flyback or do you have an Alternator AC output?*
>
> *Very man*
>
> *Thanks for your post*
>
> *Luc*

Hi Luc,

The output of the device is AC. The device was inspired by this patent.

Patent US3913004 - Method and apparatus for increasing electrical power - Google Patente

A few years back when everyone was all excited about that lockridge device I was looking into that patent and retrofitting the motor you saw in the video. Like the patent, the device was designed to operate simultaneously as a motor-transformer-generator. The output of the generator is a combination of transformer and generator action. Here the motor phase is the primary of the transformer, and the remaining two phases in series serve as the secondary of the motor-transformer-generator.

I limit the output of the generator by placing a load on the recovery of the circuit driving the motor. As we all know from our experiences gained with pulse motor circuits there are two methods for harvesting the collapsing field, one being direct recovery from the diode at the switch, and the second via a second coil through transformer action. If you do both at the same time you end up with a 60/40 split with 60% going through the diode at the switch, and the 40% via transformer action. Owing to my layout I am doing both. This limits the maximum output of the generator, but also gives me a degree of security for the switch. If I disconnect the recovery at the switch and allow the full collapsing field to transfer via transformer action, the output voltage of the generator exceeds 1kv easily, however this is extremely hard on the switch so its not done often. As I pointed out in the last post, I don't have to remove the recovery at the switch to generate 1kv in the generator, simply driving this machine fast enough gets me there.

Anyway, I'm getting the components together to begin my tests. I found 2x 16uf AC caps in my collection, and I dusted off one of my microwave oven transformers. Time to get the show on the road.

Regards

Quote

12-22-2013, 11:02 AM #75

gotoluc
Gold Member

Join Date: Aug 2007
Posts: 1,271

Okay, it all sounds very good

The only thing is you need to read my newer post above, as it is now clear that the MOT is NOT needed

You only need a series cap and a series load. In your case I would recommend the series FWBR to charge your battery. Just make sure your Motor coils are isolated from the AC Gen coils.

Start low with your series cap since you have HV output. Maybe start with 1uf and slowly add to find the ideal value.

iPad 7:45 AM 81%
energeticforum.com

> *Ufopolitics*

Look at you, showing off your ignorance again. Getting all big in the chest and neck. I honestly thought you were finally done responding to me, at long last you'd become the bigger man, the better man, strong and wise enough to ignore me. You don't like me, said so yourself, I told you I post what I feel is relevant to the topic, I don't distract, I add to the subject. Why you felt the need to call me out again after announcing that you were done responding to me...EGO maybe..... I wasn't talking to you when I made my last post, hell I wasn't talking to anyone, just made a statement that fit what I see on my bench period.

It's funny how you think we are on the same page regarding that which is charging the supply. You assume I'm talking about the collapsing field, I'm not. The last time I showed you this machine you called it a toy when I in ignorance, thinking you were a good guy, attempted to bring it to your attention. Feel free to call it a toy again, I don't mind, if the people you have replicating you had played more with toys they would have seen through this EGO inflated mess that you are feeding them.

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/...deo%20154b.wmv

The one thing I want to bring to your attention with this video is the fact that you have no idea what I'm referring to when I say "supply charging effect". In the video you see that the device starts off with a supply voltage of 48VDC, the device runs and the voltage drops as is normal. What's not normal is the massive back charging of the supply that takes place when the circuit is shut down. I think this effect is referred to in other circles as "back popping", this being an extreme case. It's clear to see that after the rotor has ran down, the resting voltage is 104VDC. Feel free to speculate as to where the potential is coming from. Just know this, it's not inductive kickback, your radiant. Its good old CEMF, but not the one we are familiar with, it's not that witch of yours that's fighting it out with the power supply. This is one which I am honestly not justified in calling "one" for it's not, it consists of a train of odd harmonics (multiple harmonically related CEMF's), their number approaching infinity, they are responsible for this back charging phenomena. The mechanism by which they are mixed, and how it is assumed that they charge the supply will not be discussed. No pulse no collapsing field, what's charging the supply if the circuit is off? Don't answer that, don't even try.

From where I'm viewing this show of yours, you aren't taking advantage of the generator effect, couldn't even if you wanted to, your commutator won't let you. Your commutator shorts the winding that could be producing the effect into the next winding....not good if you want to control and maximize on the source charging phenomena. You have been told that time is necessary, your design doesn't allow you enough, so even if you got lucky and managed to get the effect, it wouldn't amount to a hill of beans, your layout is fundamentally flawed, not enough dead time between pulses, no means for manipulating timing phase angle, no pulse width control, and before you say anything about that electronic control board of yours, I got one word for you....commutator.

You rant on for many pages about a generator function in your motor and then put your foot in your mouth by saying, in your words: "all this collapsing energy...returns as rotor spins to our input as well as to our outputs" that's all you got, all you see, collapsing fields, sad..... When you take a real close look you will find that before you came on the scene electric motors were doing just fine, and after you exit stage left they will be doing a whole lot better. See, you can't fix perfection. You come here and focus everyone's attention on "physical geometry" of the coils, that's ok, it has its place, when we understand how the fields are moving in and around these coils. One thing that all serious researchers should be investing their time and energy in is in understanding harmonics. Yeah, harmonics not radiant, something you think you got but don't.....why harmonics? Because the TPTB don't like em in their systems, your attention should be invested on topics which give them headaches!!! Collapsing fields are cluttering you judgment, you wear glasses? If not you might want to invest in a pair. There's more going on in a motor than collapsing fields. Your view is heavily biased towards magnetism. You are so preoccupied with collapsing fields that you miss the magic and wonder in that field which you don't pay to produce, you just spin the wheel! Change in flux buys you potential....what did you pay for that potential? What can you do with it once you got it? What should you do with it when you got it? Change in current gets you potential...what is it about potentials?

Regarding the famous waveform, you really don't have a clue what the window motor waveform is indicating, but who am I, I don't know what it means either, but I bet I'm closer to the truth of it than you are. The waveform generated by the window motor shows the fundamental with its third harmonic inverted. Whether you are in the position to comprehend what that means is irrelevant. You might want to check into that though, I am of the opinion, backed by experiment, that the generation of these complex waveforms is the mechanism which enables motors to back charge their supply, and more. You say this is "the combination of two flows"....try again, note I didn't say you're wrong, however, your analysis is weak, really weak. Look into harmonics, you might learn something.

That which you in ignorance call and teach others to call radiant is in my opinion a unidirectional manifestation which is localized around the switch. A man who inspired much of my research had this to say about that effect that you are totally mixed up about.

Quote
" The circuit, including the motor is of relatively high self-induction, and this property is imparted to it by the coils of the motor, or, when these are not sufficient, by the addition of suitable choking-coils, so that at each break of the motor-circuit a current of high electromotive force will be developed for charging the condenser....."
567,177 - Apparatus For Producing Ozone
End Quote

I'm sure if the man who inspired generations wanted to call it radiant , right here in this patent, somewhere in this specific description is where we would have found him mentioning it, if for no other reason....he's defining an event! Fact is, he didn't call it radiant, he called it what it is, "a current of high electromotive force". Why you call it radiant....EGO....maybe...

According to my sources, the radiant event occurs when the circuit is made, shorting, not breaking! Let that one sink in. Good luck finding radiant in a system where your coils are still behaving like inductors. You might want to start looking into coil shorting, that's where it's at, but you knew that...right....no...I don't think you knew that....

You go right ahead and keep that breath of yours held. I really want to see if you can transition through all the colors you experienced in your neon bulbs. Right when you're about to pass out everything will go white, that's a sign of your neon about to burn out, or you're about to be illuminated. I'm hoping for the latter.

Oh...almost forgot...

Merry Christmas and Happy New Year.....

Regards

iPad 8:02 AM 79%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **MonsieurM**
> ***erfinder***
>
> *Antonio d'Angelo -- Inter-Atomic Ion Motor -- NY Times article & US Patent # 2021177*
>
> *check out **Fig. X***
>
> *Merry Xmas*

If there ever was a patent granted to anyone which in my opinion could represent the AC/DC motor generator which is the heart of Tesla's method of conversion, it would be this patent. Its good you bring this patent up, I studied it too, its been a source of inspiration of some of my research.

One thing that stands out in this patent is the concept of winding the primary with three windings, and how this leads to the reduction of a large portion of the effects associated with self-induction (Lenz) including sparking at the commutators.

This patent should be an inspiration to the many pulse motor builders out there who've wound multiple strand coils for their machines. This patent can definitely serve as a guide, providing one with new inspiration and insights into directions yet to be explored by pulse motor builders.

Figure 10 is about a three phase embodiment of this device. The principles a carbon copy of that which is discussed in figures I-V. A repeating theme, which is specifically repeated in a description of figure 10 is the mentioning of a time period during which the electric current flow in the secondary will have no demagnetizing effect on the pole winding, this taking place when the secondary current is flowing between poles having the same polarity. Really significant aspect of this design.

In my opinion, this is one worthy of deep study.

Regards

Quote

Join Date: Nov 2009

iPad 8:05 AM 79%
energeticforum.com
Reactive Generator Resea... | Antonio d'Angelo -- Inter-At... | US6392370B1 - Device and... | National Weather Service : O... | Free Energy | searching for f...

01-02-2014, 12:56 PM #98

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

What is capacitance? What is inductance? Aside from representing energy storage mechanisms, can they be defined by their function? Where are these two things to be found in relation to the wires where activity is being measured?

If we consider that L and C along with their associated fields are all that we have, and that there must be a communication between them, what is the mechanism through which the two communicate? A very wise man suggested that the transverse wave phenomena is by the nature of its situated parts, to be considered as being the definition of resistance.

Experiment has demonstrated time and time again that when currents of the proper frequency are utilized, no impedance is experienced by the discharge current as it moves from one energy storage mechanism into the other, impedance matching, amplified definition. Has the nature of that which is oscillating between the capacitor and inductor been established, meaning is that which leaves the capacitor moving in the direction of the inductor, of the same nature as that which leaves the inductor in the direction of the capacitor?

It seems to me that the perfect environment for the discharging of energy from one storage medium to the other has yet to be established, not by us anyway. The medium is painting a picture, and the image can be summed up in one simple word, impedance. I find myself asking why opposition, opposition to what? The answer that keeps returning is opposition to change. C resists changes in voltage, L resists changes in current both voltage and current are field based phenomena, as far as my limited experience and knowledge allows me to perceive anyway. Is it possible that within the medium of impedance, matching of impedance equates to resonance? If so, then for me it seems that the path is set, and its straight and narrow.

Happy New Year

Last edited by erfinder; 01-02-2014 at 01:02 PM.

Quote

01-02-2014, 01:33 PM #99

iPad 8:13 AM 78%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Bob Smith**
> *I'm not an expert, just someone who tries to think, build a little and reads. My musings for what it's worth...*
> *Erfinder, If I understand you correctly, you are stating that proper impedance matching may be a viable or perhaps necessary precondition for resonance, particularly with conventionally designed circuits and motors. I believe Bearden says something about impedance matching as necessary for keeping the dipole open in order for a circuit to tap into the vacuum's abundant energy. If ...*

I'm suggesting that by definition resonance is literally the matching of the capacitive and inductive reactance. I'm suggesting that resonance and impedance matching are one and the same. I'm suggesting that according to the textbooks when the capacitive and inductive reactance's are matched, circuit impedance is reduced to the DC resistance of the circuit, this value is under our immediate control.

Tom Bearden says many things about the dipole. It's not what he says that gets my attention, I don't speak his language anyway. It's those "implied" things that I pick out from between the lines that motivate me. Specifically in an interview when asked about the dipole he made a loose reference to permanent magnets, and how the machines that he has seen work as his theories suggest they should, incorporate magnets, dipoles, which he says we cannot destroy! In the same light, he calls the batteries in systems he is familiar with dipoles as well, anywhere where you have a separation of charge you have a dipole. The language he uses when discussing the topics of impedance matching, Reguaging and dipoles in general leads one (me) to think that he is describing how dipoles communicate between one another.

I find comfort in viewing L and C as if they were dipoles. The dipole concept as presented by Tom Bearden seems incomplete to me, the link connecting the dipole to its source is never mentioned. Its here were the work of Eric Dollard fills this void. His concept of space and counter space and how they are linked to the energy storage mechanisms of L and C are brilliant philosophically and practically.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Bob Smith**
> *- this 90 degree phase separation between voltage and magnetic current is made possible by impedance matching (which I think Luc's videos demonstrate), and - if this in fact is a viable pathway to keeping the dipole open (which I believe Luc also says in one of his recent videos),*

You're asking me to comment on something that I am not in total agreement with. As can be seen here:

Inductor AC Behavior

in an inductive circuit we find that the voltage leads the current by 90°, and here:

Capacitor AC Behavior

in the capacitive circuit we find that the voltage lags the current by 90°.

The idea presented by my hero is to bring L and C into a relation which would eliminate the effects of self induction. That condition we find here:

Resistor AC Behavior

So the whole concept of phase shifting for gain isn't really hitting home with me yet. The text, and patents from Tesla indicate one thing and this thread is suggesting something else. I am not qualified to say which is the sought after solution. In situations like these, not even the benched results can be trusted. My bench is giving me mixed results....

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Bob Smith**
> *then, we may have the key in order for circuits to not only overcome their own reactive losses, but to access additional energy from the electrostatic environment, might we not?*

I don't claim to know the answer to this one either. The only thing I can say for sure is that when I look back into the works of Tesla he specifically states that he adds capacitance to circuits to neutralize the effects of self inductance, that's it. I find it interesting that Tesla didn't refer to inductance like we do. We find him constantly referring to self inductance and its relation to capacity and frequency.

Quote:
I have found that in every coil there exists a certain relation between its self-induction and capacity that permits a current of a given frequency and potential to pass through it with no other opposition than that of ohmic resistance, or in other words, as though it

iPad 8:13 AM 78%
energeticforum.com

> Originally Posted by **Bob Smith**
> *then, we may have the key in order for circuits to not only overcome their own reactive losses, but to access additional energy from the electrostatic environment, might we not?*

I don't claim to know the answer to this one either. The only thing I can say for sure is that when I look back into the works of Tesla he specifically states that he adds capacitance to circuits to neutralize the effects of self inductance, that's it. I find it interesting that Tesla didn't refer to inductance like we do. We find him constantly referring to self inductance and its relation to capacity and frequency.

Quote:
I have found that in every coil there exists a certain relation between its self-induction and capacity that permits a current of a given frequency and potential to pass through it with no other opposition than that of ohmic resistance, or in other words, as though it possessed no self induction.
Coils For Electro-Magnets
End Quote.

So what I am after is what Tesla presented, the ability to neutralize the effect of self-induction for a given frequency. Self-induction = Lenz. I believe we are already extracting energy from the so-called electrostatic environment.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Bob Smith**
> *Good point about the transverse EM wave's possible role in the resistance to change in both L and C. Perhaps Eric Dollard's references to the instantaneous nature of longitudinal EM transmission (i.e., unimpeded by resistance to change) in Tesla's work have something to say to this situation now unfolding in this thread. I find it interesting that Tesla's series wound bifi pancake coil and its mutual cancellation of magnetic inductance between windings gives rise to instantaneously propagating longitudinal EM waves capable of broadcasting electricity without losses. Perhaps the 90 degree separation between voltage and current is another pathway to accessing the longitudinal wave/scalar vector phenomenon.*

A properly wound bifilar coil will neutralize the effects of self induction for a given frequency, or in the case of this particular winding type, several frequencies. The neutralization of the effects of self induction shouldn't be confused with neutralization of magnetism. The magnetism is still present, if it weren't a bifilar series solenoid would make a lousy electromagnet, we find the opposite to be true, according to the aforenamed patent, the purpose of the coil is to function as an electromagnet. So where is the magnetism? How is the magnetism moving about the wire in a bifilar wound series connected coil?



In a single wire wound coil we find that the flow of magnetism around turns produces repulsion between turns, this can be seen in the image. If the coil in this image were a bifilar wound series connected, we would find that the flow of magnetism around turns would produce attractive forces between turns. Attraction doesn't mean cancellation, this lesson is learned while playing with permanent magnets. We know that when we pull two magnets in attraction apart that the magnetism is still there. If what Eric Dollard states regarding the relation of magnetic and dielectric lines is true, and we find the two at 90° to each other, then more magnetic flux circulating between turns equates to more dielectric flux being present between turns. All of this is speculation on my part and should be taken with a grain of salt.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Bob Smith**
> *Much more that I want to say, but I realize the discussion needs to stay focussed. My apologies if I've drifted things off course.Bob*

I feel you on that, I could speculate all day on the different aspects of all of this, but then folks would be justified in demanding that I put up or shut up. I apologize for the tangent.

Regards

iPad 8:15 AM 78%
energeticforum.com

Quote

01-05-2014, 04:52 PM #3205

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **a.king21**
> *Replicated by MrAnguswangus here:*
>
> *Free Electricity Bedini - Everybodyseye Discovery - YouTube*

Uh.....they are "trying" to figure something out!!! They don't know whats going on, by their own admission! There's nothing wrong with running pulse motors from the mains. I do it all the time. I have supplied my motors with inputs as high as 500v. The problems come in when you have no isolation between your motor and the grid. There's nothing in the rule book that says you cant take the recovery and throw it back into the grid, its not wise! A pure transient introduced at the right time into an already active circuit reminds me of that situation that introduced Tesla to the effects that eventually changed his life, the same set of circumstances killed several prior to the wise among us figuring out exactly whats going on. The folks shooting these videos don't give me the feeling that they know what they are doing.

Don't attempt a replication unless you know what your doing! I'm thinking your screwed if you happen to start throwing those spikes back into the mains at wave peaks.....pray that you don't start generating runaway oscillations.....I hope you got an insurance policy that covers ignorance, cause if it really goes south, its not just your house that burns when it goes bad.....stick with batteries till you have mastered this tech.

Regards

Quote

01-05-2014, 05:34 PM #3206

boguslaw
Gold Member

Join Date: Aug 2007
Posts: 2,428

iPad 8:21 AM 77%
energeticforum.com

01-10-2014, 06:00 PM #313

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Hello

I have a question. What is magnetic current? Its been suggested that its reactive current. Being no expert myself I cannot disagree, however, my spider sense went off when I read that. I have no resonance with the idea that the two are the same. I have a one word definition for what I think it is, however, I am interested in the opinions of others.

What then is magnetic current.

Regards

_____

Last edited by erfinder; 01-10-2014 at 06:07 PM.

Quote

01-10-2014, 06:20 PM #314

Matthew Jones
Platinum Member

Join Date: Dec 2007
Posts: 2,629

I am not sure what the main stream thinks but I always recognized it as described by Leedskalnin. I could type a lecture for you but since he already wrote it.

Leedskalnin Magnetic Current

Cheers
Matt

iPad 8:23 AM 77%
energeticforum.com

> Originally Posted by **Matthew Jones**
> *I am not sure what the main stream thinks but I always recognized it as described by Leedskalnin. I could type a lecture for you but since he already wrote it.*
>
> *Leedskalnin Magnetic Current*
>
> *Cheers*
> *Matt*

Ah yes...the works of Mr. Leedskalnin. I spent a little time reading his publications and performing his suggested experiments. As he spoke primarily in riddles it was difficult for me to distill any real information regarding what magnetic current really was from his perspective. He does describe it, but in a manner which leaves one with more questions than answers. Saying that its composed of individual north and south pole magnets is OK but leads you to seeing monopoles which may or may not exist.

"Now I will tell you want magnetic current is. Magnetic current is the same as electric current is is a wrong expression. Really its not one current they are two currents, one current is composed of North Pole individual magnets in concentrated streams and the other is composed of South Pole individual magnets in concentrated streams, and they are running one stream against the other in whirling, screw-like fashion, and with high speed. One current, if it be North Pole magnet current or South Pole magnetic current, cannot run alone. To run one current will have to run against the other."

Here its clear that he doesn't emphasis a difference between electric current and magnetic current, he points out only one thing and that's there are two currents not one.

"Magnetic currents, or if you want to call it electric current, make no light."

Here again, he reminds us that he sees no difference between magnetic current and electric current.

In light of this and other works which have inspired my area of research, I have come to the conclusion that magnetic current = **Magnetism**.

What would a system look like (**16GT Monopole Motor**) which operates on magnetic current? By its very nature it would seem, to me anyway...., that such a system if properly constructed would be considered as a true example of a no CEMF device....running off the potential provided by the supply only..... .....cause the current is generated by the device itself.... .

I could be way way off though...right?

Regards

iPad 9:04 PM 60%
energeticforum.com

Banned

Posts: 435

Hello,

There are two main reasons why I allowed this thread to die, the first being a more personal one. At the time of my opening it I was dealing with a personal issue, envy. Today I have no problem admitting this both to myself and to the community because I understand how it can and has clouded my judgment at times. For a while I was upset with one who in my opinion didn't have what I would say is the proper understanding of the information he was presenting. Soul searching has led me to the conclusion that my opinion is mine, and my opinion of his work shouldn't matter to him. His work is based on ideas which came to him from the same source that sends me my ideas, the source is the same, the channel through which this idea is made manifest is just a channel. Who am I to judge him. Who am I to be envious of his accomplishment. I am him, not in the literal sense, we share an idea. If we were brain cells, we would share a common neural link. We are one in this work, approaching it from different perspectives, here we must tread carefully. Personal point of view introduces bias, which eventually blinds one from seeing the significance of that which another like mind brings to the discussion. This was my problem, it is for him to decide if he was a victim of this as well. Truth be told he has shared those visions of the future to the best of his ability, right or wrong is immaterial. The point is that he was "allowed" to share his thoughts. It would have been nice if he could have done so uninterrupted by the likes of individuals like myself, who, while operating in a similar manner, feel obligated to inject information where we see it fits when we see fit. This can work only when its welcomed, and I didn't even ask permission. It's funny now that I think about it, would he consider me as a friend today had I asked permission to share my thoughts with him? If you are out there, reading this, forgive me, I have no beef with you.

The second reason for stopping was the intellectual property issue which would have surfaced had I continued discussing things that I couldn't possibly know. Its crystal clear that I don't know anything, this is just a discussion, and I am only tossing ideas around. It makes me wonder though, since my work was inspired by research done by others, what would be the impact of my sharing my ideas and research on that work? From my perspective, there's that word again, it's clear that the individual groups putting this information into the public domain want us to discover and replicate their work, a kind of peanut gallery peer review, however, I don't get the feeling that they really want us to succeed. I say this because the ideas, which, while in my opinion didn't necessarily originate in these circles is now their intellectual property. Since this technology was discussed in an open platform shortly after it made its debut in 2010, I hope we can still discuss it, but not only that, I hope we can begin a massive replication effort, without getting into trouble with the people who are making the information available, as I said, its protected information.

Some might think it strange but in reviewing the information provided on this forum regarding the **16GT Monopole Motor**, in all of the posts I found no real information on how and why it really operates. Here I am specially referring to the **"Self Reguaging Idling Magneto"**. In looking for insights into how I believe it functions, I was led to one individual who was the last person I was expecting would give me any insights into the method behind the madness, Robert Adams. **"The Adams Pulsed Motor Generator Manual"**. Find that book!

Regards

iPad 9:09 PM 60%
energeticforum.com
Self-induction - Energetic Forum
National Weather Service : Observed Weather for past 3 Days : Chattanooga, Lov...

01-12-2014, 05:23 AM #1

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Self-induction

My purpose for posting is to inspire others. I don't claim through word or deed to be an authority in anything other than my own illusion. What I mean by that is I don't care if I am right or wrong, with that, I have nothing to prove, and nothing of value which I can share other than my ideas, some tested some conjecture. I don't claim that ideas come to me any different than how they come to anyone else. I have followed one or two of these ideas deeper down the rabbit hole, in the direction of their ultimate origin, and those few insights gained from that trip are the things I would like to share with you at this time.

In the spirit of payback being what it is, those who would call themselves my enemies please feel free to post your comments and thoughts. What doesn't kill me makes me stronger. No point in arguing, not sure how you view it, speaking for myself, the ideas which inspired the path I've adopted didn't originate with me, that being the case, there is no point in me trying to defend the information, either I got it right, or I got it wrong, my judge is the (source the of all ideas), not my peers.

Self-induction, the source of all that we have come to detest in our machines, is in reality as I interpret reality, the self acting gyro, the power supply which centers all systems. Its because we haven't related self-induction to ourselves that we haven't been able to see it for what it is, our complete disconnection from it is reflected in the devices we build around it. Tesla was probably not the first but was as far as I can tell the last who really comprehended the nature of self-induction and through this comprehension was enabled to articulate its significance and its immediate relation to capacity and frequency to us. Lets work together, lets try and comprehend this mechanism. It is my opinion that when we comprehend it, we will then have in our possession a key which will unlock many locked doors.

Regards

Quote

Like 0 Tweet G+ Pin it 50K+ Share

iPad 9:10 PM 60%
energeticforum.com
Self-induction - Energetic Forum
National Weather Service : Observed Weather for past 3 Days : Chattanooga, Lov...

01-13-2014, 08:34 AM #13

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **boguslaw**
> *Question : did somebody captured on very fast oscilloscope flyback spike which occur when coil saturated by magnetic field (solenoid) is abruptly disconnected ? I'm very interested to see details of oscillation I suspect there...*

boguslaw,

Thanks for being here. I got a secret to share, I don't know how to read a scope. I got an older one, and do put it to use, however, am not qualified to tell you what I'm looking at. I have looked at the waveforms generated in circuits when the current running through them is interrupted, didn't begin to noticed anything out of the ordinary till I started experimenting with more exotic coil configurations. I have a question for you, and please forgive me for answering your question with a question. Have you ever witnessed the spike generated at switch closure? That's the one I am interested in. That one doesn't get much attention, a current pulse! That's the one that I want. Don't want to pay for it though.

Regards

Quote

Last edited by erfinder; 02-25-2014 at 06:58 AM.

01-13-2014, 10:16 AM #14

Duncan
Gold Member

Join Date: Aug 2010
Posts: 1,330

iPad 9:17 PM 59%
energeticforum.com
Self-induction - Page 2 - Energetic Forum
National Weather Service : Observed Weather for past 3 Days : Chattanooga, Lov...

01-15-2014, 03:04 AM #33

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Mario**
> *Steve, ok, now you reminded me of another discrepancy, lol...*
> *Bedini: the motor has to be **off** while back-popping.*
> *Tom says the opposite: you hit the battery (with a cap) while **simultaneously** powering the external load...*
>
> *I have tried the latter too, but the load was a cap, didn't see the expected results. But you gave me an idea, maybe a coil should be a load... the power coil...*
>
> *regards,*
> *Mario*

Hi Mario,

Its not a discrepancy in my opinion. We have two individuals sitting in front of a machine, each is guided by his "environment". The work of the one inspired the perspective of the other. They are both calling it like they see it. Both views have merit, but only one is truly describing the conditions as we would like to see them, match point...Mr. Bearden.

When the inductor becomes a capacitor in your mind, everything changes. The two are one, someone really really intelligent in times past knew that, and coined the term "Reactor".

Regards

Quote

01-15-2014, 03:30 AM #34

iPad 9:23 PM 58%
energeticforum.com
Self-induction - Page 2 - Energetic Forum
National Weather Service : Observed Weather for past 3 Days : Chattanooga, Lov...

01-15-2014, 09:03 AM #38

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Mario**
> *Hi Steve,*
>
> *you said it's not a discrepancy, sorry but.. the charge/discharge pulses are either in phase or they are out of phase lol! One says they are the other that they are not...*
> *So, I have two questions. What is the discrepancy you were talking about if you don't think this is the one? And is this directly related to what you said you wanted to show?*
>
> *regards,*
> *Mario*

I said there's no discrepancy in my opinion.

I find nothing wrong with what was stated. There are/were indeed two methods, two points of view.

Back popping is out of phase as you indicate. The other method you're charging while drawing a load, so in phase as you indicate. Both are demonstrated by John Bedini, we don't really see the back popping being done anymore, and I think the reason for that is you don't have to, Ferris Wheel?

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 02-25-2014 at 07:01 AM.

Quote

iPad 9:25 PM 58%
energeticforum.com
Self-induction - Page 2 - Energetic Forum
National Weather Service : Observed Weather for past 3 Days : Chattanooga, Lov...

01-16-2014, 11:09 AM #49

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

This is a machine that I call the

Orthogonal Hybrid - Generation 2.

This machine utilizes an advanced version of the connection method that has been presented. The term "advanced" denotes the additional connections necessary to maintain the desired effects at low resistance and inductance.

Here + to + and - to - is taken a step further.

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 01-16-2014 at 11:22 AM.

Quote

01-16-2014, 11:13 AM #50

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Mario**
> *Hi Steve,*
>
> *The energiser or magneto has been in my mind for a long time too, I have done quite a few experiments over the last years*

iPad 9:34 PM 57%
energeticforum.com

Mario

Quote

01-19-2014, 09:05 AM #103

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Mario**
> *Hi Matt,*
>
> *As far as I know Steve is pulsing only 3 times per rotation, meaning when the coils, be it N or S oriented, have an opposing magnet in front of them to be pushed away. So the collapse spike is always in the same polarity in the wire.*
>
> *regards,*
> *Mario*

I am pulsing three times per revolution.

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 02-25-2014 at 07:12 AM.

Quote

01-19-2014, 09:54 AM #104

PUER AUTEM

Join Date: Dec 2007
Posts: 2,629

iPad 9:36 PM 57%
energeticforum.com

01-19-2014, 09:59 AM #105

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Matthew Jones**
> *Maybe I am missing something here then. All you coils fire a North Field Inward Towards the rotor? The coils do not alternate between north and south?*
>
> *Matt*

The poles alternate, but they are always the same each time..each time I fire i setup 3 Norths and 3 Souths. Always at the same location.

Edit.

each time the rotor comes around, the coils are fired at the exact same position each time. Polarity of the coils doesn't change.

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 01-19-2014 at 10:02 AM.

Quote

01-19-2014, 10:09 AM #106

Matthew Jones
Platinum Member

Join Date: Dec 2007
Posts: 2,629

The Its like I said, All the South coils create a spike that heads back to C1 and V1. They are negative on the scope and you can't see them because the probe is going to show you highest current first from V1.

iPad 9:39 PM 57%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Matthew Jones**
> *The Its like I said, All the South coils create a spike that heads back to C1 and V1. They are negative on the scope and you can't see them because the probe is going to show you highest current first from V1.*
> *IE Look at the spikes coming out of monopole before and after the diode. You can't see them on the battery side.*
>
> *Either way I though I would point it out as something to look for. A little switching and you could keep all that power.*
>
> *I'll leave ya alone. I don't want to thinkin the conversation up anymore.*
>
> *Matt*

You can believe me when I say that I welcome input. Ask all the questions you like, and make all the suggestions you feel led to make. The charging of the buffer capacitor was not something that I planned. It was something that happened because the geometry was right. Looking for a way to keep the power supply from exploding resulted in me adding the buffer capacitor and diodes. I was looking for something else when this effect manifested. I have a better circuit, the ideal circuit. Its called a Mosfet commutator. That switch lets me capture everything, the positive and negative, with that switch I can switch 6x per revolution, switching on both halves of the wave, the reason why I don't use it its because that switch is bidirectional, and the effects I am after are unidirectional. At one point I thought that monopole meant unidirectional, I have come to understand (in my twisted way) that its not, we are dealing with two halves of a whole, and to get what I am after both poles are necessary.

I dig how fast you respond....

Not really sure if that made it any clearer. I don't care about the buffer charging in the general sense, I am interested in the path taken by that which is charging the buffer, its that path that I want to manipulate.

Regards

---

iPad 9:42 PM 57%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Mario**
> *Hi all,*
>
> *I'm very glad to say that Steve has run the setup with an igbt as well and still got the back-charging effect . An igbt has no reverse diode so there is no (conventional) path for the coil to back-charge the supply cap.*
> *This is very good news. I apologise if I have distracted from what he's trying to show to us, but I had to bring it up because with a Fet it could have been a possibility since on my setup the reverse diode allowed for the same results, back charging, but through the diode in my case.*
>
> *So let's keep investigating this as I really think Steve has something very important that he wants us to see...*
>
> *regards,*
> *Mario*

How did I guess you were going to do that...LOL...I will shoot a new video tomorrow showing the IGBT run, the wave looks exactly like how you said it should Mario, with the exception of the thing that I wasn't ready to talk about yet...self oscillation.

Your posting about the reverse diode was a wonderful addition to the discussion, and was by no means distraction, I greatly appreciate you bringing it to the attention of all who are participating in our exchange of ideas.

Do keep pointing these kinds of things out! You humble me even more with this post! I am honored that you would share some of your precious time here with me.

Regards
Steve

Quote

iPad 9:48 PM 55%
energeticforum.com
Self-induction - Page 5 - Energetic Forum
N-Channel Power MOSFET MOSFET | Mouser

01-21-2014, 04:34 PM #129

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Mario**
> *Thanks, like I told you when we talked I'm considering converting my muller type motor-generator to air cores. Back then (g-field/kromrey times) they didn't have neo magnets, and one of the big drawbacks of these type of pulse motor generators is the big drag the cores produce. This is why I was wondering if air cores still followed the same rules regarding the "kromrey effect" or however one wants to call it. Of course air cores have way less inductance, but to compensate I could use very strong 3 or 4 cm diameter neos in my rotor…with zero core drag for the rotor and generator side.*
>
> *regards,*
> *Mario*

If what I mentioned in my post regarding my trials are accurate, then anyone should be able to get the acceleration effect in a standard axial generator which is properly configured using low inductance coils with cores.....? Eventually I'll build a larger one, its not really a priority right now. My first model works, and a larger one should deliver the exact same results if I keep things configured like in the first machine.

I didn't really find cogging to be an issue, probably because my rotor had some mass.

Regards
Steve

Quote

01-21-2014, 04:59 PM #130

Join Date: Apr 2007

iPad 9:49 PM 55%
energeticforum.com
Self-induction - Page 5 - Energetic Forum
N-Channel Power MOSFET MOSFET | Mouser

01-25-2014, 11:51 AM #145

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Beamgate**
> *Was'nt he (Tesla) referring to 'resonance' with that observation?*

Hello Beamgte,

We call this association "resonance". I have read a few articles and patents, those few documents that I have researched where he specifically references this relationship, I dont find him referring to the condition as being resonant. The term resonant is used in those text, however, its not directed at this relationship between the capacity, self-induction and frequency, its directed at something else.....go figure?

Regards

_____

Quote

01-25-2014, 04:44 PM #146

barbosi
Senior Member

Join Date: Feb 2009
Posts: 270

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **erfinder**
> *The term resonant [..snip..] its directed at something else.....go figure?*

iPad 9:54 PM 55%
energeticforum.com

Quote

01-27-2014, 03:13 PM #15

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

Originally Posted by **John_K**
Hi erfinder,

Welcome back!

I'm still interested on how you made a PMSM motor.

Are you able to post any more details? I'd like to build one.

Feel free to PM me if you wish.

John K.

Forgive me John, I thought I made it clear how modified that motor. Technically, the name PMSM only applies loosely, my device is simply a modified three phase induction motor.

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/...duction/F1.wmv

What began as a 3.3KW 3 phase induction motor was stripped of its original windings and rewound with 18x trifilar coils. There is a 9 pole rotor installed fitted with 6 magnets. As it stands, the device could be classified as an inductively triggered Dynamotor. I moved away from the SG, and Bipolar switch for moral reasons. The circuit I use on this device is inductively triggered, and can be found online.

I planned the retrofitting, but didn't do the major work myself. The rewind was done by a specialist, the new rotor was drawn by myself and constructed by a machine shop.

When considering what a PMSM really is and how it functions, my machine doesn't qualify for that name. So with that in mind, lets just say its a rewired three phase motor fitted with a permanent magnet rotor, in other words a big brushless motor.

As I mentioned before, if I had to do again, I would save up and buy a PMSM device and drive it as we've been taught to drive motors. In the end I think this would be the better way to go, its cheaper, and the money saved on the rebuild can be invested in the controller.

There is no special information that I can provide. Its all basic stuff. The easiest, and by far cheapest retrofit would be pulling the rotor out of a three phase motor, drilling holes in the rotor and securing magnets, (rewind only if you want to use a multi strand SG circuit.....) won't make much of a motor but would make one hell of a energizer.....

Regards

_____

Quote

01-28-2014, 02:39 AM #16

John_K
Silver Member

Join Date: Jul 2008
Posts: 548

Thanks erfinder for the details. I'll see what I can come up with.

John K.

_____
http://teslagenx.com

iPad 9:55 PM 55%
energeticforum.com
Self-induction - Page 6 - Energetic Forum
N-Channel Power MOSFET MOSFET | Mouser

01-28-2014, 06:14 AM #158

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

Originally Posted by **Allcanadian**
Hey Erfinder

Ok I know exactly what your doing now... very interesting. As it turns out I have been designing systems in the last few weeks along similar lines with a little twist I won't go into here.
I have found that it is beneficial for the most part to ignore what we think our oscilloscope is telling us and concentrate on electron flow notation and Emf/Cemf ie. Force. In fact we can ignore most of the terminology and the equations and use three simple terms, Flow, Force and Time. At which point it becomes clear what we conceive is happening in our circuits.

Tesla had thoughts concerning what may happen if a critical parameter changes in time ie." The Problem of Increasing Human Energy". Such as the Mass of a Pendulum which gets lighter on the up swing and heavier on the down swing. Now at one point I thought Tesla was speaking of a parametric system but that was not it. He was not speaking of changing a variable but changing a constant.

AC

Ferroresonance.

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 02-25-2014 at 07:17 AM.

iPad 7:00 AM 51%
energeticforum.com
Bedini SG/Window Hybrid(s) - Con... | Books | Suppressed/Frontier Science : Adam... | N-Channel Power MOSFET MOSFET |...

01-30-2014, 12:02 PM #21

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **LutherG**
> *Hi Guys, I found The Adams Pulsed Electric Motor Generator Manual for $40 US here:*
>
> *https://www.nexusmagazine.com/produc...-manual-detail*
>
> *And an addendum for $31.83 US by Adams:*
>
> *https://www.nexusmagazine.com/produc...ddendum-detail*
>
> *Maybe they can be found elsewhere... still looking.*
>
> *Excellent thread!*
>
> *Cheers,*
>
> *Luther*

Don't buy them unless you want to spend the money....I saw a copy of both online as PDF...will try and find them again.

Regards

Quote

Sponsored Links

iPad 7:02 AM 51%
energeticforum.com
My Asymmetric Electrodynamic Machines - Page 206 - Energetic Forum
N-Channel Power MOSFET MOSFET | Mouser

01-31-2014, 11:40 AM #6175

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

My fellow researchers,

Forgive the intrusion, I would like to share the following food for thought.

Every motor is a generator, and every generator is a motor. Through the proper association of the two (a dedicated motor, and a dedicated generator), the current limiting mechanism associated with the CEMF of the motor can be effectively reversed and or neutralized. Likewise, the torque generated in the generator is effectively reversed and or neutralized.

In short, the CEMF of the motor is routed towards the CEMF of the generator. The CEMF of the generator serves the same purpose as the magicians assistant, beguiling the audience with her beauty while the illusionist makes his finial preparations and blows your mind by properly applying knowledge. While the induced EMF from your generator has your motors induced EMF preoccupied, the applied EMF from the external power supply can saturate the system with dramatically reduced opposition.

CEMF is not the enemy, it's the genii in the solenoid.

It is not my function to disclose to you a method by which you can realize what I am suggesting. It is my duty however to inform you of my experiences, share information with you that you may not be aware of because its coming from my bench. It is my hope that you take that which is being suggested to heart and investigate at your leisure.

Regards

Quote

01-31-2014, 05:09 PM #6176

sampojo

Join Date: Nov 2010
Posts: 428

iPad 7:41 AM 48%
energeticforum.com
My Asymmetric Electrodynamic Machines - Page 207 - E... National Weather Service : Observed Weather for past 3 Da...

02-01-2014, 07:53 AM #6185

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Ufopolitics**
> *Hello Erfinder,*
> *Please do not go...again, I want to congratulate you for finally deciding to open your own thread, where you are exposing very interesting effects/results, as very well built and excellent machines.*

I am going to take that as an invitation, and assume that my posting from here on out isn't going to be considered as trespassing. I thank you for your kind words regarding my machines, you have set the bar incredibly high, so, if I want to communicate to you and your audience, my craftsmanship and attention to detail must par with yours.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Ufopolitics**
> *But please...let's "Coexist" in harmony...Your Machines are a different approach to Electrodynamic Technology in the Brush Less DC design.*

Yes, coexistence is the way to go, harmony my goal.

I am not qualified to say with any certainty what if any relation that my work has with the present accepted doctrines and dogma governing "Electrodynamic Technology". With that I try to avoid labels and focus on the effects that I'm interested in manifesting in my devices.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Ufopolitics**
>
> *The Machines displayed here are a different approach, even the later ones I have shown which are also BLDC.*
> *Look around Us...we still have brush and brushless motors everywhere...some are good for certain applications...where the others will just not output same performance. Even within the BLDC, we have different structures, like the Outrunners and the Inrunners...different winding types in Symmetry like the Delta and the WYE...and so on and on...*

Yes there are commutated and brushless motors in what can be considered as unlimited quantities being applied in various applications. As you pointed out one type is good for one thing while the other type is good for another. The differences are not a concern for me though. What I am interested in is that single thing that unites them. Regardless of if the motor is a brushless type or a brushed type, both behave as if they were generators. From this perspective, that of the generator action, how the device is commutated is immaterial.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Ufopolitics**
> *We should never disregard any machine or methodology... just because we just came up with a better, newer one...and yes you are right, CEMF at certain point is required to cause certain motor control...certain regulation of some parameters...all technology should "COEXIST"...The same way that Oil should have allowed secondary, alternative energy technologies along all this 130 plus years...*

As I pointed out before, it's not about right or wrong. It's not about what's better, none of that matters to me, better or worse can only be judged by one who has accomplished the desired result, and has developed the ability to see, that which was perceived as a weakness as strength. It is not my intention to come across as if to disregard a machine or methodology, it is to identify kinks in the armor, and flaws in design. The brushed DC motor should have been designed differently.

I am of the opinion that CEMF is the system fulcrum. It is the mechanism that keeps all in check, it is from this position where we should be viewing the system, from the golden center. From the perspective of the CEMF the applied EMF is foreign!

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Ufopolitics**
> *I have been watching, reading all your posts in your thread...along with all videos you had uploaded...excellent work!*

Your compliment is greatly appreciated.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Ufopolitics**
> *I can see you have some different "effects" going on at TDC then at JTDC...after your machine is running at TDC very strong and fast...you move the Hall Sensor to JTDC and your Buffer Capacitor starts to produce a very interesting excess of Voltage much higher than source circuit...I see this phenomena very strange, but very worthy to develop and investigate much , but I mean MUCH further on...*

This is the effect. One sees the voltage rise on a capacitor in the videos, but it must be understood that to charge the capacitor current is required. I am suggesting that the current charging this capacitor to a higher potential than the source is the exact same current which charges the parasitic capacitance of an unloaded generator coil, please give yourself time for that to sink in.

iPad 7:42 AM 48%
energeticforum.com

I am of the opinion that CEMF is the system fulcrum. It is the mechanism that keeps all in check, it is from this position where we should be viewing the system, from the golden center. From the perspective of the CEMF the applied EMF is foreign!

> Quote:
> Originally Posted by **Ufopolitics**
> *I have been watching, reading all your posts in your thread...along with all videos you had uploaded...excellent work!*

Your compliment is greatly appreciated.

> Quote:
> Originally Posted by **Ufopolitics**
> *I can see you have some different "effects" going on at TDC then at JTDC...after your machine is running at TDC very strong and fast...you move the Hall Sensor to JTDC and your Buffer Capacitor starts to produce a very interesting excess of Voltage much higher than source circuit...I see this phenomena very strange, but very worthy to develop and investigate much , but I mean MUCH further on...*

This is the effect. One sees the voltage rise on a capacitor in the videos, but it must be understood that to charge the capacitor current is required. I am suggesting that the current charging this capacitor to a higher potential than the source is the exact same current which charges the parasitic capacitance of an unloaded generator coil, please give yourself time for that to sink in.

In my machine the design was specifically chosen so that the location of maximum induced potential is at JTDC, and the point of zero induced potential is at the zero crossing TDC proper. From the video it can be seen that the effect takes place at JTDC. The device is started at JTDC, it will not start at TDC. From here the machine draws maximum current till the RPM enables the CEMF to limit the current, at this point the timing is changed from JTDC to TDC, here there is no CEMF limiting the current and the motor accelerates to its new plateau. The timing is returned to JTDC, and the supply is charged, no drag is experienced during charging because no current is flowing from the source to oppose the charging current.

> Quote:
> Originally Posted by **Ufopolitics**
> *I was going to recommend some "approach" utilizing the angle where you move the Hall between TDC-JTDC...Now, Do you think you could build a "Dual Hall Sensor" electronic circuitry, say Hall 1 at TDC and Hall 2 at JTDC...where you could switch them on-off at regulated Speed between this two angles through a POT...to a point that both Halls would be pulsating back and forth from TDC to JTDC, plus another POT to Increase One more than the other and vice versa...say by percentage or Duty Cycle...from 50/50 to 80/20 %...I believe if you do this...and not manually like you have shown on videos...you will have more accurate control on your effect...therefore you would be able to play back and forth between the two worlds...high performance and pretty good output ...till you find a suitable spot on Percentage between H1 and H2...as frequency of switching between the two...maybe this would work...maybe not...we never know...but it is just my two cents.*
> *Regards*
> *Ufopolitics*

I can and do appreciate your suggestions, early in the research these thoughts crossed my mind, and as you probably have guessed I followed those thoughts to their end. At the end of what appeared to be countless tests, it was determined that the effect manifests without extra switching, and introducing extra switching so as to move between JTDC and TDC proved to be counterproductive. The introduction of extra switching also demonstrated that I didn't really comprehend what I was working with. What I wanted, what the device was showing me was I needed to trigger at JTDC, the point of the anomaly, and draw current at a rate which is equal to that being drawn at TDC, use the CEMF to do this, use the CEMF as a lever which would switch the circuit between the two conditions experienced when moving between JTDC and TDC.

By changing our perspective which as it stands is that of the applied EMF looking into a system where an induced EMF is manifesting and increasing in magnitude with increasing RPM, we should consider the perspective of the CEMF and the circuit parameters which govern its manifestation and maintenance.

The interaction or more accurately the exchange taking place between the induced and applied EMF illustrate a one word mechanism, opposition. Opposition is a funny thing when we look at it deeper for the word itself leads one to mentally experience the force between two magnets with like poles facing one another, while at the same time accepting the "idea" that opposites attract.

Now the more "educated" among us would immediately see this as being a perfect example of double think, and were it not for my experiences would be inclined to agree with them. I am grateful that there have been great minds, giants who came before us and paved the way for deeper understanding, for what some ignorantly call double think, those wise call a magnetic gate.

I look forward to exchanging with you and your group UFO. Keep an open mind, mine is open, were it not I would not be on your thread sharing, not teaching, sharing. It is my hope that you can appreciate what I am suggesting, and that you have the vision to see how it applies to your work and what a combined effort could mean for the future. As it stands, a meshing of ideas isn't realizable owing to certain design complications, I have brought them to your attention in the past, and don't wish to repeat history, with that, I hope you see where I'm coming from and come to the same or similar conclusion that I have, when you do, a melding of material will not only be possible, it will be inevitable.

Regards

Quote

iPad 7:50 AM 46%
energeticforum.com
This is why we don't have free energy - Page 3 - Energetic Forum
JTDC top dead center - Google Search

02-03-2014, 06:00 AM #83

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

A thought experiment

A rotor fitted with 12x neo magnets with the holding power of 100Kg each equates to roughly 1200Kg of stress on the space surrounding that rotor. It's an amazing amount of stress on the space surrounding that wheel when you think about it, and I find it unfortunate that we aren't taught to see it that way, for if we were, we would begin to perceive our connection to the environment. We would begin to contemplate the exchange rate between the environment and the magnets on that rim. We would begin considering the effect that centrifugal force, an unseen, but measurable force, a force which increases with increasing speed, a force which is associated with mass, mass being directly related to (in my view) current, current here, equates to the substance circulating inside those 12 magnets. Those magnets, in their static condition represented 1200Kg of stress, those same magnets in motion now represent the static 1200Kg plus the whatever extra (to be determined) that centrifugal force adds to the to the system?

When you look at your magnet orientation from the perspective of this mass associated force, the idea behind why a single polarity is suggested begins to make more sense.

We don't have what we want because we don't know what we want.

Regards

_____

Quote

02-03-2014, 10:01 AM #84



cikljamas
Silver Member

Join Date: Jul 2010
Posts: 837

iPad 7:54 AM 46%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **barbosi**
>
> *However, a much impressive effect I found in Erfinder's demo in his thread "Self-induction", where the speed of rotor - or I shall say relative speed of a magnet to the coil - is not necessarily a factor anymore. And as far I could see, the coils did not have a ferromagnetic core, hence the self-inductance is not that great, hence the time constant I was talking about is lower. But that is just my take and I might be wrong. From what I have seen this is my oppinion.*
>
> *Regards.*

Thank you very much for starting this thread, if you don't mind I would like to reply to your post.

I am of the opinion that the so called acceleration under load effect which can be seen in certain geometries is due to CEMF - CEMF neutralization. There are two machines that are in the public domain which in my opinion more or less started the movement, the first is the Kromrey Converter, and the second is the G-field generator, the latter in my opinion is possibly more advanced version of the Kromrey Converter.

Referring specifically to the G-field, the device has a closed magnetic circuit topology. It's my view that the circuit, both electrical and magnetic, should be observed from the perspective of the induced EMF which in this case is dual. Upon being shorted out through the load of proper impedance, the two induced EMF's produce magnetic fields which are of such a direction that the two neutralize one another. The neutralization of the induced currents is the reason for the devices ability to accelerate, and at the same time is the reason why these devices "appear" to have poor output, the output is indeed low, however, we have failed to comprehend why. Is it possible that the output is low because the induced currents (the currents which drive our load) are being neutralized as they're generated? If the induced currents are indeed being neutralized as they are generated, what then is powering the load?

We cannot create nor destroy magnetism. When two magnets are brought together in attraction we don't say that the magnetism between them is neutralized? The two magnets become one magnet, the flow between the two magnets unite, and we end up with a single flow. This is the condition which is being established in systems like the Kromrey and G-field. In light of this, neutralization can be interpreted as being the unifying principle, where two opposites become one.

In a system void of opposition, the inducing current powers the load, my opinion....

Regards

iPad 8:21 AM 41%
energeticforum.com
Self-induction - Page 7 - Energetic Forum
YouTube

02-08-2014, 01:34 PM #189

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **DadHav**
> *Hello Steve, Earlier you asked or anyone has ever used more than one sequential bipolar switch together of something like that. I know your busy but if you're interested I have used one motor running on a full wave circuit to run a second motor using a half wave circuit. What might be interesting is that the first motor has the generator coil connected in series with the power coil. This is similar to the connection used in some cases on the UFO motors, I believe. In this case the voltage also nearly doubles before the second circuit is energized from the output of the first. Consequently it looks like the the voltage eventually is almost double the input while it is running the second motor and an LED also. Unfortunately it isn't over unity because the current draw at input goes up when the second motor and circuit is connected. Any way if you're interested I have the circuit drawing if you want it and the video is here:*
> *Window Motor Runs Window Motor. - YouTube*
> *I also have something to watch with regard to who ever was asking about disconnecting the battery before hitting it with return spikes, but I won't post anything that might clutter things up more than I am already unless you invite me to. Is there any way to check spelling here?*
> *Cheers*
> *John*

Hi John,

Post what you think applies to what I've posted so far. Just give me the benefit of the doubt please. I am making no claims, and the questions I have raised are very important to me, important enough for me to crawl out from under my rock and share them with all who care to read through my rant.

What others may think of the idea is immaterial, but it must be stated here and now so that its clear, I view my system, from the perspective of the CEMF. I cannot make that message any clearer than that.

Regards

02-09-2014, 05:26 PM #193

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **DadHav**
> *OK Steve, I watched your video once again and I think I get it. It just keeps getting harder for me to wrap my head around things anymore. When you add the bulb as a load the motor speeds up and draws more current.*

Hello John,

Correct.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **DadHav**
> *If it drew more current without speeding up you wouldn't have anything to start a thread about right?*

Correct.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **DadHav**
> *The important thing is that something happens in your motor or components that takes the inductive limits off the motor and thus allows the motor to draw more current and speed up without you increasing the voltage on the power supply.*

Correct.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **DadHav**
> *So would that mean your motor converts to one that has more horsepower for the applied voltage?*

If we are to believe what we have been taught regarding torque following current, then yes, the "mechanical" out increases.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **DadHav**
> *I know that's not what you're talking about but it could be significant somewhere down the line.*

It's not, however, it's a fair, and justified question.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **DadHav**
> *So everyone probably agrees that you have illustrated something special and you have an interesting test result.*

I appreciate that very much.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **DadHav**
> *I think what you're asking here is if anyone has or knows how or why this can happen or if they know how to accomplish such a cancellation themselves right?*

Not necessarily, I am not asking if anyone knows how or why this can happen, what I'm saying and asking:

The fight between applied EMF and induced EMF is the issue, is the fight demonstrating "the" solution to the problem of current limiting?

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **DadHav**
> *I'm not sure it's possible to predict how to do this, it might only be possible to explain it after you have accomplished it and can do the bench tests on a working model.*

I believe it is possible, I believe it begins with asking the right question. After the proper question is formulated we are shocked to discover that the solution is simple, intelligent researchers in the past recognized the simplicity, one in particular shared his findings over 100 years ago.

The fight between applied EMF and induced EMF is the issue, is the fight demonstrating "the" solution to the problem of current limiting?

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **DadHav**
> *I'm not sure it's possible to predict how to do this, it might only be possible to explain it after you have accomplished it and can do the bench tests on a working model.*

I believe it is possible, I believe it begins with asking the right question. After the proper question is formulated we are shocked to discover that the solution is simple, intelligent researchers in the past recognized the simplicity, one in particular shared his findings over 100 years ago.

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **DadHav**
> *That's just my opinion because I don't have the background to research and give an on paper explanation. I hope someone will be able to contribute more than I am.*

I understand, I'm not asking for help, not asking for anyone to explain anything for me. I have my own dogma, and for the moment, mine is all I need.

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **DadHav**
> *I will add something though. You're device is really cool in one respect because it seems to be a mechanical boost converter as well as what it might be doing electrically. After thinking about it for a while this reminds me of a motor setup I have.*

I think it's pretty cool too.

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **DadHav**
> *My load is the motor itself when I switch the feedback on. The current goes up and the motor speeds up just like yours. On mine the power supply voltage meter also goes up 20 volts over what the power supply should be capable of. I'm wondering if I'm not experiencing something similar. I've been told by a few that the power supply voltage sensors are turning the supply up but I got my hands on a circuit drawing and that looks impossible. It may not pertain but then again maybe it does.*
> *Window Motor Doubles Voltage On PS Readout. - YouTube*

I believe the motors speed up for different reasons. The increase in potential that you note in the power supply was one of the first things I ran into, but unlike in your demonstration, what came back from my system was so high in both potential and current that it destroyed my power supply. That happened twice before I got wise and added diodes and a large capacitor between my circuit and the power supply. Unlike your machine, this phenomena only took place when I "stopped" my circuit from switching. You will be hard pressed to make comparisons between my setup and yours, my coils are configured in a specific manner and connected together in a specific way. This enables me to get the back charging effect. This back charging effect is a combination of generator action that you see in your machine and something else that I am not qualified to elaborate on in detail. I can get this effect with any supply that I drive the motor with, and can completely disconnect the supply, eliminating the idea that this effect is associated with the power supply.

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **DadHav**
> *I think some of these things can't be duplicated because of how critical impedance is. The effect on the video only works with this power supply but I have run motors on the capacitor in the supply with the supply unplugged so I'm sure the components in the supply have something to do with the boost. I should try using the supply as a load while running the input from a second power supply. OK enough.*
> *Cheers*
> *John*

Impedance is a funny word. It's a word which equates to resistance, this resistance is measured in ohms, however, at the same time it can be related to an induced potential. On that note we are back to my question.

Regards

Quote

iPad 8:53 AM 39%
energeticforum.com
Self-induction - Page 7 - Energetic Forum
YouTube

02-13-2014, 06:20 AM #197

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

While contemplating that which follows, I was often times reminded of that feeling one gets when the ice cream is sooo good, soo good that you forget how cold it is, you try to process more than you are ready for and the brain freezes, and your stuck between damn that was good and drooling because you've lost all motor control, then comes the frown phase, and you cringe cause your head hurts from the cold, I relate this to how it must feel like when a new neuro pathway is spontaneously grown .

If at resonance opposition is limited to the DC resistance of the winding, what can we expect and or predict will take place in a circuit which is already operating as if it has no impedance, the currents moving in it are limited only by the DC resistance of the winding? This circuit is not resonant, but has the characteristics of a circuit operating at its resonant frequency. What happens when we make this "reaction less" circuit resonant?

Regards

Quote

02-13-2014, 10:37 AM #198

rosehillworks
Senior Member

Join Date: Jul 2009
Posts: 175

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **erfinder**
> *While contemplating that which follows, I was often times reminded of that feeling one gets when the ice cream is sooo good, soo good that you forget how cold it is, you try to process more than you are ready for and the brain freezes, and your stuck between damn that was good and drooling because you've lost all motor control, then comes the frown phase, and you cringe cause your head hurts from the cold, I relate this to how it must feel like when a new neuro pathway is*

iPad 8:54 AM 39%
energeticforum.com
Self-induction - Page 7 - Energetic Forum
YouTube

02-15-2014, 08:27 AM #204

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Mode 1

Neutralization of current limiting through self induced EMF / induced EMF interaction and parameter variation.

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 02-25-2014 at 07:20 AM.

Quote

02-15-2014, 10:05 AM #205

Midaztouch
Silver Member

Join Date: Feb 2013
Location: Japan for 2yrs
Posts: 546

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **erfinder**
> *Mode 1*
>
> *Neutralization of current limiting through self induced EMF / induced EMF interaction and parameter variation.*
>
> *Two parameters being varied:*

iPad 9:09 AM 35%
energeticforum.com
Self-induction - Page 8 - Energetic Forum
YouTube

02-20-2014, 06:31 PM #216

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **BroMikey**
> *Hello ERFINDER*
>
> *My name is Mike Rowland I live in central Kansas as an introduction to you. I have been studying Tesla and many Patents since the 1960's and so let me say this.*
>
> *Most of the devices discussed about invention center around caps charging to critical break down and tanks with coils or coils of some kind to get spikes.*

Hello Mike,

Yes, most of the literature both old and new shows devices which are built around the idea of charging caps up to and beyond critical points where they break down and do funny things. In addition to this we have been instructed by a very interesting character how to use inductors to generate currents of high electromotive force for charging caps the very same caps that we want to charge up to and beyond these critical points. It's been suggested by that same character that under the right conditions, coils can behave as if they are capacitors, he was even so bold as to patent one of these cap-coil ideas.

Thank you for sharing your thoughts.

Regards

_____

Last edited by erfinder; 02-25-2014 at 07:22 AM.

Quote

02-20-2014, 11:53 PM #217

02-20-2014, 11:53 PM #217

barbosi
Senior Member

Join Date: Feb 2009
Posts: 270

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **erfinder**
> ***Look at the formula for calculating Farad's, and the formula for calculating Henry's, become as shocked as I was to find that they are pretty much the same formula, which means to one who thinks what he/she wants, that they are interchangeable.*** *Big coil means you have big capacity. We watch the inductance of our system change hundred of henrys per second, and give no real thought to it nor how it "could" relate to capacitance. Tesla did...patented a coil....that's like one of us printing a T-shirt with a smiley on it.*

Wow! Although I got this message several times already, it was just my ignorance stopping me to look up wikipedia and ACTUALLY see it. As always, it was the real work I didn't do to realise this little "detail". For anyone who'd care, I attached a picture. However, on wikipedia's formulas there are many other representations which I omitted, some which include the mass - magnets being characterised sometimes in strenght by the pull mass.

The rest of the quote comes as a natural consequence.

Thank you. I am the smiley.

Attached Thumbnails

$$F = \frac{J}{V^2} = \frac{A \cdot s}{V}$$
$$H = \frac{J}{A^2} = \frac{V \cdot s}{A}$$

Last edited by barbosi; 02-21-2014 at 12:02 AM.

Quote

02-21-2014, 06:04 AM #218

erfinder

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

iPad 9:16 AM 34%
energeticforum.com

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **erfinder**
> *Regarding the video, one point was to demonstrate that there might be something to that patent. Not so much in how its presented, what was presented.*
>
> *A second point would require numbers, and with that here are a few.*
>
> *The circuit has a capacitor that sits between the motor and the power supply. Two diodes stops anything from leaving the motor and returning back into the supply. The capacitor is rated at 400v 4700uF. The supply charges that capcitor up to 141VDC, as can be seen in the video, the capacitor charges to 249VDC. Doesn't take a math wiz to see that the capacitor is charged to a higher voltage than the source. The source is pegged out at 141v.*
>
> *Nice little calculator*
>
> *http://www.electronics2000.co.uk/cal...calculator.php*
>
> *141V 4700uF = 46.72 Joules*
> *249V 4700uF = 145.702 Joules*
>
> *Difference of - 98.982 Joules*
>
> *Considering that the cap was charged to this voltage "after" the circuit was switched off.....which means the supply isn't delivering any energy to the circuit.....where did this 98.982 Joules come from.*
>
> *For the sake of example we will say that the 98.982 Joules represents a charged capacitor of the same capacity as mentioned (4700uF) above charged to 205V. Where did this energy come from? Could this effect be what the patent is suggesting....? Remember I am not asking for an answer, I have my own dogma, I'm just throwing a question out there.*
>
> *Regards*

Hello ERFINDER

My name is Mike Rowland I live in central Kansas as an introduction to you. I have been studying Tesla and many Patents since the 1960's and so let me say this.

Most of the devices discussed about invention center around caps charging to critical break down and tanks with coils or coils of some kind to get spikes.

Some how I don't remember this modulated wave form, however you have made the notation and it is very important that those

iPad 9:25 AM energeticforum.com 32%

ermnder
Banned
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **mbrownn**
>
> *Now back to the problem. At the moment we have reached a stage where we have two outputs occurring in one coil, one is DC the other is AC. I have tried diodes and rectifiers but the problem is in the coil and cannot be solved by placing diodes outside of it. As the DC and AC are being produced in the coil half of the AC opposes the DC and half goes with it. This results in cancellation of the power in the AC and we get sort of an h wave DC signal.*
>
> *If we can offset this AC signal in the direction of the DC we have solved the problem and that is why I created this thread.*
>
> *It may be that we have to add a second coil around the first one with a diode to maintain DC and one with a bridge for the AC but somehow I don't think that will work.*
>
> *I did read, some years ago, how a sine wave AC signal could be converted to a sine wave DC signal within a transformer by offsetting it but I cant remember how it was done. I believe there was no gain in power and only a small loss.*
>
> *This is why I want people to think about transformers.*

Hello,

I have encountered this problem myself, and after some serious thought came to the conclusion that the cancellation problem was the inevitable result of the two fields occupying the same space at the same time, both magnetic and dielectric, there is no way out of this, at least I didn't find a way out using what you are suggesting. The solution came when it was understood that if one of the two components, magnetic or dielectric could be "divided" as unto itself, the cancellation could be allowed to manifest, but the induced voltage and currents would still be available for use.

I know it doesn't make sense, however, not making sense has no bearing on my machine functioning. I chose to divide the magnetic, it just makes more sense when you think about it. The method I cannot give you because you don't have the same machine. What I can share is that my solution was in using two transformers, not one, in a single transformer the inducing and the induced are in the same space at the same time, they will add or cancel, on the other hand in two transformers when you associate said transformers with one another properly, here you must follow the CEMF its all over the place, you have to get the phase relationships between the two transformers right and then you got what I got, in your system.

You are a clever guy, you don't need anymore speculation from me. Just know I got two transformer working together so that I can use the AC, You don't have to convert it to DC. If you really just gotta have DC, take what I suggest and apply it to Tesla's method. You will see, as you probably already have, even he suggests dual reservoirs.

I hope this helps....if not, there is plenty of room in the bin. Good luck, have fun.

Regards

iPad 9:26 AM 32%
energeticforum.com

Quote

03-26-2014, 03:40 AM #23

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

Originally Posted by **mbrownn**
Hahaha Its now become a guessing game

I suppose I have been called out and wont get away with it any longer

Its known as the Lockridge device.

You can find some of my work here Lockridge Device - Peter Lindemann here The Lockridge triflar coil here Resonance in motors and here Imhotep's Lab Interactive FAQ - View topic - The lockridge device

There was another thread on another forum with more info on it but that has been deleted for some reason

I would suggest not reading from the beginning as my ideas have evolved over time but starting around 2 years ago would be more relevant.

My work is different to Peter L's although I think his videos are well worth watching.

The work of both Squires and Babcock are both relevant although their devices are quite different from mine. The geometry is taken directly from John B's video although I think it works in a different way to how he explained in his video. Some of how it works is described in the dynamotor patent.

Hiwater has run some tests for me, I have also discussed ideas with Erfinder and a little with Farmhand and a couple of others. The info is all out there although nobody has the full picture. Once I have it all worked out I will put the pieces together for people if they need it.

Technically it cant be patented as everything is out in the open, but as you know, you can patent anything.

If you wish to discuss the device as a whole then I suggest we start a new thread because I want to keep this one specifically for the problem described above. As the work is not Peter or Johns I suggest we don't use the term Lockridge in the title so people don't get confused with their work on the subject. If you do wish to discuss it let me know and ill start a new thread.

Uh.....should I remove my post? I thought what I stated fit. I have no idea how much your ideas have changed over the years. I offered what I can offer. I cannot post a schematic of my setup, it makes absolutely no sense, and has noting to do with what you are doing. The part that applies is simply the use of two transformers instead of one, for the expressed purpose of being able to utilize that which is other wise cancelled, namely the AC. Now that I know its a motor, now that I know its the lockridge, I know it fits! Humor me and play around with the transformers... if you can get them connected right, you should see what I see, and since your machine isn't a toy like mine, you should see something serious.

I told you that I am of the opinion that the Alexanderson patent is the device that you are working on. I built a rig that allows me to separate and amplify the transformer, and generator relationship using magamp principles... these effects are external to the motor for the purpose of learning how to properly blend them inside the stator later. Anyway...that's all I have to say about this.

Let me know if I should take the posts down.

Regads

Quote

03-26-2014, 04:56 AM #24

mbrownn
Gold Member

Join Date: Jan 2011
Posts: 1,643

Quote:

Originally Posted by **erfinder**
Uh.....should I remove my post? I thought what I stated fit. I have no idea how much your ideas have changed over the years. I offered what I can offer. I cannot post a schematic of my setup, it makes absolutely no sense, and has noting to do with what you are doing. The part that applies is simply the use of two transformers instead of one, for the expressed purpose of being able to utilize that which is other wise cancelled, namely the AC. Now that I know its a motor, now that I know its the lockridge, I know it fits! Humor me and play around with the transformers... if you can get them connected

iPad 9:29 AM 31%
energeticforum.com
Operating a transformer on DC - Energetic Forum
YouTube

03-26-2014, 08:00 AM #25

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **mbrownn**
> *Good to see you Mate. No don't remove anything, it seems as if we were both posting at the same time.*
>
> *In the simplified model I am comparing it to one transformer but as you know the case is split into two halves, maybe this can be used as the two transformers you speak of. Maybe we should have a chat about it so I can get my head round the concept. I still have the same skype*
>
> *I am starting to get my head around the mag-amp but the brain is a little slow these days.*

The device should produce AC on its own, this AC will limit your current if you have enough inductance in the system, I didn't have enough, the transformer fixed that problem too..... If you use the transformers, you can take the induced AC from switching and run it against the AC which is induced from proper generator action and neutralize the effect of current limiting, while at the same time being able to use the CEMF which is under normal circumstances limiting your current!

We should talk.

Quote

03-27-2014, 12:41 PM #26

mbrownn
Gold Member

Join Date: Jan 2011
Posts: 1,643

Its taking me a while to get my head around what is in your post. In my case it is AC caused by the switching that I want to collect as there is real current in it, the generator produces DC. The current should lag behind the voltage, but how much I don't know and how that translates via transformer action into the output coil again is an unknown.

I need some more test equipment

Last edited by BroMikey; 07-17-2014 at 10:34 PM.

Quote

07-18-2014, 12:57 AM #119

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

The method isn't being disclosed, and I find it unfortunate, but this is was expected. I can and do respect that the inventor(s) must protect their interests and the that of their investors, investor isn't limited to those who fund your research. With that being said, I don't think its necessary to try and figure out what they are doing, I think it wiser to find a similar method, one which is by no means the same thing, but could be considered as a step in a similar direction.

I offer the following, not for discussion, I offer it simply for your private contemplation and consideration.

https://docs.google.com/viewer?url=p.../US7215097.pdf

Regards

Quote

07-18-2014, 01:42 AM #120

Aaron
Co-Founder & Moderator

Join Date: Feb 2007
Location: Washington State
Posts: 10,723

Serps

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **SilverToGold**
> *To be clear, Paul did not talk about how the Tesla Magnifying Transmitter worked.... just how Tesla powered it. They were talking about a comment Tesla made under oath in court that he could basically magnify 100 hp by like 5 million times (if I remember correctly). And they relate that it was by the same SERPS technology that they rediscovered.*

iPad 9:43 AM 29%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Aaron**
>
> *I don't know if this is a proper analogy, but Bearden describes how the "Heaviside Flow" (aetheric current) over a wire - only 1/11 trillionth of it gets diverged into the copper of the wire and gets the electrons (electron current) to jiggle downline in the opposite direction. With that being said, isn't there plenty of potential available to do all kinds of work if there was a way to just tap into more of what is already there?*

I have no idea what Tom Bearden knows or what he doesn't know. My gut tells me the authorities know more than they are saying. I say that because, US Patent no.:

6,392,370 Device and Method of a Back EMF Permanent Electromagnetic Motor Generator

has a section in it where the author, who reads like Tom Bearden, has a "reworded" version and or explanation of the "Heavyside Flow", the author also goes as far as to suggest the means by which one, in this case the author and his associates, can modify specific circuit parameters, enabling one, again the author and his associates, to capture more of this Heavyside Flow.

Quote from 6,392,370:

Once the generator or battery source dipole is formed (the dipole is attached also to the external circuit), it is well known in particle physics that the dipole (as is any charge) is a broken symmetry in the vacuum energy flux. By definition, this means that the source dipole extracts and orders part of that energy received from its vacuum interaction, and pours that energy out as the energy flowing through all space surrounding the external conductors in the attached circuit. Most of this enormous energy flow surging through space surrounding the external circuit does not strike the circuit at all, and does not get intercepted or utilized. Neither is it diverged into the circuit to power the electrons, but passes on out into space and is just "wasted". Only a small "sheath" of the energy flow along the surface of the conductors strikes the surface charges in those conductors and is thereby diverged into the circuit to power the electrons. Standard texts show the huge available but wasted energy flow component, but only calculate the small portion of the energy flow that strikes the circuit, is caught by it, and is utilized to power it.

In a typical circuit, the huge available but "wasted" component of the energy flow is about 10.sup.13 times as large as is the small component intercepted by the surface charges and diverged into the circuit to power it. Hence, around every circuit and circuit element such as a coil, there exists a huge non-intercepted, non-diverged energy flow that is far greater than the small energy flow being diverted and used by the circuit or element.

Thus there exists an enormous untapped energy flow immediately surrounding every EMF power circuit, from which available excess energy can be intercepted and collected by the circuit, **if respective non-linear actions are initiated that sharply affect and increase the reaction cross section of the circuit (i.e., its ability to intercept this available but usually wasted energy flow).**

The method in which the motor of the present invention alters the reaction cross section of the coils in the circuit, is by a novel use, which momentarily changes the reaction cross section of the coil in which it is invoked. Thus, by this new motor using only a small amount of current in the form of a triggering pulse, it is able to evoke and control the immediate change of the coil's reaction cross section to this normally wasted energy flow component. As a result, the motor captures and directs some of this usually wasted environmental energy, collecting the available excess energy in the coil and then releasing it for use in the motor. By timing and switching, the innovative gate design in this new motor directs the available excess energy so that it overcomes and reverses the return EMF of the rotor-stator pole combination during what would normally be the back EMF and demonstrates the creation of the second back EMF of the system. Now instead of an "equal retardation" force being produced in the back EMF region, a forward EMF is produced that is additive to the rotor/flywheel energy and not subtractive. In short, it further accelerates the rotor/flywheel.

This results in a non-conservative magnetic field along the rotor's path. The line integral of the field around that path (i.e., the net work on the rotor/flywheel to increase its energy and angular momentum) is not zero but a significant amount. Hence, the creation of an asymmetrical back EMF impulse magnetic motor: 1) takes its available excess energy from a known external source, the huge usually non-intercepted portion of the energy flow around the coil; 2) further increases the source dipolarity by this back EMF energy; and 3) produces available excess energy flow directly from the source dipole's increased broken symmetry in its fierce energy exchange with the local vacuum.

End Quote.

I find it interesting how the work of Heavyside has become someone else's intellectual property? Its fascinating how all of the authorities are basically saying the same thing, sad thing is none of them are willing to just outright say they have spent years convincing themselves and their fan base to believe. Is free energy real...? No, is overunity real....? No. Is there more energy available but just out of reach surrounding "Power EMF" circuits...yup! Will those in the know demonstrate how to effectively change the "reactive cross section" so that we can do what is being suggested in all of these emerging "principles"?

Regards

iPad 9:47 AM 28%
energeticforum.com
Fusion Chip OU Piggy Bac... | US7215097.pdf | US7215097.pdf | YouTube | US6392370B1 - Device and...

10-08-2014, 10:02 AM #6

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

There is no scientific evidence of the so-called "delayed Lenz" phenomena. The the concept was created by us (figuratively speaking). We stumbled onto something that we couldn't quantify nor qualify, and in desperation to make ourselves look cool among our peers we called this thing that we could not place, "Delayed Lenz". Look at who is on the list of those who identify with the phenomena as such.....and look who is absent from the list. Most would say nah....and these folks would be responding in haste, and in ignorance.

What most of you fail to remember is the coil is trying to maintain the same current flow that was present prior to opening the switch. This is the magic folks, what you have is a magnetic field in an open circuit condition. Lenz either doesn't apply, or is inverted.

Regards

Quote

10-08-2014, 01:25 PM #7

Bob Smith
Silver Member

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 784

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **erfinder**
> *There is no scientific evidence of the so-called "delayed Lenz" phenomena. The the concept was created by us (figuratively speaking). We stumbled onto something that we couldn't quantify nor qualify, and in desperation to make ourselves look cool among our peers we called this thing that we could not place, "Delayed Lenz". Look at who is on the list of those who identify with the phenomena as such.....and look who is absent from the list. Most would say nah....and these folks would be responding in haste, and in ignorance.*

Quote

11-11-2014, 09:49 AM #8

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Instead of trying to replicate, think about what Lenz is really saying, comprehend where the problem is and attack the problem.

The device under discussion has a long history. The modifications that will be made to an off the shelf motor don't guarantee your success. Several have built generators based on this concept, this is the first I have seen in the form of a converted induction machine. None have demonstrated the results seen in the demonstration.

Lenz is not an issue in properly designed systems. The pioneers of NEVER mentioned the negative effects we glorify today! Give the systems you contemplate building some thought. The simple solution is right in your face has been for decades.

Regards

Quote

11-11-2014, 09:54 AM #9

RAMSET
Gold Member

Join Date: Mar 2009
Location: NYC and Conn USA
Posts: 1,328

update on build

New generator no effect lenzlaw, give more detail in pcture.

thanks for looking

Chet

Quote

iPad 9:54 AM energeticforum.com 27%

Last edited by hello_all; 11-19-2014 at 08:11 AM.

Quote

11-19-2014, 09:59 AM #55

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Ok,

Dave, you asked, and this is major off topic, or maybe it isn't I don't know, I'm sure someone knows.

Pay attention to what your leadership is showing you. What they show you is more important than what they say. In the video on the advanced SG, Peter highlights JB's greatest gift to this community, namely, the so-called "generator mode" or as Peter called it, "common ground mode".

COMPREHEND THAT MODE OF OPERATION AND APPLY IT!

I found what they are showing here a long time ago, and was trying to share it with UFO, but then like now, my communication skills weren't were they needed to be. When I say comprehend what they are showing you I mean just that, it doesn't mean copy and paste. What works in this particular system will not work elsewhere, the principles however, can be applied anywhere when you comprehend them.

I haven't heard them say it, but this is a kind of resonance, its a genius, sneaky way of producing resonance, one which none of you here have ever tripped over, probably one of the reasons why they see fit to up the ante. Resonance we know, basically when your reactance are equal.....they use the term impedance but we have no relation, we aren't told if the reactance in question is capacitive or inductive, its as if it doesn't matter.....maybe it doesn't? I am also fond of catch phrases like "impedance matching" which is saying what.....resonance..... We also find them describing the parallel resonant condition in their description of how to tune the SG. Specifically, they state tune for the lowest draw, for the highest RPM. This is the exact behavior we find in a tuned parallel resonant LC. It is also the natural condition we find in a common DC motor which is operating at it's no load RPM.

It hasn't been demonstrated how to manipulate the impedance and or reactance or reactive cross section of the circuit yet, but I can see that the foundation has been laid. The SG lesson is complete, and what I hope follows will be the practical application.

The generator they are showing you holds more mystery than you think, the size of the core, the method by which the coil is polarized via the diode, the mutual inductance between the gen coil and the motor coil (that last one they don't talk about, but you better believe its important.) As this is a demonstration of one coil, the real magic you wont see, the relation between the motor and gen isn't emphasized. We find a motor which isn't a motor, so the gen shouldn't be a generator in the true sense either.....think about that.

As far as how I would do a Lenzless gen, lets not go there....They start you off with what you need, a simple method, keep in mind that there more to it than what you have been given. Keep reviewing what they gave you, each time you do, you will gain new insights, and eventually have what you are looking for.

Owing to our history, I don't feel motivated to be more forthcoming with you or your associates. This is as far as I go, hope it helps you.

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 11-19-2014 at 10:05 AM.

Quote

11-19-2014, 09:30 PM #56

jtanguay
Senior Member

Join Date: Oct 2009
Posts: 124

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **hello_all**
> *You were not here when mike came out with the self runner ,he later on admitted that was not self runner . There were batteries hidden in the core of the motor, he confused a lot of people here and many replications were done , but no selfrunner.*
> *Second video , he shows motor running on 14 volts and then he has small stator generator feed to bendini ssg to charge battery , not a self runner . Bendini stuff is not self runner , all it can do is to produce 300 volts spikes to charge batteries , you still need input to run the wheel which will deplete soon , 2 battery statem ,in the end both batteries are depleted when swapped. Max you can do from bendini circuit is run a fan, or small water pump with low flow rate and charge battery , It is very slow , no torque, and slow charging over days, for the sake people went solid state and used pulse chargers or high amp chargers for batteries.*

Hmmm... I've searched high and low for information on Mike's motor and haven't found where he admitted that it's running off batteries.

Believe what you want about the Bedini stuff not being self runners. The best way to get a self runner is to use mechanical switching, and it has to be within a very short timeframe to harvest the energy. Most people don't realize this, and that's why their

iPad 9:59 AM 26%
energeticforum.com

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kjeZ97QC30I

Quote

03-15-2015, 06:13 AM #276

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

Originally Posted by **MagnaMoRo**
I've posted the brief answer to the question of this differing wave form directly at the YouTube video comments section. It states:

> *"The wave form that you see in the scope is a direct result of the way the microscopic magnetic domains react within the structure of the core material when they meet with magnetic resistance while trying to produce electrical force in the coil winding to meet the demands of the load. The iron core is acting as sort of a magnetic cushion between the spinning magnet and the coils."*

Here though, I will also add this:
The reason that the scope does not detect an alteration in the sin wave coming off the grid is purely because of the bulk of the energy (amperage) available off of the grid as compared with that available from the comparatively minuscule amperage available through the magnet, iron core and coils of the pump.

If one were to test the grid with much larger loads you would then detect a similar change. Ever seen your lights dim when a well pump motor starts. That is exactly why capacitors are often used in the circuit, to give that extra needed push.

https://vimeo.com/115816020

This is what the transition looks like when you design the system properly. Forgive me for stating this, but Morins comments regarding what makes the square possible and why you can't see this in the mains makes no sense! Believe what you want though. I have reviewed all of his videos (very very very painful experience) and have never seen him demonstrating the morphing wave phenomena, this leads me to believe that he wasn't aware of it. I am very aware of it, as are a few others, I recall a watching a video shot in 84, in that video the machine being demonstrated produced a rectangular (proper description of the wave, because it is not square) output wave form, inspired me to find a way to do it. The video link provided is a testament to my having found a way, one of many.

What I'm getting at is, this phenomena that Chris tripped over is real, in all fairness I tripped over it myself, but unlike Chris, all stopped when I first experienced it, nothing mattered except mastering the evolution of that wave.

Clean up those dirty wave forms, get those transitions tight, comprehend what it takes to increase the number of oscillations and thereby the sharpness of the wave. You got a **** load of work to do, this isn't as easy as you all are beginning to assume, but you will discover that for yourself as you if you, proceed in this direction. Good luck.....

Regards

Quote

03-15-2015, 03:16 PM #277

BroMikey
Platinum Member

Join Date: Jan 2013
Posts: 5,016

Dude E Thanks 4 that

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **BroMikey**
> *[SIZE="4"]Dude E Thanks 4 that*
>
> *I don't really know what you are using or saying. What is going through my mind are the various winding in standard wound gensets. A monopole (Clock,clock,clock in the back ground) is something closer to the big magnet generator like the McCulloch has? I think.*

What I am using is irrelevant, irrelevant because Chris isn't using what I am using and is demonstrating a similar phenomena, compare our waves, how they behave, how they look. What I am saying is clean up your setup, work on your understanding of the setup so as to generate cleaner wave forms.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **BroMikey**
> *[SIZE="4"]*
> *It sounded like you were using a homemade dohicky. Lot of work? I don't know what you mean. You mean we gotta get it back to a sinewave?*

Resorting to terms like "dohicky", not taking each others efforts seriously is probably one of the main reasons why we will not progress as a community.

The machine I used to demonstrate the morphing sine to square wave is my own design and construction, and yes it was a lot of work, in its conception and construction.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **BroMikey**
> *[SIZE="4"]*
> *Thanks for letting us all know what you found out. The wheels with magnets are really cool machines and thanks to the inventor guys like John Bedini, we are all seeing things we never would have, if all we had was our standard motor generator windings. The standard unit produce something else while coils with magnet types produce a number of waveforms.*

I showed you the wave form which you all will see if you decide to take what you are doing seriously, if you give things a more thought than you are giving it. I have yet to let you know "what I found out...".

99 percent of the machines you find out there including that generator that centers the discussions you all are having as of late, incorporate wheels with magnets...so, its not really clear what you are trying to say with your statement.

Most give JB props, and I find that the majority who do, are hopelessly oblivious as to what that man has presented to them on a silver plated platter. That being said, I take a little offense when I read you mentioning his name and giving him credit for something that I am almost certain you haven't comprehended yet. Please don't take offense to that last statement. If you were truly aware of gravity of what JB has presented you would not be busying yourself with the subject under discussion.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **BroMikey**
>
> *Do you think the square wave is good? I didn't get that part in your disclosure. Or do you think the sine is better. Either way at least we know someone who has witnessed these forms.*
>
> *Thank-A-Mill E*
>
> *Mikey*

Your question of whether I think the square wave is good is an indication of your having absolutely no knowledge of how and why the square wave manifests. That's not a good place to be in, you are entering into an area which demands caution, and absolute attention to detail. A sound grasp on the basics is mandatory, your text book is wrong according to those who wipe their dirty rear ends with its pages. Fact is, you aren't going to get far without a basic understanding of what is contained in those now soiled lines of text.

You folks playing with those huge transformers are playing a dangerous game, ignorance of the law is no excuse for not practicing it. Output wave forms becoming rectangular is an indication of the impedance characteristics of the circuit also changing. If current can transmission without opposition, you better be able to deal with those conditions, or be in ready to pay the price for not being in the position to deal with those circumstances when they arrive.

In conclusion, you are now aware of the fact that one who takes this work serious can demonstrate **CLEAN** sine to square transitions, and has a workable understanding of the mechanism which makes this possible. I am not starting a dialog here, I just felt like showing you what the wave looks like when you do it right. I have no desire to present anymore than I have with the video I just linked you to.

Be safe, be smart, good luck...

Regards

guy is ready for action. Another thing about Hitby is that he isn't saying that he can pulse the transformer "SOMEDAY" no no, I mean to tell you this guy is our man for this job.

We are on our way to some really great tests with these conventional pole transformers that will knock your socks off.

Mikey A special thanks goes out to Kensurplus for his input

Quote

03-25-2015, 06:28 AM #376

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Wtf!

No disrespect is meant, if you feel disrespected turn inward and ask yourself why.

I find it odd that the guy with the technical finesse, the one who has taken the horse by the mane, is not only taking advice from those who are literally oblivious as to the dangers surrounding his endeavors, he is appreciative of their contributions to the discussion, contributions which worst case could result in his demise.

Now there's talk about pulsing transformers, pig pole transformers!?. You people have lost your freaking minds, assuming the one(s) making such suggestions had minds to start with! When have you ever seen anyone pulse a pig pole! NEVER!! And you know why, there are many reasons but first which comes to mind is because insurance doesn't cover ignorance or insanity, no clause in the policy which holds your guru's feet to the fire, and you know he knows he isn't liable. SCALE THIS **** DOWN, you people are playing with fire, and the guru.....in a word...DOH!

Know what a "rouge wave" is? I recommend looking it up, and while you're at it look into how they may and probably do relate to soliton waves (means you got to look up soliton waves), see also longitudinal waves. Now cross reference the aforementioned with the ideas and concepts embodied in poly phase systems, the latter should be done in two steps, the first using clean AC drive signals, and second with PWM frequency drive methods. See if you can find how phenomena similar to rouge waves can be generated artificially in specialized topologies. Try and comprehend how fast things can get out of hand, out of your control and leave you with no record of what happened or why!

Stop insulting those peers who have done their damn homework. If you, and you know who you are, would stop soiling the pages of text books with your potty mouths, you might find that there are some gems on those pages, you might discover that your guru has you drinking his piss that's what all that has been demonstrated really amounts to. This isn't me judging you though, urine has practical applications, patent office is full of patents which put good piss to use....check it out... Patent US4137302 - Acetyl-urea solubilized by n-methyl glucamine - Google Patente.

It's fascinating, reading this and other threads, either folks are too smart for their own good, or their too stupid for their own good. No real creative capacity being demonstrated by either. Folks are stuck in the stone age, still contemplating sine, is a sine really sine? Are we sure we aren't looking at a cross section of something much more substantial? People need to start thinking 3D, and beyond.....the stuff you are playing with is not limited to that s shaped line that you see or don't see on the scope. Cymatics, there's a word that you should look into, AFTER, you did the other homework.

Almost forgot, there is no overunity. The idea in and of itself is a program which was written by some rather gifted mind ****ers. Since the writing of the code, and its processing by the mindless, it has taken on a life of its own. One of the most incredible documents I read stated that there is 10^13 more energy around a powered EMF circuit than the amount being diverted by the circuit into the circuit. That document is a mind **** of info, which leads you to many conclusions, none of which ending at "the" method for getting to that substantial amount of energy. The document sowed a seed, it paints a picture which basically says that most will spend most of their time and energy marching to the beat of the program which was designed for the purpose of distraction. They will spend little or no time investigating claims like the one just mentioned, specifically they will not investigate the conditions needed to get to that 10^13. That one document tells you if nothing else, that your circuit has more interaction with its immediate environs than you are driving into it at any given time, period!

There's a unicorn poop cookie for ya, eat up!

Last edited by erfinder; 03-25-2015 at 07:26 AM.

Quote

iPad 11:20 AM 20%
energeticforum.com

Quote

04-11-2015, 04:31 AM #3

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

Originally Posted by **Matthew Jones**
Its easy to explain, every motor of this type produces Back EMF. This is energy that is generated in the coil, of an opposite polarity that must be burned off prior to YOU charging the coil. The BEMF is the inhibitor. It stops the process of the coil accelerating or creating torque.
So with 1 rotor you get 1x the BEMF. 2 Rotors you get 2x the BEMF, for no net gain. 10 rotors and 9 coils same delima.

Answer:
Catch or remove BEMF before firing coil.

Matt

There is also a third option, comprehend the induction mechanism, and then invert the induced. The inverted CEMF now aids the applied EMF.

In certain martial art practices, this idea is embodied in the statement "using your opponents strength against him".

In systems designed with this thinking at its core, the induced EMF rises till it equals the applied EMF, at which time the operator can witness consumption increasing with increasing RPM. For lack of a better way of putting it, the machine behaves as if it were broad band series resonant, that's how I would classify it, I am not classically trained so you can take what I say with a nice fat dash of salt.

Either way, if and when one were so inclined to do the investigation, one would find that there very specific and simple lessons we must take from both motors and generators.

Careful analysis of motors reveal that the applied magnetic fields are neutralized by induced magnetic fields.

Careful analysis of generators reveal that the induced magnetic fields oppose inducing magnetic inducing magnetic fields.

A more intense analysis of motors reveals that they don't exist, as the motor aspect is a reaction, an effect born out of setting up a potential difference across generator using an external voltage source. The shorting of that gradient on itself across some resistance gives us our so called magnetic poles. In reality (mine), the generator is the only thing that exists. A powered generator is a motor, a generator powering a load is a motor, here in this latter case, the torque operates in opposition to the prime mover. Torque and induced EMF are in this instance representing the same mechanism and are under certain conditions interchangeable.

Observing the motor and generator from their own sphere of dominance facilitates the inevitability of our being able to see them and experience them as ONE.

Imagine a machine which can generate potentials, potentials which move currents, currents which set up magnetic fields which do not operate in opposition to those which induced them. No motive force, positive nor negative manifest. Here we are considering for possibly the first time, the possibility that a potential can manifest independent of force. It doesn't take a degree to recognize that if this is true, and I am aware of one who shares this view, (all I need is one), that we can make the force appear where we want, independent of the potential.

Food for thought is all this is. Once the horse has been beaten to the point to where its substance in its entirety has been completely absorbed into the ground, its time to move on. This is where I find myself.

Regards

_____

Last edited by erfinder; 04-11-2015 at 04:33 AM.

Quote

04-11-2015, 08:23 AM #4

Joit
Gold Member

Join Date: Sep 2008
Posts: 1,993

Quote:

Originally Posted by **Arthurs**

iPad 10:26 PM 100%
energeticforum.com
Single Wire Power - A Search for Ambient Power to do Wwork - Page 9 - Energ...
OverUnity Research - Index

06-30-2015, 12:30 PM #259

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

It would be a wonderful start if all invested participants, regardless of belief system could recognize that the "hairpin" topology was not limited in its application to the circuit which has been provided by Dr. Green. I choose to ignore Mr. Wagnus.

We find the "concept" albeit, slightly modified in:

http://patentimages.storage.googleap...US568179-1.png

Fascinating circuit, fascinating concept. Concepts which can and have been expanded on, by the inventor himself or one with the proper understanding. One such advancement was provided by the inventor himself, it can be viewed here:

http://patentimages.storage.googleap...US568180-0.png

With this second image I am reminded of the apparatus depicted in the "Apparatus and Method of Conversion". The AC/DC machine.....

This is an important topic, I hope you all dig as deep as you can. I found a few of my answers after it was understood that the hairpin and variants on that concept had a practical application in electromechanical devices, didn't have to contemplate long, its in black and white....

Regards

Quote

06-30-2015, 09:57 PM #260

med.3012
Gold Member

Join Date: Nov 2012
Location: Algeria
Posts: 1,013

just an idea about hairpin circuit , i think it can be understood when thinking about the real geometry of cold electricity !

Quote

08-16-2015, 03:50 AM #5

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

Originally Posted by **Mario**
Since the other thread about generators that speed up under load has degenerated into insults I'm starting this new one in the hope that we can keep it clean.

This is for people who have done experiments and already know the basics.

I just wanted to share a few thoughts and findings based on my experiments with my dc motor driven two coil setup.

First of all we know that in order to see acceleration effects under loaded or shorted condition we need to attain a critical frequency. To be more precise we need a magnet to move past the coil above a certain speed, meaning faster than the current buildup in the coil in order to get the delayed Lenz effect, which is what gives us low drag or even speed up conditions.

One would think that fitting as many magnets as possible around the rotor is best as it raises the frequency for the same rpm. From my experience this is not the case. I mean bigger rotors with more magnets are better, but not too many.

Who has studied the Kromrey generator knows that compared to a standard generator, on the Kromrey the rpms can be lowered down to almost 50% of full speed while still delivering almost full output power to the load. This I have verified personally. In fact the output is based on the core demagnetisation while the magnet is leaving the core. The demagnetisation needs time to occur based on load impedance/resistance, so we need enough space between one magnet and the next. I could find no improvement adding magnets after certain amount, Thane Heins like.

The other thing is magnet - core gap. My conclusion is that as close as possible is best in order to see speed up conditions. But you need a solid structure that can handle the huge forces if you use neo magnets.
From Bedini's notes it seems that when the setup is "built right" with the right load we should see a decrease of about 50% of input power. Personally I haven't been able to see this, but what I'm thinking is this:

Let's say you have rotors who's magnet/coil gaps can be adjusted while in operation. Let's say you're so far away with the rotors that they don't even affect the coils, that's our base line speed (and input power). If we start moving the magnets closer to the coils (open) we start to see some drag and deceleration. If we then short the coils (our base line speed has to be over the critical frequency) our speed goes back to almost base line speed.
If we move the magnets to get an extremely narrow gap we see a strong deceleration, but also a much bigger acceleration when we short our coil, or add the right load. What I mean is, the smaller the gap the bigger the speed difference between the unloaded and the loaded (or shorted) condition. This might be how Bedini could report such a hug he difference between loaded and unloaded.

Bifilar coils: franky, although they act a bit as capacitors I don't think bifilar coils have a magic role in this case other than simplifying finding the right number of turns, especially if you have many strands to play with. You need the largest wire (more amps) you can fit while allowing you to have enough turns to get the needed self inductance, which at a given speed will give you no drag or speed up.

About what I wrote at the beginning, one thing I need to think about some more is, if we get basically the same output for much lower rpms and input power, why bother getting up to the critical frequency..? I know this doesn't sound right, need to think some more.....

Mario

It takes a while to break through the programming, however, in time one, I, realized that Lenz's Law as we interpret it relates specifically to the relation between the inducing magnets and stator winding under the influence of the inducer. This being the case, to reduce the negative effects that the law has on the circuit, we need only increase the distance between the inducer and the induced, specifically, we need to increase the distance between the inducing magnets and the stator coil.

According to Faraday, all that is required to produce a potential in a coil is for there to be a change in the magnetic environment of the coil. In this particular case, the magnetic environment of interest is the core of the coil. Changes in the environment of the core will result in the generation of potential. With this in mind, the distance between the "core" and the inducer should be as close as possible, and as before mentioned, the distance between the inducer and the coil should be such that the induced field is peaking at or around the zero crossing (TDC), yes, we want the voltage node to move to TDC, bringing the system into what could be considered as resonance from a geometry stand point, a situation where the induced potential, and induced current (when the coil is shorted) is coincident with the point of maximum flux density at TDC.

By varying the position of the coil with relation to the inducer, we control when the peak induced voltage or point of maximum change in flux takes place with relation to the point of maximum flux density found at TDC. The idea here being to bring the maximum flux change point closer to the point of maximum flux density, up to coincidence when system geometry allows.

It seems that at the end of the day it's all about manipulating "Magnetic Current" for the expressed purpose of establishing geometry based resonance. When done correctly, any coil can be used, regardless of its inductance and resistance, and we are not limited to frequency, meaning, the acceleration effect can and does manifest at much lower RPM, the lowest I have personally experienced being around 300 RPM +/-. One thing to keep in mind, if you are using a pulse motor to drive your gen like I do, expect consumption to increase with increasing RPM, a good thing under certain circumstances.

Attached you will find a image of the machine I tested. In the finial machine the only iron present is in the form of welding rod in cores, there is no common iron circuit shared between the two coils, coils are supported using Plexiglas. With 13mH @ .8 ohm, acceleration can be detected beginning between 25-28Hz, using a 6 pole rotor.

Regards

Attached Thumbnails

Quote

08-17-2015, 02:48 AM #6

Mario
Senior Member

Join Date: Apr 2007
Posts: 406

Quote:

Originally Posted by **Matthew Jones**
Sorry about that but some things have to be vented..

I can't interpret what "critical frequency" means but generally for acceleration to happen with just a shorted coil you need 2 things, High Speed rotor (Lots of opposing magnets NSNSNS or Real fast rotation) and High impedance/resistance in the coil. And by the way NNNN or NSNSNS are the same thing. 2 north magnetic side my side produce a S field between them.

So my starting point for that is usually a 10 ohm coil at 2000+ rpm. When the coil is shorted you gain about 100 rpm. This is easy to replicate with a few big magnets and a #24 wire, I believe at 1000ft on a spool that contains soft iron in the core. The only real way I have found to use this method is to combine it with a coil that will slow everything down less than 100 rpms. Use the accelerator coil to offset this. Or several.

The other option is to lower impedance and use a metal offset on the coil. You can extend the metal out of the ends of the coil a given amount and it will add to the acceleration. Naudin show a good experiment of this happening.

and break", which thrust the effect out into space. The effect was definitely related to time, IMPULSE time.

- **Second**, Tesla found that it was imperative that the charging process occurred in a Single impulse. No reversal of current was permissible, else the effect would not manifest. In this, Tesla made succinct remarks describing the role of capacity in the spark-radiative circuit. He found that the effect was powerfully strengthened by placing a capacitor between the disrupter and the dynamo. While providing a tremendous power in the effect, the dielectric of the capacitor also served to protect the dynamo windings."

End Quote.

Secrets Of Cold War Technology

After spending what feels like a lifetime reviewing the above and related information, I am of the opinion, that the information above is a simplified and or watered down interpretation of the work conducted by Tesla, in this specific case, work which in my opinion is the origin of "Coil Shorting" and its associated effects. The general purpose being first and foremost, the production of the production of impulse waves. The majority of researchers who practice coil shorting are not doing so for the expressed purpose of impulse wave generation, so there is a disconnect between what they do and what "could" be interpreted as the purpose for the concept. In all my years of researching things Tesla, I have yet to cross paths with one who is in the position to demonstrate his/her ability to produce impulse waves in their machines where coil shorting is being practiced.

The purpose of this post is to show that it is not only possible to produce such waves, but to also demonstrate that it is possible to manipulate the polarity of the impulse by manipulating when the shorting takes place.

http://vid67.photobucket.com/albums/...pscsc4on0t.mp4

As I mentioned elsewhere, I am not seeking for that which the majority are after. I don't care about high COP, or the at best mediocre pursuits of the majority for over-unity. I want all that was promised, all that was suggested, all that was implied, I want the entire world of alternatives which begin with the more or less proper understanding and or interpretation of a coil shorting circuit, as demonstrated by its inventor, Nikola Tesla.

To each his own.

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 08-22-2015 at 05:19 AM.

Quote

08-22-2015, 10:57 AM #76

vidbid
Gold Member

Join Date: Jun 2010
Posts: 1,735

Vidbid Asymmetrical Electromagnetic Repulsion Motor & Battery Charger

@erfinder: Thanks for sharing.

iPad 9:11 PM 88%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **thaelin**
> *Mostly aimed at Citfta but anyone who would like to comment. I have been looking into many things along the way. What has gleaned my attention is the ability of two signals to share the same transport. When thoes two signals hit each other, they add but go on. On the whole, the "transport" or wire will see a pulse of the addition. I have proved this with two signal gens and a scope.*
> *If you have these two signals timed to say 60 hz then a load will see the signal as a 60 cycle source. Then a load will see a 60hz source even if it in the beginning was not. Might be noisy but still 60 hz.*
>
> *trying to find out if my line of thinking is true. Comments?*

Early in my experimenting I stumbled onto the same phenomena. Specifically, I realized that EMF of proper phase and polarity can be projected at one another along a common wave guide, when the waves collide they "can" be made to add, resulting in a new wave which exists only for the period during which these two waves are transitioning through one another. The amplitude of the new "temporary" wave is equal to the sum of the two waves. One of the more interesting things I noted is that collision doesn't result in the destruction of the waves themselves, like waves on water which are travelling at different speeds, the two waves move through one another, the two behaving as if they are totally unaware of the existence of the other except at the instance when the two pass through each other.

To generate these waves, I find it advantageous in setting up an imbalance within the circuit impedance, a concept I call impedance mismatching. A wave sent out by the transmitter encounters an abrupt change in system impedance, this results in the transmitted wave being partially or completely reflected back in the direction of the transmitter, as the reflected wave is returning, the transmitter fires off another wave which meets with the returning wave. At the instant of perfect alignment of the two colliding waves, one experiences an increase in amplitude.

All that to say this, I know where you are coming from and hope that you continue your investigations in this direction!

Regards

---

iPad 9:19 PM 87%
energeticforum.com
Single Wire Power - A Search for Ambient Power to do Wwork - Page 9 - Energ...
US568180-0.png 2,320×3,408 pixels

06-30-2015, 12:30 PM #259

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

It would be a wonderful start if all invested participants, regardless of belief system could recognize that the "hairpin" topology was not limited in its application to the circuit which has been provided by Dr. Green. I choose to ignore Mr. Wagnus.

We find the "concept" albeit, slightly modified in:

http://patentimages.storage.googleap...US568179-1.png

Fascinating circuit, fascinating concept. Concepts which can and have been expanded on, by the inventor himself or one with the proper understanding. One such advancement was provided by the inventor himself, it can be viewed here:

http://patentimages.storage.googleap...US568180-0.png

With this second image I am reminded of the apparatus depicted in the "Apparatus and Method of Conversion". The AC/DC machine.....

This is an important topic, I hope you all dig as deep as you can. I found a few of my answers after it was understood that the hairpin and variants on that concept had a practical application in electromechanical devices, didn't have to contemplate long, its in black and white....

Regards

_____

Quote

06-30-2015, 09:57 PM #260

med.3012
Gold Member

Join Date: Nov 2012
Location: Algeria
Posts: 1,013

just an idea about hairpin circuit , i think it can be understood when thinking about the real geometry of cold electricity !

08-23-2015, 05:24 AM #81

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **BroMikey**
> *Thanks E*
>
> *I don't care they say about-ch KING OLE BUDDY OLE PAL>You are*
>
> *opening up to me and I take that very personal. Thank you for helping*
>
> *me to understand your mind on the inside of your work.*

I don't care what they say about me either.....good or bad.....

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **BroMikey**
> *I see the impulse wave beyond a doubt. Is that caused by a specific winding*
>
> *process?*

Whether you see an impulse or not is immaterial. What matters to me, the disseminator, is that you were moved to act, moved to investigate. My aim is to make an impression, not to spoon feed or start fads and trends.

The mechanism for producing the effect is to be found in the relations between the inducing and induced fields during the brief instant when the induced is shorted onto itself. It is imperative that we have a good idea of whats going on inside and around the coil before the switch is closed, most don't. My recommendation is that we try and determine what are the "real" conditions within an open circuit.

Asymmetrical relations between rotor and stator (inducing and induced fields) is mandatory, geometry as it applies to asymmetry includes but is not limited to:

- geometric relations between coils.
- geometric relations between coils and magnets.
- geometric relations of inducing and induced fields (before, during, and after shorting).

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **BroMikey**
> *Or are you making the impulses another way, such as external parts?*
>
> *Caps, Diodes''''''''''''''''''''''''''''??*

If possible, try and differentiate between the rectification of Magnetism, from induced electrical currents.

What I am presenting is in my opinion, a demonstration in how one can rectify magnetism using nothing more than properly configured coils, properly configured magnets, and a switch of proper construction and application.

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **BroMikey**
> *We need to keep it up, keep sharing because one man will always*
>
> *benefit from the expose. And as far as offenses go we all know*
>
> *what to expect now, just wear a hard hat and we will*
>
> *all get over.*
>
> *You are way past me on this experiment, but I enjoy it all and will*
>
> *til my number is up.*

All I can offer anyone, is my experience on the bench, my way, my speculation, and with that said.....to each his own.

Regards

iPad 9:49 PM 84%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **shylo**
> *Hi Guys, When I put a load that requires more watts than I'm inputting , I get rpm increase but greater amp draw from supply ,*

This post is specifically addressed to you Shylo.

This is a message I was trying to get folks to understand, no one did. If you are operating a real MoGen, you want an increase in consumption when the "proper" load condition is established. Your recovery circuit(S):

1. Recovery proper
2. Recovered recovery

recovery proper should be setup so that you are around 80% or better, recovered recovery must be used to excite the generator, augmenting the rotor magnets.

What many haven't realized is that you can recover as much and as often as you want, the reason is simple, we cannot "capture" the collapsing magnetic field, we can only capitalize on the phenomena which manifest in its wake.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **shylo**
> *If I put a load on, that uses less watts than inputting , the rpm's and the amp draw stay the same, so the load runs for free.*

This is absolutely what I have seen on many occasion, the problem is, the output is generally a fraction of what you are using to drive the system. This should have a familiar ring to it, it is the exact same thing we find in some of the more exotic machines being discussed elsewhere on the forum. When this is realized, and all conventional approaches are exhausted in trying to increase the output, one finds that an unconventional alternative is required, at this point, in my research, two things crystallized.

Number one it was clear that in salient pole topology, a phase shift in the magnetic circuit should be established so that the point of maximum flux change should be brought closer to the point of maximum flux density. From a different vantage point it can be seen that the point of maximum flux change is the voltage node, and the point of maximum flux density is the current node, so the idea of bringing them closer together "implies" that the goal is to establish resonance, albeit in a totally unconventional manner. This was accomplished by moving the coil further back on the core. This simple change in perspective led to a generator in which drag was reduced to that experienced through cogging. Under short, acceleration begins at roughly 300 RPM (low frequency), the coils were wound for low inductance and low resistance. I demonstrated this, and as is usually the case, it was entertaining only.

Second, the concept of recovered recovery crystallized out of necessity. It was realized early that in a modified induction motor, the phase offsetting mechanism (increasing the distance between magnet and coil) mentioned before was out of the question. The next best thing to offsetting in the manner mentioned is decoupling. Decoupling as I use the term is a current limiting mechanism. If you need a circuit you need not look any further than the Tesla Hairpin. In the decoupled system, the maximum power output is limited, and a boost is again required, here recovered recovery provides the boost. What we must begin to see how all generators generate in attraction and repulsion mode, more or less simultaneously. The message I am trying to convey is that we limit the repulsion based generation mechanism and augment the attraction based generation mechanism.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **shylo**
> *I still use power to run the motor/gen ,but get the output from gen coils as an added bonus.*

This as you know only holds true so long as the impedance of your load is high enough to keep the current flow, and power associated with that flow, lower than your input. This means that eventually, if you haven't experienced it already, you will see that you must be in the position to vary your load, this is what I noted, and the reason why I opted to just limit the current from the generator, limiting the generators output puts you in a position where you can apply whatever load you want, and need not make adjustments to the impedance when system dynamics change.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **shylo**
> *Currently trying different coils with the big magnet rotor attached to the motor/gen, to get even more free output.*
> *What I'm seeing is don't overload the gens capability.*
> *artv*

Good luck with that.

Regards

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **shylo**
>
> *"This was accomplished by moving the coil further back on the core. This simple change in perspective led to a generator in which drag was reduced to that experienced through cogging"*
>
> *Hi Erfinder, I am going to try this ,but takes time.*

This approach will give you a generator which more or less cancels the negative effects associated with generator action. The negative effects are resulting reactions between "bucking magnetic fields" between the inducer and induced as per Lenz's "observation". Through bucking magnetic fields we generate outputs that we are familiar with. What we for whatever reason have missed is that the output of a generator is dual, it is a combination of bucking field based generator action as well as neutralizing (attraction) field based generator action. The latter is limited, and is as a rule over powered by the prior. These system dependent generation modes can and should be "inverted". Attraction based generator action should dominate bucking field generator action.

So that its clear, I am specifically referring to the "generator" aspect of the MoGen, independent of and separate from the motor or prime mover. First one must have the proper generator before the motor can be used to augment it.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **shylo**
> *"The message I am trying to convey is that we limit the repulsion based generation mechanism and augment the attraction based generation mechanism."*
>
> *My motor part runs both attraction and repulsion. I have run in attraction only and repulsion only, but when one or the other my generated output is lower.*

Again my statement above in gray is a direct reference to the "generator" not to the motor or prime mover driving the generator. As you are well aware, folk in this community have been informed of how to construct prime movers or "energizers" which operate in either repulsion or attraction mode. A careful analysis will show you that these devices are also occulting principles on which generators can be built.

The word "energizer" was directly associated with the term "magneto" which all know is a specialized form of generator. In this case, we find that we were presented with a magneto which to a limited degree functions as its own prime mover. MoGen?

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **shylo**
>
> *"If you are operating a real MoGen, you want an increase in consumption when the "proper" load condition is established".*
>
> *I'm not sure if my mogen is considered real or not. I use the coils that drive the system as gen coils as well. When they aren't motoring their generating.*

As I define a MoGen, for it to be real, you need a proper generator, and proper prime mover. The generator operates predominately in attraction mode, this means by design, its output will be low without augmentation from the motor. The prime mover utilizes unidirectional magnetic fields. To those who are familiar with my work, I refer to this kind of prime mover as 100% Orthogonal, a concept where both attraction and repulsion are not just equal, they are ONE.

In a prime mover, (motor if you prefer) the motoring coils are simultaneously generator coils, and in addition to the generator function, they also serve as transformer winding. Since we aren't openly being instructed along these lines, very few look. There is never a time when motoring coils aren't generating. Try changing your perspective, from "when they aren't motoring they are generating" to something like, the coils are always generating, the motor, and transformer operations are periodic. This change in how you view the system will allow you to see that you are "modulating" the AC signal generated by your "prime mover" with a DC impulse, and AC self induced sines of specific width, amplitude and frequency, at a very specific instant in the induced AC signal! At this point things can and probably will get really complex, however, if and when the fog lifts, you begin to see what I see, endless possibility.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **shylo**
> *I did a bunch of runs yesterday with the generator coils attached to load and then just left open. I use batteries as my supply so it is hard to get real #'s since batteries aren't consistent. Some of the runs showed more out than what was consumed. But most showed less.*
>
> *Thanks for the reply still trying to grasp the rest of it.*
> *artv*

Real numbers matter to folk looking for numbers. If you want numbers, you will do all in your power to get them. Me, I could care less about numbers. My freedom however one chooses to interpret that word is not to be gained by what the meter or scope indicates.

iPad 10:35 PM 81%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Turion**
> *erfinder,*
> *Some of what you are saying is what we have seen with the 3BGS setup. When an off the shelf motor is run between two positives, the motor is running as a generator even while it is running as a motor, and the output of the motor is always greater than the input. This is ESPECIALLY apparent with ANY kind of pulse motor where there is an off time.*
>
> *Dave*

Sure it is, we have been on the same page for a long time. Our perspectives are different, and as such, we approach the subject from what appears to be vastly different directions. I was always interested in the 3BGS, but took a real interest only after reading a few posts which were suggesting "magnetic polarity reversals" and the mechanism which responsible for their manifestation a idea which I was deeply involved in at the time. No one had an answer which satisfied me, and my answer at the time didn't satisfy anyone doing the bench work.

I will repeat this, there is never a time when the machine is not functioning as a generator. Off time then specifically relates to the motor, and or transformer functions.

Saying the machine is placed between two positives says nothing to me. What others need you to indicate is what relation this source connection method has to the induced! The off the shelf motor does function, however, as I am sure you are well aware, better results will be had when the "proper" geometry is found and utilized.

For what its worth, in my experience, generator action within any magneto electric machine is always lower than and cannot exceed the supply, the reason behind this is well known. The only exception to the rule that I have found is when transformer action is properly phase associated to the generator action and used to augment the induced, how you choose to do this is the art.

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 08-30-2015 at 11:39 AM.

Quote

iPad 7:16 AM 79%
energeticforum.com

Quote

08-31-2015, 10:36 AM #60

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **shylo**
> *"the coils are always generating" Do coils that are causing rotation ,either from attraction or repulsion , cause generation.*

There is generator action taking place in the motor coil, yes. Under normal circumstances it limits the current being supplied to the motor. It doesn't matter if the system is operating in attraction or repulsion mode. I don't prescribe to either, but I do understand the logic of those who took the time to point out the difference for those interested. I prefer, and recommend the path of least magnetic opposition, the orthogonal, where both attraction and repulsion are used simultaneously.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **shylo**
> *Is it this generation that causes the drag , because it's the opposite polarity of the input.*
> *Equal and opposite?*

I don't claim to know the cause of drag, what I can say is that it always manifests in those situations where one allows a secondary magnetic field to manifest and in so doing, negatively influence the inducer. This process is summed up rather well by Lenz. The thing that most forget to take note of is the "instance" of switch closure. For maximum torque in a motor the magnetic fields need to be quadrature. This means 90°, the problem with this is this relation puts the induced potential in opposition to the applied. Think, each time you close the switch you do so at the peak of the generator action!

Nothing equal opposite about this situation, the generated voltage, CEMF, is lower than the applied. If we had an equal opposite situation, the motor would stop, no current would flow because induced and applied EMF are equal.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **shylo**
> *The output is always less , in a single point of collection, but you can collect at many different levels of the generation?*

"Single point of collection" this doesn't sound like a generator, it sounds like a pulse motor. If you are referring to a pulsed generator (coil shorting) please say so. If not, I require clarification.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **shylo**
> *I have 6 brushes 2 for repulsion drive , 2 for attraction , and 2 for collection,Only 1 gen brush gives me good results ,the other costs me.*
> *Maybe it's the brush in attraction mode?*
> *Tried a couple different coils today , but nothing worth mentioning.*
> *Generating coils produce magnetic fields, motoring coils produce fields, I'm trying to join them so they help each other.*
> *artv*

If you are going to do repulsion and attraction, separately.....consider configuring so that you can use them at the same time.....it just makes more sense. Doing so lets you recover one to one from the collapsing field and, if you are configured for it, you can also collect the CEMF, or generator action proper. Please do not confuse the collapsing magnetic field with CEMF, they are not the same. We are justified in calling the collapsing field CEMF, but it is not the same as CEMF induced by a magnet passing a coil, we are talking about two totally different voltage inducing mechanisms.

Regarding what you have tried and plan on trying. I can only say, good luck, its important that we have an almost crystal clear idea of what we want in our mind, and can pretty much predict the result we want. If this is not the case, don't build.

Generating coils produce magnetic fields, and motoring coils produce magnetic fields, whats the common denominator? The answer is a no brainier, the answer also reveals that all magneto electric machines are in essence.....generators, motoring can be interpreted as being a form of generator biasing.

These are my opinions, my views, they work for me, I am not looking for anyone's acceptance or approval of my ideas.

Regards

09-09-2015, 07:05 AM #64

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **shylo**
> *Hi All, When placing coils that are loaded(that is putting out power), it is best ,that I've seen so far, to orient them 90 degrees to the magnetic field?(less output but no drag)*
> *That's not clear, The coil can not face the magnetic field head on, it needs to be 90 ? degrees offset*
> *I think more than one field needs to be involved. From 2 different sources,or maybe more?*
>
> *Dave , Try winding a small coil , instead of placing it for max output, turn it 90 degrees , it will put out less , but when loaded , it's drag will not show up.*
> *artv*

The "ideal" generator has orthogonal or 90° relations between the stator and rotor fields. In addition to this, I have found the following key to producing an practical no drag generator with moderate to high output.

- Dielectric and magnetic polarity of the coils in the system must be unidirectional.
- Inducing magnets must be monopolar configured.
- Coil surface should be saturated by inducing magnetic field.
- Coil bore should be saturated by inducing magnetic field.

Orthogonal machines date back to the 1800s. Machines which were built in line with what I just mentioned didn't exhibit negative effects associated with the induced potential. There was no "Lenz" to contend with. The interesting thing is that even though this topology was well established, there was a push for salient pole typologies, which eventually took over.

Before one dives head first into building machines with 90° coils, one should try answering the following questions.

1. why did those who were familiar with this topology move to salient pole configurations?
2. When they migrated, where they able to engineer the drag-less condition into the "desired", but (from our limited perspective) less than ideal topology?
3. Does the salient topology open the door to other beneficial effects and relations?

Regards

iPad 7:17 AM 60%
energeticforum.com

less than ideal topology?
3. Does the salient topology open the door to other beneficial effects and relations?

Regards

Quote

09-09-2015, 09:30 AM #65

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Considering that the Dynamotor

or some variation of the same was at the heart of Tesla's method of conversion, G in the figure.



I would speculate that there is more to salient pole typologies than we have been led to believe. Something that sets it apart from and possibly above the torodial and or orthogonal typologies. If you ask me, and you didn't, it was recognized by the those skilled individuals that the layman would "eventually" turns his/her coils or their magnets. They however would not, knowing that in addition to the orthogonal, maximum coupling found only in salient configs was also a prerequisite.

Understanding how to establish orthogonal relations while at the same time maximizing coupling, (after comprehending what coupling is) using a salient topology is the mission, my mission. Like the skilled I recognize that proper relations between coils, specifically, relations between the induced fields, both dielectric and magnetic is where one must look.

We must break the symmetry found in off the shelf machines. Not trade one form of symmetry for another (machine which offers maximum drag, for one which offers none....). If all one wants is a dragless generator..... there it is....

Patent US381968 - Electro-magnetic motor - Google Patents

put it together in the proper manner.

If on the other hand, you know what you want, keep looking into the Dymamotor, review all info you can find relating to Tesla's method of conversion, recognize and respect that the source of all the energy circulating in the lower half of the depicted method is provided by "G".

Once you have brainwashed yourself into believing something is there, hidden in plain sight, take a brief pause, and review the operating principles of a device called the Amplidyne. Make the non existing connection between the Dynamotor and the Amplidyne.

Is this post relevant to the topic? I don't know, if you feel it is then please say so, if you feel it isn't I will be more than happy to delete it.

Regards

Quote

09-09-2015, 03:36 PM #66

Mario
Senior Member

Join Date: Apr 2007
Posts: 406

iPad 6:05 PM 53%
energeticforum.com

09-14-2015, 03:19 AM #691

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

Originally Posted by **Hitby13kw**
Citfta You ask a good question, I had the same thoughts as you about mechanical generators and was most surprised by the output of the McCullock generator, so a look at it with a scope was one of the first things done.
It wasn't a nice sharp square wave, but it wasn't a smooth sine wave either . . .



Christopher Sparkman got a nice square wave with his pump motor

Breakzeitgeist was the first person I saw publish a square wave from his pump motor (back on 12/14)

iPad 6:05 PM 53%
energeticforum.com



Breakzeitgeist was the first person I saw publish a square wave from his pump motor (back on 12/14)

For the most part, people seem to honestly share what they find as we all try to increase our understanding of truth, if any given nugget of information is either behind or ahead of your current understanding you may find it unsettling, but feel free to disregard it and move on to the next bit of useless data. It's all part of the charm of an open forum.

Hitby13kw

The purpose of this post is not to put into question that which others feel, the reason for my posting is to challenge those who are working in this area to think clearer. The machines you are demonstrating are not generating square waves, the load you attach to the "generator" facilitates the production of the squared wave. The mechanism for producing a square is to be found in the mixing of overtones. The load you choose, the compact florescent is less than an ideal load. What is significant is it allows one to produce the desired effect. What you want, and this is my opinion backed by experiment, is a capacitor based load, specifically an RC (resistor capacitor) load. This capacitor is then decoupled from its charging supply and pulsed out to your load. The pulse is to be viewed as a seed. The pulse itself being a square or rectangular wave, is rich in overtones. Through the proper understanding of how coils demodulate waves, these new overtones can be reintroduced back into the system through the process of wave superimposition. When proper phasing is considered, amplification through argumentation becomes possible. Extreme caution is needed here as amplification through augmentation can lead to dangerous runaway conditions.

As no two systems are identical, what I offer is extremely general. In addition to common sense, basic electrical engineering principles and practices should be ones guide post. Never buy into anyone's dogma, especially since we "know" that this kind of thing can land one in the hospital.

The following video was made as a response to Christopher Sparkman's video, his was the closest I had ever seen to my wave. His oscillations are not as defined as mine, the shape and nature of his wave is not clean and sharp as mine, and his wave never goes completely square. In spite of all this, his is still the best that I had seen, outside of my circle. His demonstration gives me hope, that the info which is being shared, information I absolutely disagree with, still contains a few gems which may or may not be comprehended by the individual disclosing the information which inspired the replication.

https://vimeo.com/115816020

For the record, I want to make one point **CRYSTAL CLEAR**. My machine has absolutely nothing to do with washing machine pumps and is as far removed from the concepts that Mr. Morin is disseminating as possible. In other words, what I am sharing with you has absolutely nothing to do with, and absolutely nothing in common with Mr. Morin's disclosure.

Regards

Quote

Like 0 Tweet G+ Pin it 50K+ Share

iPad 6:08 PM 52%
energeticforum.com
A1Mo-Gen: Tesla's Gift "The Electromagnetic Reactor" - Page 7 - Energetic Fo...
Gerard Morin Energy - Page 3 - Energetic Forum

10-07-2015, 10:27 AM #204

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Midaztouch**
>
> ***Erfinder, now that I have some experience, you were correct from the beginning! Also, like you said years ago...these types of motors should be brushless.***

I know.....now if you really mean what you say, scrap this project. The original idea was about radiant energy, then it morphed into making motors better using the radiant, in combination with rectifying the CEMF issue.

- Radiant was never demonstrated.
- As radiant was never demonstrated, no improvements in performance via the addition of radiant was possible.
- CEMF was misunderstood and misrepresented. The CEMF issue was therefore never rectified.

I watch with awe as you all moved and at times were moved from topology to topology. The motivators, blinded by the idea of making a better motor. It's interesting possibly even ironic how more often than not, each of those instances when you were a heart beat away from the crappiest motor, you were a breath away from the most perfect generator. I feel it was missed because folks were inspired to find a motor inside a device whose very nature is to generate.....the same researchers abandoned all logic and reason when they wholeheartedly accepted that it was absolutely necessary to burn the generating mechanism at the stake... You were literally instructed to kill the dipole.....LOL. One million helped set that fire and watch it burn..... the fire still burns....

In the spirit of keeping it real, I must express my opinion concerning your machine. The A1MoGen is a motor....the generator aspect is 100% conventional (this opinion is based on what you have shown). The generator aspect in a motor is much more complex than we are taught. Most try to find ways to manipulate it, this includes but is not limited to removing its negative influence at all costs. Is it too much to ask for folks to "try" and learn from it?

When you have it within your power to invert the effect of Lenz so that consumption increases with increasing rpm, (making the motor stronger by adding CEMF to applied.....without diodes in funny places) versus decrease with increasing rpm (the normal effect that CEMF has on consumption), then you are one step closer to being justified in calling your machine a MoGen.

I hope these few lines fell on fertile ground. I mean you no disrespect. I wish you success and wish you well.

Regards

Dave

Mike,

In my opinion the key to converting so-called "reactive power" is to be found, specifically, in those cases in which dynamo electric or magneto electric machines are the focus, is in the relation between the reactive power generating mechanism and transformer action.

Turion,

I appreciate your dedication to the effort, and it's good to read that you too are aware of the fact that there is no advantage nor benefit to be found in separating the motor from the generator, especially in light of the fact that in unmodified off the shelf prime movers, we note that the current limiting mechanism is a generator, one whose output we are not at liberty to utilize.

Concept proofs for the purpose of sharing an idea in part is a waste of resources, I say that because in the end, the presenter will usually have a superior concept in the works, and for whatever reason will not share this superior concept with those he/she is "claiming" to be helping with the dumb down version. The funds that the replicator would/could invest should be invested in the superior concept and not in the inferior. When all is said and done, the replicator will be forced to invest twice. However I digress.....

Motoring and generating are for better or worse joined at the hip. We must accept this, and in so doing, comprehend that which is needed so as to manipulate the internal generator, facilitating the use of the generated, while it simultaneously limits the input current. Most chose not to go this route because it requires effort, were as the joining of two devices one dedicated to motoring and the other dedicated to generating together via a common shaft or other torque translating means is easy. I completely disagree with the latter approach as we learn very little about the identity and nature of either, nor do we glimpse the intimate relationship which exists between the two, and find that which enables us to see them as an expression of one and the same thing.

The pursuit of the latter is more often than not replaced with what you have indicated, namely, the COP discussion/debate. When the input versus output discussions become the focus, when it overtakes any discussion of the inner workings, all hope is lost. You know this, it is a trend which can be viewed all over this forum, and all others where ideas being presented have reached the point where input and output are being compared.

Our machines swim in a sea of invisible (interesting word) stressed substance. Via our permanent and electromagnets we manipulate this substance, one could say that we are manipulating space/counter space itself, the combination of magnets and coils in the machines are likened to key, door, and lock. The presently practiced methods only allow the door to be cracked, allowing only a trickle of what's moving about the system to pass through the to the attached load. All that to say this....wouldn't it be awesome if through our comprehension of the relationship found to exist between motoring and generating, find that combination which fully opens the door, and in so doing, enable the infinite which surrounds the machine to drive the attached load? I'm thinking that under such circumstances, COP discussions become moot. At long last, I see what Tom Bearden was suggesting when he suggested that there is 10^13 (statement can be read in a patent granted to John Bedini) energy around a powered EMF circuit, moot indeed.

Regards

iPad 9:57 PM 48%
energeticforum.com
Motor Generators - Energetic Forum
EPB Session Timeout

11-01-2015, 09:08 AM #22

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **sprocket**
> *I don't suppose that Turion or erfinder would care to comment on a setup where a low voltage DC motor is driven, pulsed with a much higher DC voltage, to turn an external (resource-wasteful!) generator. It has been suggested that Lenz-loading of the PM may be much reduced using this setup.*

I can only offer you my opinion, an opinion which is based on my limited experience.

We need to understand the generator mechanism operating in the prime mover. Your motor is a generator, it is a generator before you put any power into it forcing it to operate as a motor. It is a generator while its motoring, and this issue must be addressed. Can we use this generated energy, if yes how, if no why not.

If and when you are able to manipulate the generator action operating within your prime mover, at this point one can begin looking at a proper generator, one which should be inductively coupled to the motor. A generator which is inductively coupled to the motor benefits from the field of the motor. Meaning the higher the consumption in the motor the higher the output of the generator. It should also be pointed out that the generator action is accomplished by two very different and unique (for the situation) flux linking and cutting mechanisms.

Pretty sure this isn't what you wanted, but I hope it helps, and doesn't fall a closed mind.

Regards

Quote

11-01-2015, 09:16 AM #23

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **boguslaw**
> *There are so many methods.... but all require either modification of motor or generator or creating additional circuit and special method of pulsing or placing strong magnets inside generator or a special flywheel and so on.....*

The art is in finding the one. The one will require modification of the windings, it will also require the proper drive circuitry, it may require the use of strong magnets and could possibly benefit from the addition of a flywheel.

In the end these things are cosmetic. What matters, at least to me, is knowing exactly what you want, and being able to spot, isolate and correct the problem. In this particular case, we want a motor-generator. We know that motors are generators and vice versa. What we seek we already have, the task before us is understanding what is required to properly relate the two aspects in a manner which works to our advantage. This requires effort, more than some are ready and or are willing to invest.

Regards

Quote

iPad 10:04 PM 48%
energeticforum.com

11-01-2015, 09:08 AM #22

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **sprocket**
> *I don't suppose that Turion or erfinder would care to comment on a setup where a low voltage DC motor is driven, pulsed with a much higher DC voltage, to turn an external (resource-wasteful!) generator. It has been suggested that Lenz-loading of the PM may be much reduced using this setup.*

I can only offer you my opinion, an opinion which is based on my limited experience.

We need to understand the generator mechanism operating in the prime mover. Your motor is a generator, it is a generator before you put any power into it forcing it to operate as a motor. It is a generator while its motoring, and this issue must be addressed. Can we use this generated energy, if yes how, if no why not.

If and when you are able to manipulate the generator action operating within your prime mover, at this point one can begin looking at a proper generator, one which should be inductively coupled to the motor. A generator which is inductively coupled to the motor benefits from the field of the motor. Meaning the higher the consumption in the motor the higher the output of the generator. It should also be pointed out that the generator action is accomplished by two very different and unique (for the situation) flux linking and cutting mechanisms.

Pretty sure this isn't what you wanted, but I hope it helps, and doesn't fall a closed mind.

Regards

Quote

11-01-2015, 09:16 AM #23

iPad 6:31 AM 44%
energeticforum.com

11-01-2015, 03:54 PM #26

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

Originally Posted by **mbrownn**
Erfinder, I have been harping on about this for years, as you know.

As you know I got around one of the motor/generator problems with a 90 degree phase shift in the field coils, In effect it is no longer a motor, but a self motoring generator with an energizer coil. Once the device is activated it becomes self energizing. There is greatly reduced BEMF as no generation occurs in the energiser/Motor field coils.

Yes Turion, mine is pulsed, which leads to transformer actions between the energizer and generator coils and just as in a transformer, inductance is lowered allowing more current to flow. This causes acceleration under load in the sense that as more current is drawn from the generator coils, more current can flow through the energizer coils. As a result, the stronger fields cause acceleration.

I posted some of the basic information in how some of the device works in the Interesting motor thread over a year ago. Interesting Motor But this is only the DC operation. I haven't got everything worked out for the pulsed DC operation and it remains unfinished at this time but I am happy to let people know what I am doing if they actually build it.

Key points on efficiency

1 With the motor and generator in one case we only have one set of iron losses.
2 The transformer acts at the same time and on the same core and with the same wingdings so while we have 3 functions, the iron losses remain as if it were only one device. The copper losses remain as if it were 2 devices and not 3
3 We only have one set of friction and windage losses.

I dont know if what you are doing is similar, but if it is, get back to me

I feel it is important to differentiate between phase shifts which are a result of relations between "proper" reactive components, and shifts which relate specifically to the fixed (offset) structures which have a mutually inductive and or capacitive relationship within the system. Upon ascertaining the difference, the relation that the two have to one another is determined. I view the system as a tank circuit, operating in a condition which I consider the mechanical equivalent of parallel LC resonance. With this in mind, resonance as I interpret it, and as it manifests in my systems, is used to maximize the production of CEMF. This runs against the logic of the majority, most conclude that CEMF is bad and must be eliminated at all costs. What they fail to recognize is that the thing they are hell bent on eliminating is exactly what they are looking for. This doesn't begin to make sense until one views the apparatus like a tank circuit, and begins to appreciate what opposition to change means as it relates to inductance and capacitance as they themselves are the vehicles through which voltage and current are made manifest. The true hurdle lies in identifying the real circuit capacitance.

The term motor makes no sense when we view the machine from the perspective of what it does prior to passing current through it. The machine is and shall remain a dynamo. Motoring is in this light is reduced to an effect which we superimpose onto the already present and operating generating function.

Ultimately, I have this idea, the system should be allowed to do what it wants, how it wants, when it wants. It should be able to do this without the need of my influence. My job is to familiarize myself with the ways of the force, and to place my sails in its wake.

Regards

iPad 6:32 AM 44%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

Originally Posted by **THEminoly**
Secondary coil puts out many many more times than the primary coil

This is the pure currentless radiant spike being used to do work.
Cheers

It's about damn time Patrick! Now please tell me...that you have applied this to the larger machines, and that you have maximized the effectiveness of the for lack of a better way of putting it "distillation" process?

The small group who are working on a similar project should take note, because this is how its done (I should also say that this isn't limited to any particular switching model, its a free for all when you know what you're doing.

Regards

iPad 6:33 AM 44%
energeticforum.com
Radiant spike puts out more than current - Energetic Forum
electromagnetism - Flux cutting and flux linking? - Physics Stack Exchange

12-10-2015, 02:21 PM #17

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **alman**
> *As always, nice to see your around and I've been thinking about your words about the spike as I watched Patrick's video. from over 2 years ago..*
>
> *"The spike.....inductive kickback.....here is where we leave Kansas. To a force which can overcome any impedance....impedance becomes synonymous with conductivity...."*
>
> *Al*

Hey! I'm still here....a lurker.... my words still ringing in your ears...eh?

Have you found the force yet? I got yanked off the stage before I could start describing what I see.....

Patrick isn't using it, no one is as far as I know. Can't use it till you distill it, a process akin to what's implied by "splitting the positive" is necessary. Indirectly JB is starting to discuss such things.....unfortunately, I have yet to see anyone ready to receive and process these new impressions. Oh well....I hope you find it!

Be blessed..

Regards

_____

Quote

12-10-2015, 03:41 PM #18

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **THEminoly**
> *Anyone else having problems posting or even view this website?*
> *I'm having to use Tor Browser to get here....*
>
> *anyway... hello erfinder, I'm not surprised I'm not "using it" as I have no idea what you are talking about. My ears are very open however, and am very interested so please share.*
> *Sincere regards,*
> *Patrick*

I'm glad you are open. Open is good, I am not going to go down this road again where I start sharing something I feel passionate about and things gets all weird. Besides, its all speculation anyway, no point discussing a force no one is in the position to use.

With that I have a question for you. You are one of the more dedicated SSG replicators, you have been around for a while, you have many mods under your belt. In my opinion, one of the most important devices presented was the Ferris Wheel. The hub motor is awesome, if you get it.....I don't think anyone does.

JB demonstrates zero cross switching, meaning, the switch is activated when the sine goes to zero. We have never seen the wave that the device generates, however, we are told and shown that when the black dot on the coil and the black dot on the rotor magnet align, the circuit fires, with this information we can speculate as to when he is switching.

- Have you ever tried getting a machine to spin when firing at the zero crossing?

I tried non stop for about a year after the 2010 conference, and couldn't get it to function. I can understand why so many gave up. People throw in the towel too quickly. When I finally got it to work, imagine my surprise when it didn't take off like a bat out of hell as one would expect it should, owing to the fact that you are switching at a point where CEMF is neither rising nor falling..you know....no current limitation. Instead, consumption is lower than when CEMF is limiting the input current....go figure... There is no quadrature relation between rotor and stator fields, so torque is crap, and because of this, one expects high speed operation, but its not....snails are faster....

I can hear the question....what in the hell does this have to do with the subject. In a word....everything.

Regards

iPad 6:43 AM 43%
energeticforum.com
Radiant spike puts out more than current - Page 2 - Energetic Forum
electromagnetism - Flux cutting and flux linking? - Physics Stack Exchange

12-13-2015, 07:06 PM #35

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Bob Smith**
> *So if it's a question of not killing the spike, my mind immediately goes to "what kind of secondary will not affect the primary?" The best fit that I can think of is the series wound bifilar secondary, which as I understand it, does not induce secondary reflection back to the primary. Does this seem like a plausible approach to you?*
> *Bob*

I don't know where the idea of "killing the spike" comes from, but you cannot. Opposition to change is the mechanism for generating the spike, opposition to change is the inherent characteristic of the coils inductive and capacitive aspects. Therefore killing the inductance or capacitance is the only way to kill the spike.

You do not want a bifilar coil, but you do want the field augmenting aspect that the bifilar configuration brings. So the question would be how to establish those relations, without winding a bifilar coil.

Contrary to popular belief, you want reflection! Impedance becomes the means by and through which reflection becomes practical.

Nature doesn't work like we want it to work, it works how it works, we must observe its ways, comprehend them, and then engineer our systems so as to accommodate Nature.

Regards

Quote

12-13-2015, 09:25 PM #36

Bob Smith

Join Date: Sep 2007

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Allen Burgess**
> *The Hertz factor is the oscillation frequency of the primary coil, triggered by the pendulum magnet and controlled by the base resistance potentiometer. The primary backspike must be an A.C. signal that matches the LC tank self resonant frequency for it to power the auxilliary (capacitor-coil) oscillation. The power in the flyback must build the capacitor charge to it's maximum discharge level where it then fires into the inductor. The polarity of the discharge must be controlled by the position of the capacitor electrodes. Therefore a second LC auxilliary (capacitor-coil) should drop the combined pulse frequency by half. Perhaps no overunity here after all!*

A tank is a very special circuit in my opinion. In all the years that I have spent in these circles, I have never seen these questions asked. I hope you don't mind my asking you.

What do you think is being exchanged between L and C at resonance? Is it power? Energy? Both? Or Neither?

Via what mechanism is this exchange made possible?

Regards

Quote

12-15-2015, 05:46 AM #44

THEminoly
Member
Join Date: Jul 2013
Posts: 50

iPad 6:45 AM 42%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

With my kit, the following can be experienced:

- 30-50 percent recovery (on par with SG in intermediate)
- Transformer action, its effect and relation to recovery, how to collect at the switch and via a pickup.
- Generator action, its effect and relation to transformer action and recovery
- Coil shorting as a mechanism for boosting generator voltage
- Back charging of supply
- Harmonics and superimposition's for the production of rectangular wave shapes and energy storage

This is my machine, and my thoughts about how and why it does what it does. I could care less what these folk here are saying about my person nor the device they clearly don't comprehend. Effects and principles, mine, is all I ever offered anyone. In purchasing the kit, you were supporting my research, this was made clear to any and all prior to them receiving anything from me. I presented several ideas with this machine which I haven't seen being discussed on any of the forums.

You people (you know who you are) play it down, play it off like its nothing. Not a problem. I speak funny.....I speak in riddles....this is what is said, fact is, I tell it like I see it. You don't see it like I see it, not a problem for me. I am the one making the statements, I am not required to change, if you want and that's the key, if you want to understand something I say, you have to adapt your thinking.

mr. Parrot....You go right ahead and show the kit at a conference, I couldn't pay for better advertising. Go right ahead and bash my creation in front of a packed audience, tell them how crappy it is, make sure you tell them that our opinions are miles apart, and that in all honesty they would need to consult me to really find out what the thing is and why it was built. When enough have seen its piss poor presentation and handling, if they are thinking individuals and not a parrot like yourself, they will ask for clarification and I will be here to make you look as stupid as you do right now speaking on a subject you are totally F***ing clueless on.

mr. Parrot, you and your high paid expert barked up the wrong damn tree genius (small g). You and your high paid guy friend tried to find what was never claimed to exist, for the purpose of making me look bad. In the end guy, you look bad, as that is not nor was it claimed to be a more out than in or free energy machine. You wasted 300 dollars per hour, or should I say.....he took your money.... Do us both a favor, sell the kit you got but didn't get from me, the one who made it, to one with a brain, and I'll walk that person though what it can do.

Judas....keep the love....

In all truth, an insult from Matt is always welcomed, what hurts though is I didn't take you for a joiner....

iPad 6:50 AM 41%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **THEminoly**
> *Erfinder, by this might you be suggesting something similar in nature to John Bedini is doing with his Ferris Wheel – if so, now I might have some direction as to what you are talking about…*

You better know where this is going Patrick! What you are doing has been done. What you are showing, was perfected and presented to the world in 2010. I was there, I touched the machine. It took me a while to figure out a few things. Immediately after that conference I rewired my 12 coil machine so that it was configured like the hub motor in the Ferris Wheel. The potential induced by the collapsing magnetic field was processed and routed for reguaging, finally the processed energy was sent to a second set of coils, those coils had a positive influenced on the rotor. That being said, that which you are now asking folks to consider, I began considering in 2010, and have spent the last 5 years perfecting my skills and comprehension of that very specific concept.

Even though my retrofit was successful, not yet yielding a self runner, I consider myself successful in the sense that I was comprehending the mechanism. In the course of my studies, questions came up which demanded answers. There were instances when I tried asking these questions publicly, but that got me nowhere. So I took responsibility for my ignorance and answered them myself.

What is the "Self Reguaging Idling Magneto"?

It would be cool if you were prepping folk, trying to get them to think about the real practical applications of redirecting the spike, preparing them to come face to face with the best example the community has seen but didn't comprehend. Word of advice, stick to spike, don't use radiant in your presentation. Reguaging is a neat way of saying hey stupid, current in equals current out. The current coming back "can" be sent to a battery, capacitor or whatever. It can also be sent to a second set of coils. This second set of coils are energized by the current you already paid for during the circuit on time, the same amount of work being accomplished when the system was storing magnetic energy can be accomplished when the circuit discharges the stored magnetic energy. Effectively this turns a pulse motor into a two phase machine, when properly configured so as to take advantage of the second phase, the discharge phase.

Almost 6 years ago, we were given a self running machine people.

Unicorn poop you say.....F****ing idiots!

Regards

_____

Last edited by jettis; 12-21-2015 at 11:02 AM. Reason: Additions

Quote

12-21-2015, 10:51 AM #95

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **jettis**
> *JB says don't use neos... they cause Eddy Currents... how is that a bad thing in this or the SG system?*
>
> *Has anyone seen the videos that demonstrate and aluminum disc placed upon and inductor steel core... What do the Eddy Currents introduced into aluminum disc do to the disc when the inductor is subject to a capacitive discharge of fairly high value?*
>
> *Patrick try your Lego test bed with some neos and compare the results vs the ceramic magnet and post the results.*
>
> *Dave Wing*

Unlike many, I ignored the warnings and built machines which used neos. I learned a great deal from using them in those situations where it was advised that we shouldn't.

Two basic things to consider before deciding to use them or not. Neo magnets will saturate the iron cores. In addition to saturation, the strong magnets can permanently magnetize the core material, keep in mind that repeated pulsing in the same direction can also magnetize your cores. When the core becomes magnetized, the performance of the machine declines.

If you know how to avoid saturation, and how to keep the cores from becoming permanently magnetized, you can use neo magnets. This goes against the recommendations, but as I have said, when you have done your homework and understand why the use of strong magnets are discouraged, you can and should do what you want.

That was my experience. I moved away from core materials as they aren't needed to do what I want. The pros and cons for iron are equal, when you know what you want you can eliminate the material from the system if you desire.

I would like to think that this thread is about making use of the thing we identify with as the spike. What Patrick is suggesting is far from ideal, however it does prove a point and does make a case, the most noteworthy is the addition of the capacitance. My reason for saying this is not his reason for saying it.

Personally I don't see the point in routing energy from one location to another in the manner being suggested. It should be clear to all that electromagnetic systems have the inherent ability to do this automatically. We need only open our eyes, and a text book and recognize that we can but, at this point in time need not perfect perfection.

John Bedini is doing the same thing that Patrick is demonstrating, however, JB, as far as I gather, is not using a second coil! Think about what he showed you, comprehend how and why his method is superior to any of the concepts being demonstrated here and else where.....Including Tesla Motors.

Regards

_____

Last edited by erfinder; 12-22-2015 at 02:42 AM.

Quote

12-21-2015, 10:59 AM #96

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

iPad 7:22 AM 40%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **THEminoly**
> *Hi Allen,*
> *yeah I produced the acceleration effect years ago as well - on video even. That was when Romero was "heavy" on the scene. I do not think Romero is using it the way erfinder is suggesting, however, it is relevant here because he has written out some very concrete methods so people are not "shooting in the dark".*
> *Patrick*

I think everyone had their go at the acceleration effect. Most of those experiments were modeled after the disclosures of Thane Heines, or modeled after the Kromrey. We all know that those demonstrations the size of the generator had no direct relation to its output. The Thane Heins replications were always low output demonstrations. Most of those replications revealed the exact same weakness in the concept, their inability to generate respectable amounts of energy. Part of the issue was the extremely high inductive reactance. The Kromrey is not relying on the same acceleration mechanism, its coils are low inductance, and low resistance, however, like Thane Heins machines, it too has a low output.

I too conducted these experiments with acceleration and failed to produce a machine which delivered a respectable output. The concept of acceleration under short needs its own thread. In trying to understand the differences and commonalities between these two very different approaches, I found a way to combine the strengths of both methods. This resulted in a more effective method for producing the phenomena, but more important than the phenomena, is the ability to produce a respectable output via accelerating mechanism. In my case, generating motors, in other words, to generate is to produce a motoring force in the direction of rotation. This is accomplished though the proper phasing of motor and generator circuits, loose coupling, transformer action, and the use of proper rotor field geometry to excite the motor and generator circuits.

With that Patrick, as you suggest, Romero and I are not on the same sheet. My opinion, we must consider moving away from the idea that acceleration is to be accomplished using high inductance windings and high frequencies. I demonstrated that the effect can be accomplished using low inductance and low resistance, and I think one or two others may have been able to get similar results. When getting acceleration with low inductance systems, impedance matching becomes paramount, if not, the system produces drag.

Regards

Quote

iPad 7:30 AM 39%
energeticforum.com

Quote

12-25-2015, 12:35 PM #131

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **THEminoly**
> *2 - 200mA pulses to turn a 13 foot 300lb wheel - come on, really!*
>
> *I can't remember which thread it was in, jouleringer earthbattery or ferriswheel, But John Bedini became very interested in what Lasersaber was doing to light a CFL or spin one of his rotors or something. If anyone remembers or can find the post – please let us know. Anyway, the main point is not anything special that was said, but the posting itself… the act of posting... the fact that he became interested. With everything else going on in all the different threads and this caught his eye at the same time he was posting some interesting things on the FerrisWheel Thread.*
>
> *That's when it clicked in my head. There is more than enough info and links in this thread alone to put it all together. The radus motor or the use of the name radus and talk of flipping magnets threw me off far too long…*
>
> *It's the holidays everyone – MAKE YOUR COILS SING! with purpose...*

You ever consider that maybe the statements made by both John Bedini and Tom Bearden regarding only "paying for switching" applies here? Is it possible that the "200mA (a few hundred was mentioned in the video snipit...) you refer to is the switching requirement....maybe? We have been provided with more than enough to see what is being suggested. Constructing something using this information is going to take a little more than a passive understanding.

As I see it, and I may be alone on this and I am fine with that, the energy for moving the wheel isn't coming from the supply. This was pointed out over the years over and over and over again in many different ways. Believe what you want, prove what you can.

You ever tried steering flux with singing coils....try it. You familiar with the magnetic gates? The real ones and not the ones the community dunce references. John Bedini is clever, he is, or I am making him out to be more than what he is. I'm good with either one, but prefer the former to the latter.

We got this mechanism that generates transients thrown at us. Methods for collecting it, yes, methods, and out of the two main ones, only one is considered. We have the monopole rotor and stator typologies. The only thing which seems interesting to the majority is that the coils are wound in the right direction, and the proper grade of ceramic magnet is used. We are oblivious as to what the geometry represents. When such comments are made and or suggested, specifically that we should take a closer look, the one making the statement is ridiculed. What do you people really want?

I suggest folk look at the info that they were given with fresh eyes, **** the tangents. Really look at what we were given, because there is more going on there than we can be told.

I remember John saying "you wont power your house with a roller skate", and yet that's all we see people building. I don't think we need a Ferris Wheel, the point of going that large if a point was needed is because one can build to any scale.

My advice, don't change the channel, look closer at the information. Yes, we have been looking at this same stuff for years, some longer than others. The time you have invested is your foundation, one only needs one new impression, one new piece of information, and with it, the present way of viewing the exact same information can change, profoundly.

This is about the spike right? What exactly is the spike? On my bench it is a potential which can overcome any impedance it encounters, useless if not paired with its opposite, current which can overcome any impedance it encounters.

No thanks....I'm not interested in lasersaber...nor any other who may have caught JB's attention. I want what John Bedini started us off with, I want what he referred to as a:

Free Energy Generator

We are closer than we think, but shouldn't deviate too far from the path....well...to each his own.....

Regards

Quote

12-25-2015, 02:09 PM #132

Join Date: Jul 2013
Posts: 50

iPad 7:34 AM 39%
energeticforum.com

12-27-2015, 02:51 PM #137

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Why is it so hard to understand that the spike doesn't create itself? Why is it so difficult to comprehend that it is the collapsing (changing) magnetic field (via self-induction) which induces the high voltage that we collect at the switch? Why do we refuse to accept that the collapsing magnetic field itself is not being collected? Are we so blind that we cannot see that the collapsing magnetic field, a changing magnetic field is not limited to self-induction, but can also induce in neighboring coils?

What is capacity? Why is it necessary to add a capacitor in parallel with the coil before we can see the spike doing work in the inductor? Shouldn't we try and expand our present understanding of what the spike is, what capacity is, and how the two are related? In addition to this, wouldn't it be wise to try and understand how and when a coil itself could be considered as a capacitor?

In my opinion it is a mistake to take the potential developed at the switch and route it to a coil, prior to determining how this exact same task is already taking place via a different, albeit inherent means. Under the right conditions a transfer takes place without our needing to route anything anywhere.

Questions like these, motivated the design and construction of a machine I call the 100% Orthogonal, and its successor, the 100% Orthogonal Motor-Generator. Among other things these devices are proof of principle apparatus. Machines which demonstrate that collection of effects associated with the collapsing magnetic field do not end at the switch (self-induction), but are extended when applicable, via transformer action (mutual induction).

I could give a demonstration, but what purpose would it serve? Would such a demo lead to replication attempts? What is a replication? Ultimately, anything that anyone says is speculation.....isn't it? Impressions were made on me, I am trying to make one on you. I don't need anyone nor want anyone to replicate what I have found, or what I think I have found. I believe you can do better.

Regards

Quote

12-27-2015, 04:18 PM #138

Join Date: Aug 2009

iPad 7:39 AM 38%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Via this image, we can see whatever we want.



What does this have to do with the spike? The spike is an induced potential. The source of the spike is a fast change in the magnetic environment of a coil of wire. The more we comprehend about the magnetic field, the more we understand about the spike. The solenoid is a magnetic gate. Whether the image is an accurate representation of the magnetic field is a matter of opinion. Some opinions are based on fact. FACT is the graphic represents an understanding which has stood the test of time. It will continue to stand till it is superseded by ones with deeper insight than the ones who set it and watched it cure.

What can we learn from this image? Among other things...aside from the obvious, I see a closed gate.

Regards

iPad 12:30 PM 36%
energeticforum.com
Radiant spike puts out more than current - Page 5 - Energetic Forum
electromagnetism - Flux cutting and flux linking? - Physics Stack Exchange

12-28-2015, 11:24 AM #141

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **Bob Smith**
> *I too see a closed gate, but only in this instance of the spike. I also believe that when the mag field collapses, the coil becomes a kind of pump - where the dielectric forces mobilized by/responding to the collapsing mag field enter the coil thru what is commonly known as the bloch wall.*
> *Bob*

Hi Bob,

When going by the established, it is understood that the current does not change directions when the switch opens. The property of inductance opposes the change and via this opposition maintains the current in the same direction.....

That being said we are still dealing with a closed gate during the collapse.

Just what is the bloch wall? I have studied just about every document ever posted on the net concerning this very specific location, but not a single one of them has shed any light on what its true purpose is, assuming it exists. When faced with such circumstances, if this concept is needed, then it must be given a purpose, the bloch wall cannot exist just to exist. I have a purpose for it, whats yours?

Regards

Quote

12-28-2015, 12:52 PM #142

bistander

Join Date: Apr 2015

iPad 12:31 PM 36%
energeticforum.com

12-29-2015, 01:04 PM #146

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Regarding the image I posted, I am just asking folks to consider what is taking place between the turns. I have my reasons for suggesting that the image represents a "closed gate". If this is a closed gate, what constitutes an open gate?

The region between the two poles is called a "wall" I like the idea, for it implies that all curvature which the field lines, if such things exist, end at the wall. It's never been enough for me to just give something a name, it needs a purpose, and this region has a purpose. Whether we all can agree on what that purpose is is another story.

What does this have to do with the spike?

Regards

Quote

12-29-2015, 01:59 PM #147

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

The spike.....

When I use the term, I am referring to the result of opposition to change in current as we are all familiar. In addition to this, I am referring to opposition to change in voltage, this we are not so familiar with.

Ladies and gentlemen, there are two spikes, and with the two, a complete disconnect from "electricity" as we know it. I choose to pay for converting electricity from the form which we are all familiar with into the form we are not familiar with for the purpose of driving capacitance based loads. My time on the bench staring at these machines has helped form my presently held belief that a coil can be considered as both an inductance and capacitance. On that note, my use of the term capacitance in "capacitance based loads" is not limited to the presently held concept of capacity.

I am learning everyday from my peers, that no demonstration is good enough. There will always be a few who **** on your efforts

12-29-2015, 01:59 PM #147

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

The spike.....

When I use the term, I am referring to the result of opposition to change in current as we are all familiar. In addition to this, I am referring to opposition to change in voltage, this we are not so familiar with.

Ladies and gentlemen, there are two spikes, and with the two, a complete disconnect from "electricity" as we know it. I choose to pay for converting electricity from the form which we are all familiar with into the form we are not familiar with for the purpose of driving capacitance based loads. My time on the bench staring at these machines has helped form my presently held belief that a coil can be considered as both an inductance and capacitance. On that note, my use of the term capacitance in "capacitance based loads" is not limited to the presently held concept of capacity.

I am learning everyday from my peers, that no demonstration is good enough. There will always be a few who **** on your efforts, or say you are ****ting on the community. This post and any that may follow, with or without a demonstration, is not for them.

Lenz's Law

I have come to consider this law more as an observation, and consideration. We are informed of our situation, and are informed of what we should expect when we build and operate electrodynamic equipment in a specific manner. I have learned that it is unnecessary to build systems in an attempt to beat an "observation". It makes more sense to build the system, expect the law to apply, and find through "observation" when the law is inapplicable. I have done this, the results make one sick to the stomach. We are blind, so blind. Give me time, I will show you just how blind, and will show how this, my observation applies to the aforementioned "spike(**S**)".

Regards

Quote

12-29-2015, 03:12 PM #148

bistander

Join Date: Apr 2015

iPad 12:37 PM 36%
energeticforum.com
Radiant spike puts out more than current - Page 6 - Energetic Forum
electromagnetism - Flux cutting and flux linking? - Physics Stack Exchange

12-30-2015, 05:30 AM #154

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Dog-One**
> *At this point we have something rather unusual taking place.[/LEFT]*

I find it to be the point maximum opposition to change in flux. It is the point of maximum opposition to change in flux, because flux at this point is zero.

Being the point of maximum opposition to change in flux (current), it is likewise the point of maximum induced potential.

"Inductance is the characteristic of an electrical circuit that makes itself evident by opposing the starting, stopping, or changing of current flow.....

Inductance is the characteristic of an electrical conductor which opposes a change in current flow.....

The unit for measuring inductance, L, is the Henry, h. An inductor has an inductance of 1 Henry if an emf of 1 volt is induced in the inductor when the current through the inductor is changing at the rate of 1 ampere per second. The relation between the induced voltage, inductance, and rate of change of current with respect to time is stated mathematically as....."

Where others see distributed capacity, I see the seat of inductance. Inductance, a distributed aspect in a coil, takes on different attributes within electrodynamic machines. It has a specific space geometry and distribution, and a direct relation to frequency. These realizations lead one to seek out where capacitance really is, and its relation to inductance. When it was found, I was in awe, the geometry of our systems will never allow true resonant operation, as inductance and capacity manifest in such a manner that phase alignment without an intermediary is impossible. Fortunately...there is hope.....

My dogma.....

Regards

_____

Quote

12-30-2015, 09:37 AM #155

iPad 12:42 PM 35%
energeticforum.com

barbosi
Senior Member
Posts: 270

1 wire (as i a coil) vs. 2 wires (as in the picture)

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **bistander**
> *Hi Dog-,*
>
> *Mind if I comment? These wires are attracted to each other when carrying current. Here are a couple references:*
>
> *Principles of Magnetism | Magnetic Products and Services*
>
> *Forces between currents.*
>
> *Hope that helps,*
>
> *bi*

There is a simple way to verify how the force acts between turns: wind a few turns on single layer using a cylinder then take the cylinder out. Lay the coil with all its turns loose on the table and energize it with a battery. Do the coil's turns spread or come together?

Regards.

Last edited by barbosi; 12-30-2015 at 03:59 PM.

Quote

12-30-2015, 06:51 PM #160

Dog-One
Senior Member
Join Date: Aug 2009
Posts: 265

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **barbosi**
> *There is a simple way to verify how the force acts between turns: wind a few turns on single layer using a cylinder then take the cylinder out. Lay the coil with all its turns loose on the table and energize it with a battery. Do the coil's turns spread or come together?*
>
> *Regards.*

I would say, "It depends."

Are the magnetic forces dominate or the electrostatic ones?

Erfinder is on to something, something I know I have overlooked. If you take the individual windings of a coil, their "interwinding capacitance" is on the order of fractions of picofarads. I for one have always ignored such small capacitances. Bad assumption.

Distributed Capacitance

I think we truly need to re-evaluate the geometry and possibly the materials we have been using.

Last edited by Dog-One; 12-30-2015 at 06:59 PM.

Quote

12-31-2015, 04:33 AM #161

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Between the turns in the image I posted you will experience repulsion.

Don't take my word or anyone's word for it, perform the necessary tests and see it for yourselves.

The field relations between the turns in the solenoid are not the same as those between two parallel conductors carrying current. In the former you have one conductor carrying current and in the latter you have two....the comparison makes no sense.

It is not my job nor anyone else's job to get into why they are not the same, again here simple tests that you make up and do yourself yield all the information you will need for clarity.

Regards

iPad 12:43 PM 35%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Dog-One**
> *I would say, "It depends."*
>
> *Are the magnetic forces dominate or the electrostatic ones?*
>
> *Erfinder is on to something, something I know I have overlooked. If you take the individual windings of a coil, their "interwinding capacitance" is on the order of fractions of picofarads. I for one have always ignored such small capacitances. Bad assumption.*
>
> *Distributed Capacitance*
>
> *I think we truly need to re-evaluate the geometry and possibly the materials we have been using.*

Most experiment with solid state systems, I decided long ago that I could learn more about the nature of the field by exploring motors and generators.

We are informed of what inductance is, how it relates to frequency, how it's not constant, varying with frequency, reluctance, and saturation. We are informed of how it relates to the number of turns in a conductor, and current operating in that conductor. No such relation is mentioned regarding capacitance. I find that strange. The only capacitance we are informed of is the distributed capacity. The distributed capacity is an artifact born out of geometry. The value is orders lower than the actual capacity of the coil. I found the capacity, its all about perspective, it was there the entire time, we cannot see it for reasons too numerous for mentioning. Finding it means reevaluating everything. After you recognize it, you recognize that the manner in which we generate today is in a word.....wrong.

We have identified where the seat of inductance is from a geometric stand point, and done so in a totally non scientific manner, now we need to find the seat of capacity, and experience for yourselves how the two are not in phase, and as such, will never give us what we are all after....namely....

the key to conversion. What I mean here is the systems as they are only generate reactive power because the system by design is setup to produce reactive power.....

Regards

Quote

iPad 10:21 PM 21%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Turion**
> *On another thread on this forum, an individual intimated that I am less far along in my progress than he is because I am using a motor separate from the generator. When I asked him if he had been able to loop his system he replied that he had no interest in looping the system. I do.*

You are far less along in your progress because you do not see that the motor is a generator. Whine all you want, you know it's true, and if you don't, its recommended you invest more time investigating the suggestion than in trying to justify your ignorance.

Ignorance motivates the question of whether **my** device has been looped. The obsession with looping is paralyzing progress of not only what you do but what the majority of folks do. My objective is finding the mechanism for accomplishing unity. Like most you ask the wrong damn questions. Wrong questions come out of garbage interpretations which come out of trying to find secret sauce. The right question would have been "How close are you to unity?" The logical next question, "How do you go about accomplishing unity?" After getting a logical response which would have given you the relations between my unity generating mechanism and recovery, you could have moved to the next series of question. No instead of being smart and open like you portray yourself to be, you return back to the mediocre, and give another person your negative opinion of me as if I were not in the same room?!

Build you a system and loop it, I repeat, I don't care about looping, and don't care if you do. Without a plan, without an understanding of how the circuit can sustain itself you're wasting your time. But hey, it's your time, waste as much as you like.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Turion**
> *Here is my view on this issue. For me it is as simple as the answer to one question. What combination gives me the MOST usable energy production in watts of power compared to the watts of power used by the system. Notice I did NOT say "input" into the system. I said "used by the system". When I can put power in, but recover it, I do not count that as power "used by the system" for obvious reasons.*

You don't say input, however, from your description one can see you are still comparing input versus output. It's ok, you don't know any better. I already suggested what combination gives me the greatest energy. The generator inside your motor is generating and dissipating **POWER** at a rate which is almost equal to that which is being supplied to it! **WHAT PART OF THAT DO YOU NOT GET!!!** Others far smarter than I would like to make myself out to be have been screaming this very same thing that I am suggesting now for years, you weren't/aren't listening to them either. I demonstrated the two ways that I view energy. Namely, as its being dissipated, or as its accumulating, in both cases in joules per second. I suggested that when the motors own generator action is inverted, the rate at which energy can be transferred and accumulated per second can equal the impressed and dissipated energy per second! I suggested that the transferred can exceed the applied under ideal circumstances. I went on to suggest that the recovery under this set of circumstances, where unity is established via the proper generating (transfer and condensing) mechanism, can be the minimum 30%. Now your recovery becomes the means through which you can exceed unity, assuming you haven't exceeded it already by amplifying the generating (rate at which energy is transferred and accumulated) mechanism.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Turion**
> *When you run this as two separate and distinct devices, the benefits and problems are different than when it is ONE combined device. If and when someone comes forward with a working mo/gen device they are willing to disclose that puts out more usable power than the one I have sitting on my bench, then I will pay attention to them. Until then, they are all talk and theory as far as I am concerned.*

In my opinion you aren't qualified to comment on systems where motoring and generating are united, but hey...neither am I. There is a difference between us however, a difference which gives my suggestions relating to the same a little more weight than your suggestions, specifically, I have such a system, and can and have demonstrated what I suggest. I am not the guy who is going to demonstrate how I go about accomplishing what I suggest with those who don't care about my effort. So Hell no, I am not willing to disclose, and I am positive if you were in the same boat, you wouldn't either. I am in no hurry to share what has taken me years to understand with folks who will beat each other with clubs trying to be the first through the door of the patent office with their gadgets inspired by my ideas.

I am not asking you to pay attention, you are doing what I want you to do already. For the record, I don't mind being all talk and theory as far as you are concerned, however, we both know that you know this is not the case, and as such, I am honored you think of me when trying to school others.

Regards

_____

iPad 7:14 AM 100%
energeticforum.com

03-20-2016, 03:36 AM #779

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **alman**
> *(which acts as a current limiter for the load between the positives) Aln*

How does it feel to see whats being suggested, while simultaneously being blinded by the suggestion?

In my opinion, the heart of the matter has always been and will always be how the fields and or forces involved experience and or relate to opposition to change.

Systems like the topic of this thread whether known and understood by the many discussing it, deals with opposition to change on a level which has no equal in light of how things are done conventionally.

Effects generated in conventional systems, systems which operate in accordance with the established and accepted, this system amplifies, via augmentation. What I mean is a system configured to operate in electrical opposition, augments electrical opposition. It is established as fact (more or less) that the relations which exist between inducer and induced result in undesired association. The relation is unwanted in a conventional system. The system being considered is not a conventional system, and in truth (mine) cannot be compared with a conventional system. Such a system is both non linear and asymmetrical, very important attributes when you know how to take advantage of them.

The statement you made is where it begins, discover for yourself the many ways current limiting is taking place in any rotary mechanical, electrodynamic system. It matters not if the circuit is dynamo electric, or magneto electric, the result, all things considered, will be identical.

We fear that which we don't understand. These systems are reactors, they are based on opposition to change, and as such facilitate change. The black fluid shouldn't be feared. The desire to know why it manifests, and what it is should outweigh ones fear of it.

Regards

_____

iPad 5:25 PM 100%
energeticforum.com

05-26-2016, 04:08 AM #828

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Turion**
>
> *It's currently **putting out around 800 watts**, **and running on around 120 watts**. I say AROUND 120 watts, because it speeds up under load, so the amp draw goes down when the load is added. That's a COP >6 WITHOUT considering the fact that we get back MOST of the power used to run the motor with the circuit we are using. When you factor THAT in, and assume only 50% recovery using the 3BGS circuit, the COP goes up to 12.*
>
> *Dave*

I would enjoy watching you demonstrate 120 watts in 800 watts out. I really don't think I am alone when I say this. I am fairly positive folk all over the community, from all levels would appreciate seeing a genuine demonstration of more out than in form our ranks.....

On another note, I find it rather interesting and informative, your reference to the current decreasing when you apply a load to your generator. My experience has taught me to take advantage of those relations which lead to the consumption increasing when a load is applied to the generator. I find nothing wrong with the system doing what it wants to do naturally.

Most will argue, stating the obvious, consumption increasing under load is normal behavior, they are be right, but for whatever reason, most cannot see more than the obvious. Normally a loaded generator is producing current which operates in opposition to the current and or fields which induced them, this relationship demands that the prime mover consume more. We have a machine which produces two outputs, 1x mechanical, 1x electrical, the two are one, however, only the electrical is accessible in presently used topologies.

Under ideal circumstances, when the load is applied to the generator, the prime mover's consumption will increase, along with the speed of the prime mover. In this, my scenario, one takes full advantage of the relationship shared by the mechanisms of self and mutual induction. I am working towards refining my understanding of what "unity" is, and establishing this condition as the condition for devices I build to be operating in. Once unity is established as the foundation of the system, the path which leads to excess becomes crystal clear. What follows is a no frills video the only machine in my compliment which can be classified truly as an energizer, or better stated, exciter, the heart of a concept which has no size limitation.

https://vimeo.com/168161264

Current must increase with in creasing RPM in an energizer. As opposition to change increases, with increasing speed, the counterpart to opposition to change in current must also increase so as to counteract the effects of rising opposition to change in current. An energizer must have a powerful, unimpeded influence on systems it governs.

Now you have seen a demonstration of air core acceleration, with low impedance coils...from my bench.

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 05-26-2016 at 04:16 AM.

05-26-2016, 05:39 AM #829

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Mario**
> *Hi Dave,*

05-26-2016, 05:39 AM #829

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

> Quote:
>
> Originally Posted by **Mario**
> *Hi Dave,*
> *One thing I have found is that you can't recover a decent amount of energy from a collapsing motor coil unless it is not generating. If you have a sine wave generated from the moving magnet over imposed on your motor coil pulse (SG style), recovery stays down in the 40-50% area.*
>
> *regards,*
> *Mario*

This is a very important point.

We are informed of the relation that the applied EMF has with the induced EMF. We are informed that the difference or Effective voltage is the true no load work horse. Here is the heart of the issue with recovery, we don't recover all that we can because over 90% of the input is tied up in a fight. This is why I spend almost all of my energy in understanding how to invert the relation that the induced has to the applied. When the relation is inverted, the greater portion of the input is no longer tied up in a fight, and is available to the operator to reincorporate as he/she sees fit.

If nothing else....more fuel for the fire.

Regards

_____

05-26-2016, 11:45 AM #830

Turion
Gold Member

Join Date: Nov 2009
Posts: 2,380

iPad 5:32 PM 100%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Turion**
> *erfinder,*
> *I find it interesting that you believe that drawing more amps under load is "natural" and speeding up under load is "un-natural".*

What I believe is immaterial. What a system shows is what matters to me. Systems, conventional ones, show that when a generator is placed under load, the prime mover demands higher input. This is the "natural" condition I refer to.

The speed up effect that I not only refer to but also demonstrate is one which is connected with the natural tendency for consumption to increase. Through my approach, the mechanism which causes the prime mover to decelerate when the load is applied is inverted.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Turion**
> *In essence you are saying that I have done something "UN-natural" in my build.*

I suggest you read my posts again if you can stomach it. My posts are about my work, what I am doing, I make no comparisons to your work, or your efforts, past present or future. So in essence you are incorrect in assuming that I am saying you had done something "un natural" in your build. I am not referring to your build. I mentioned that your position is informative, and moved on to describing what I have found....period....

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Turion**
> *I have not as far as I know. I have been able to get all kinds of different coils to speed up under load, with all different lengths and sizes of wire. Under the right conditions, I believe ANY coil will cause a speed up under load, but I don't have the time to try and PROVE that. It is enough for me that the ones I am using right now will do it.*

I am not calling into question what you have done, nor how you've done it. I am not asking you to prove anything. I did ask you if you would demonstrate your 120watt to 800watt machine, but don't blame you if you don't.

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Turion**
> *And if I have mislead you into believing my GOAL is for the motor to speed up under load, I apologize. It is not. My goal has been to develop a system that is so well tuned that the load on the generator has NO EFFECT on the motor whatsoever. And I am getting closer all the time.*

Your goal is yours, and is about as important to me as mine is to you. What should matter is whether or not we have anything in common as far as methodologies are concerned. You asked some time ago whether anyone had accomplished the effect of acceleration under short with air core. No one answered that question, I am here answering it, late, but I am here! As is usually the case, it took me a while to decide whether I wanted to share what I had found. From what I continue to gather from these exchanges, what I am doing is way different from what is being considered here, as is my perspective on systems, specifically mine.

Regarding generating with "NO EFFECT on the motor what so ever"..... I have two such systems however, in studying the DC motor and in comprehending them as being the seed of something far more promising than their present embodiment reveals, I wrote those two systems off as they were inferior to systems of proper geometric relations. In my opinion, one which is not shared by many, the ideal generator is one where the prime mover and generator are united through mutual and self induction. Through this union, the principle of generation is multiplied.

Most want their input to drop, consumption decrease equates to some form of impedance limiting current. I too desire control of current, however, contrary to the majority, I am of the opinion that impedance (probably not the term to use in this context) is to govern its increase with increasing rpm versus decrease with increasing rpm. I am hoping that there is at least one person reading this who understands the implications of my suggestions, and more importantly recognizes that these aren't simply suggestions, they are working concepts.

Regards

---

iPad 1:13 AM 77%
energeticforum.com

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Turion**
> *Just to throw something out there...as it has been discussed here on the forum, I believe I would define a generator as a device for producing power which requires an exterior motive force to run it while an energizer provides its own motive force with production of excess energy (meaning more than that which is required to turn it) being its purpose. Or at least that is how those two terms have been used on this forum*

As I see it, an energizer is a DC biased magneto. This biasing enables it to operate as its own prime mover. In the energizer we find two generating functions, the two operate more or less simultaneously, they are regular generator action, and self-induction. The generator action proper is not accessible, as is true in just about every "motoring" apparatus, the generator proper sees the supply as if it were a load, one which it cannot charge for reasons all should be very familiar with. This doesn't stop this device from being considered (at least by me) as generator. In it the generating mechanism that one capitalizes on is self-induction, I have come to understand and experience self-induction multifaceted.

A generator proper, you don't need me to elaborate on, you know how they operate.

The description I just gave of the energizer is basic. My full interpretation of this device requires a few more words. I will wait till others have had the chance to voice their opinions and views before I bore anyone with more theory.

As the energizer is the heart of the apparatus which is the focus of this discussion, I am of the opinion that it is of paramount importance that we get to the bottom of what it may be. What it is only JB knows, he introduces us to the term. We can and should focus our speculation on the seeds "he" planted.

As I write this, there are 27 viewers reviewing this thread. Open your mouths people, get involved, you are here for a reason, I would like to think that its to participate in an open discussion, an exchange of ideas, and impressions.

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 05-29-2016 at 04:25 PM.

iPad 1:16 AM 77%
energeticforum.com

05-30-2016, 09:37 AM #877

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

What was the energizer for? What was its purpose?

In the Bedini Free Energy Generator its clear that it wasn't its own prime mover, this modification, or upgrade if you will was introduced to us through the SG/SSG variants.

If you don't know what a coil is or how it works, look into it. We don't need to pretend that we are in the position to school one another. I am here to share my impressions, realizations, and insights gained through tireless experimentation, and observation, not to teach or be taught.

Regards

Attached Files

Bedini Free Energy Generation-print pages 33-37.pdf (621.4 KB, 61 views)

Last edited by erfinder; 05-30-2016 at 10:04 AM.

05-30-2016, 10:46 AM #878

i_ron
Senior Member

Join Date: Feb 2009
Posts: 180

Lol

Quote:

> Originally Posted by **Turion**
> *It's all yours.*

05-31-2016, 10:02 AM #894

erfinder
Banned

Join Date: Sep 2007
Posts: 435

Ok....this is getting old...

We were given an idea by JB. I admit, when I started, I was totally against what was being presented. One day I stopped reading and got active. 1k dollars in, and a box full of transistors, neons, pots, resistors, and magnets later, I knew there was truth in what he was presenting (as a side note, we keep getting it thrown in our face that we don't spend money....I built two 12 coil SSG machines before I changed directions, the acrylic alone cost me 4000 Euros, now add 70 transistors and the rest of the mandatory components to the list, then add the bells and whistle components which makes ones life easier when building circuits as complex as these. I am almost afraid to admit how much money I have dumped into this research, but know, I would dump 100x more into it if I had it). The thing is, that which he was presenting isn't the thing that kept me motivated, it was the promise of more. At this point I stopped doing what I was told, and started listening to what I needed to listen to to get to where I wanted to be. After all it was about what I wanted, and not what someone wanted for me that mattered to me.

The deeper I got the more I recognized that I had seen or heard what I was finding before, and had heard it from none other than JB. Pissed me off often, because I was hoping to get away from his influence...to no avail. Today, I can say that without his inspiration, I wouldn't be here. I don't agree with most of what is being said, but those points that I do agree with, are in a word.....magical.

You were given all you need to replicate his device. As presented, its nothing more than a curiosity. I feel that this was the purpose, it was designed to engage our/my curiosity, and it did. Its your job to take it to the next level, you were informed of what to expect! We don't need another example, another path. Something was being said that all but a few are missing, and its important that those who are missing it get the chance to find it on their own, no parallel path distractions. These things take time, and you should take all the time you need.

Only one has shown anything worth looking at, and that was JB. I read the compliant that JB didn't provide us with any specifics. I'm thinking there were none given because there are none.... Means the sky is the limit, do what you want. I keep hearing talk about motors and generators which are independent of one another... , look at a motor, look at a generator....its a hybrid apparatus, to suggest that I build either of these and operate them independent of one another is two steps back, regardless of how wonderful you think of that which you found. Over-unity begins in unity. Unity is found in the proper uniting of motoring and generating.

I had a really pleasant discussion with a friend of mine last night, and we came to the conclusion that the load on a properly configured generator should cost nothing to operate, wrap your head around that one.

Regards

iPad 5:41 PM 25%
energyscienceforum.com

07-03-2014, 04:53 AM #2

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

The effect associated with recharging of the power supply when the "switching" circuit is disconnected, has been right in everybody's face for years! Improper coil polarity, improper coil to coil communication, and improper switching position are the main reasons why no one has seen the effect. JB shared a coil connection method in his Self Reguaging Idling Magneto that I found highly curious, he didn't share why this connection method was significant, investigation (effort) on my part was required. After what seems like an eternity, I now have a pretty good idea of why the connection method is significant. The connection changed how I look at motors and generators forever.

If you want to understand what I have found, forget radiant, focus on **"REACTIVE POWER"** and what one requires to construct a truly reactive power generator, that in my opinion is what all of this madness is about. The signs of the times are indicating that I may be correct, for all the forum big wigs are openly working in this direction, and they are moving fast, it's not likely that they are going to lay anything of use on the table for us to utilize. Reviewing the first demonstration video, the one leading up to the conference, there were representatives from a large wind generator company present....so.....I am not holding my breath for a full disclosure or any disclosure.

A powerful message was encoded into the Ferris wheel, I am not sure if this was intentional or if my imagination has gotten the best of me and I have invented something out of nothing! The fact remains, whether JB dropped bread crumbs or not, I saw something that helped to inspire the direction I eventually went into, he therefore indirectly inspired the effect that I demonstrate. For those who don't want to give up their pursuit of radiant. Think about this, the supply is limited to how much current it can supply for the eventual production of effects associated with what Tesla called Radiant, on the other hand, a reactive circuit is limited only by the operator. You know the parallel LC can have more current circulating in it than the supply can deliver...there's a name for this....I think its "Current Magnification", tapping it without invoking Lenz's wrath would be the bees knees. Did I change the subject, or is all of this related?

Regards

07-03-2014, 07:50 AM #3

Tom C

since reactive power is being discussed here, this might help:

07-03-2014, 09:09 AM #5

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

That link is a sure fire way to get lost...I can't argue with anything presented on that page, its all correct in my opinion, however, the question is how is it related to the subject? The idea is to simplify and not complicate the principles. Does one need to know all what that page states and doesn't state to take advantage of reactive power? Some would have us believe we must, I don't think we do. Difficult questions to answer, each must ask and answer these questions for him/herself.

The idea that the supply can be made to charge itself has stagnated any collective progress to such a degree that it may take some years to recover from well engineered mechanism of self manipulation via idol worship. The point is not to get the energy from the power supply back to the power supply. It's fascinating how that is exactly what "was" being being suggested in the early days. In the early days, I was banned for pointing this out, among other things.

What one should focus on is where the authorities are now! Not where they were!!! By staying where you are, out of sympathy or something sinister, the authorities give you exactly what you want. This is brilliant strategy, for since you choose to stay at the ground level debating, they can place meat on the table, your table, right under your nose, and you express little or no interest, the questions you throw at them when given the opportunity reflect your ignorance of what they are doing, and the area that they are now investing most if not all of their energy in.

L and C (inductance and capacitance respectively) are all we need to see when we look at the machine. When you see them you have something! We don't see them, we see motoring and generating, completely disconnected from L and C, this view leads into a debate with the machine that we cannot win, we are angry with the scribes of the laws, observations which describe the behavior of the machines. The clever try and work around the limits dictated by the internal conflict between motoring and generating, the SG is one such machine in my opinion. None approach the problem directly, its as if fear of stepping out of line with the observations of the scribes damns one to partake in fire and brimstone. The source of the problem is ignorance plain and simple.

We know or should be aware of the fact that through clever switching we can get around the the negative effects associated with generator action, this was the number one lesson I received from the SG and variants, the second lesson was an introduction into reactive power harvesting. Radiant becomes reactive when you localize L and C. While I learning to see a reactor being discharge of its ....call it what you want, others were seeing radiant energy....

If its an oscillator, whats oscillating? If its the transistor, the circuit is a black hole that you will watch lots of money disappear into. **What happens to the other half of your induced wave?** Just vanishes LOL. You don't even ask where it went, why? Because you are too busy trying to charge batteries. Hoping to trip over what JB did to his batteries, hoping to get the magic. The magic is reactive power, isn't it interesting how how your circuit has several so called "resonant" points.....Thousands of replications and no one can tell me why that is?! Again most focus on the switch.....I stopped asking this question because the only thing that comes back is some relation to the switch. Friends, the switch is a catalyst, my opinion. Eric Dollard is a clever guy, through him I was introduced to the concept of the resonant frequency that a coil inherently has. Then a strange thing happened....I got this idea that a coil cannot actually have a "specific" resonant frequency, a coil by its very nature must respond to all frequencies as if they are all its resonant frequency. From here I figured that the frequency that we identify as "eigen frequency" is actually (in my mind!) the Keely chord of mass.....(at that point Tesla's decision to go with mass had an explanation that my simple mind could comprehend).....boggles the mind....

This post isn't directed at anyone. Just ranting....The real is ReActivE.....

End of Rant....

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 07-03-2014 at 09:17 AM.

07-03-2014, 10:25 AM #6

Tom C
if you use the term reactive power but don't understand what it is, you will get lost no matter

iPad 5:56 PM energyscienceforum.com 21%

So, here we go again, not allowing Mr. Erfinder to disappear

Red=Erfinder

A powerful message was encoded into the Ferris wheel

I think JB is taking full advantage of this effect in the "Self Reguaging Idling Magneto"

The Ferris wheel hub motor/generator is not the SG, the Ferris wheel three coil device, is not the SG prior art, look at it, look at the circuit driving it.

The SG portion of the Ferris wheel is a massive mismatched impedance network using three coils. It should be clear that impedance mismatching is imperative, this was demonstrated from day one, in the prior art.

From the quotes I came to the conclusion that the true significance of the additional coil is for the expressed purpose of establishing an imbalance in the impedance of the coil, tuning is secondary to this.

Improper coil polarity, improper coil to coil communication, and improper switching position are the main reasons why no one has seen the effect. JB shared a coil connection method in his Self Reguaging Idling Magneto that I found highly curious, he didn't share why this connection method was significant, investigation (effort) on my part was required. After what seems like an eternity, I now have a pretty good idea of why the connection method is significant. The connection changed how I look at motors and generators forever.

Proper geometry of coils enables us to achieve high recovery regardless of high CEMF, there is no need for us to come up with ways to lower the CEMF, we need to comprehend what CEMF really is, because it isn't going anywhere.

Two avenues are presented in part and as confused as the presenter, SINE and COSINE. You are familiarizing yourself with the sine function, the cosine function is a mystery that has only been passively mentioned. The goal is synthesis of the two, when this happens, the result is what I call CYMATICS in the stator.

Last edited by aln; 07-19-2014 at 06:29 AM.

iPad 6:37 PM 20%
energyscienceforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **aln**
>
> *I am slow, just read the page on icehouse about "The Bedini Free Energy Generator". Bedini=blue*
>
> *Now this "free energy resonant coupling" can be done in a simple, cheap system. You don't need big cyclotrons and huge laboratories to do it; you can do it with ordinary D.C. motors, batteries, controllers and trigger circuits.*
>
> *First we will need a big accumulator to hold a lot of the charged ions in the system that we wish to shock into oscillation. We need something that has a big capacitance and also contains a lot of ions.*
>
> *An ordinary battery filled with electrolyte fits the bill nicely. While it is not commonly known, ordinary lead-acid storage batteries have a resonant ionic frequency, usually in the range of from 1-6 MHz. All we have to do is shock-oscillate the ions in the electrolyte at their resonant frequency and time our "trigger"potential and "siphon" current correctly. Then if we keep adding potential to trigger the system we can get all that "potential" to translate into "free electrical energy".*
>
> *enn1.jpg*
>
> *Mention was made during the previous discussion of the g field and kromery which are related as here http://www.icehouse.net/john1/foreward.html*

"Resonant coupling" that's something that needs to be investigated by all. What is resonant coupling as it applies to the subject matter? It is suggested that this "resonant coupling" can be done simple, that it can be done with ordinary D.C. motors, batteries, controllers and trigger circuits. Here we are presented with an "idea" its suggested that to put the idea into practice we need a large accumulator which holds lots of ions, a battery, the ions in the battery are then shocked into oscillation. The rest of the disclosure just throws you into this perpetual circle where you have no idea which way is up. We know what we need, and that's where it stops, nothing in the disclosure explains why! The relation of the parts shed no light on our situation, its as if we are to speculate on the relations, and hope for the best.

So we have an accumulator, a reservoir of ions, we know its not going to excite itself, but are given no insights into how the disclosed circuit delivers the claimed effect. How the components are combined isn't questioned, the circuit is provided, however, a schematic isn't a description of the actions taking place inside the circuit. What actions within the motor, and between it, the controller, and trigger circuits, result in the oscillations needed to shock into oscillation at their resonant frequency? It was stated that the resonant ionic frequency is in the range between 1-6 MHz. This frequency band exceeds the limits of the components responsible for exciting the battery! This begs the questions of:

- What is the mechanism through which the oscillations are generated and maintained?
- How does the mechanism produce the oscillations?
- How are these HF frequencies passed on to the battery to excite the ions?

When we look at the schematic we see that the battery will receive a pulse from the monopole generator. When the battery receives this impulse from the generator is not disclosed, the inventor gives no indication of where and when the generated wave will be interrupted, bridging the generator with the battery. The inventor gives no insight as to the nature of the energy generated by the generator! What we are led to speculate is that the generator is a monopole configuration much like the mechanical oscillator which was inspired by this very same topology. Truth as I have come to see it is similar is not the same. The coils do not present a monopole polarity to the magnets. The polarity of the coils alternate. The magnets on the other hand always have the same magnet pole facing the coils. In addition to this, it was pointed out by the inventor that the Watson machine, a device inspired by the free energy generator, had a non linear magnet to coil topology, this is of profound significance, but the inventor never ever sheds light on this aspect of the circuit. When you begin to place the pieces back together, you begin to see that the the generator is special! The complex waveform (oscillations if you prefer) which is responsible for exciting the ions of the battery electrolyte are generated by the generator!. In my opinion we aren't dealing with a generator in the true sense, "energizer" or powered magneto (complex wave generator) are better suited.

Food for thought.....

Regards

iPad 6:45 PM 18%
energyscienceforum.com

aln
Senior Member

Join Date: Dec 2012
Posts: 235

Stole these from the other thread.
Erfinder=red
"listen to the machine! There is a different type of induction taking place, I am not qualified to explain how its different to you, its your job to explain it to yourself. This different type of induction allows for the acceleration to take place when the output coils are loaded. In addition to the type of induction there is a unidirectional magnetic field found in this topology. We find this unidirectional magnetic field in the stator, the magnetic circuit is completed through the rotor poles. The field of the stator doesn't change, the field of the induced in the rotor alternates, this makes for a very interesting combination, one that you have to carefully scrutinize and study, because in my opinion, its here, where AC and DC interact where we find the seed of that which we all are looking to capture."

Focus your attention on the facts. Reduce the system to the minimum number of components. "IF" you want to build a Kromrey or G-field, you must start with the most basic design, comprehend whats happening in the most simple of designs. View it as scientific as you possibly can, view it in light of the present accepted view, whether this view is flawed or not is immaterial. Once you have the necessary understanding of the manifesting phenomena, then and only then should you "consider" advancing. I have been working in this area for a few years, and have found many patents on the subject. The one that left the most lasting impression on me regarding this technology is the following:

https://docs.google.com/viewer?url=p.../US5191258.pdf

The "ABSTRACT" says about all you need to understand where the acceleration force is coming from. This is one of the most well well thought out patents on this principle that I have yet to come across, the author does his best to keep "his" interpretation of the phenomena simple, he doesn't try to confuse the subject with conjecture, nor does he try to mislead the reader.

Patent author:
ABSTRACT:
"An alternating electric current generator comprises an armature rotatably carried by a drive shaft and positioned between stabilized, non-moving magnetic elements. The armature has first and second magnetic fieldtransmitting sections with a magnetized section sandwiched therebetween. As electric load is applied to the generator, a countermagnetic field is generated through the armature to increase speed of the drive shaft and thereby lessen the torque required to rotate the drive shaft."

I believe this is what Erfinder has been trying to get people to understand all along.
Bob

07-17-2014, 10:14 AM #27

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bob smith**
> *In other words, the stator's coil topologies and the way they are joined together essentially establishes the conditions which enable reactive power to be harnessed to assist (rather than place drag on) the rotor's spinning. Hope I've got that right.*
> *I believe this is what Erfinder has been trying to get people to understand all along.*
> *Bob*

Yup....seems like you are one of the few listening Bob. The point is not so much the "in your face effect", its the mechanism, the nature and desire of that which moves through this geometry is of profound significance and interest to me. Like the moth is drawn to the flame, the majority are immediately drawn to the acceleration effect, the red herring. Distracted, they miss the message, which is, in my opinion, UNIDIRECTIONAL FLUX. Tesla said something funny once, something which supports my view, namely, in his system the currents aren't allowed to reverse. What is current? I have heard all the arguments regarding what was implied by Tesla's statement, and the idea that current has something to do with the "electron theory" is familiar to me as well, (I don't buy into the electron theory as it applies to current...), to those who would bring those arguments back to my attention, I offer the following. Tunnel vision = stagnation. We must connect the dots, we must make connections which aren't there until we make them.

Regards

07-17-2014, 11:05 AM #28

bob smith
Member
Join Date: Aug 2013
Posts: 32

Thanks for the reply to my post, Erfinder. I've seen you stating this case on another forum, citing the example of one of Bedini's setups. Rather than counter-intuitive, I think it's simply outside the conditioned box, but for some reason it made sense to me this time around. The whole idea of unidirectional flux seems to me to fit well with this coil arrangement. It would seem to me to mesh in the most proper way with questions around polarity of inductive kickback in a pulsed coil. Gotta

iPad 6:48 PM 18%
energyscienceforum.com

07-17-2014, 10:41 PM #29

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bob smith**
>
> *Thanks for the reply to my post, Erfinder. I've seen you stating this case on another forum, citing the example of one of Bedini's setups. Rather than counter-intuitive, I think it's simply outside the conditioned box, but for some reason it made sense to me this time around. The whole idea of unidirectional flux seems to me to fit well with this coil arrangement. It would seem to me to mesh in the most proper way with questions around polarity of inductive kickback in a pulsed coil. Gotta go, but continuing to think on it. Always enjoy your posts.*
> *Bob*
> *Edit: Thought a lot about the inductive kickback, but think it's simpler - plain and simple cemf, and using coil topology to harvest it. Lots of possibilities for motor design improvement, no?*

I am of the opinion that we can and will make improvements in motors, the question that isn't asked is, why? The only beef that anyone has with any motor or generator in operation today are those "negative" effects associated with the self-induction. The geometry that we were left with, here I am specifically referring to DC motors, is for lack of a better way of putting it....flawless. We can expand on it, but will ultimately end up with the same thing that we started with, new associations, but ultimately the same thing.

In my opinion, inductive kickback is CEMF, however, not in the accepted sense. It's good that its called inductive kickback, or inductive discharge, the name attached to the phenomena implies that something more complex is responsible for the manifestation of the effect. The potential arising from the collapsing field "should" be collected via a second coil, but not for reasons that are presently discussed and or debated, this also is my opinion.

Regards

07-18-2014, 06:47 AM #30

bob smith

07-18-2014, 09:45 AM #31

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bob smith**
>
> *I agree. While there are mods that can be done to DC motors (such as UFOPolitics has done [which I believe can be adapted for better output], and perhaps something more along the lines of what EV Gray produced), it seems to me that the easiest way to use the lenz counter-force to our advantage is via a magnetic rotor and multi-coil stator as JB, you and others have shown.*

Sorry Bob, but I cannot let this one fly. I do not support UFO's senseless butchering of perfectly sound devices! He literally has some and many more joining in everyday, convinced that mainstream got it wrong, and he got it right. Its OK, hes entitled to his opinion, but some opinions, should be kept to ones self! Please forgive my tone, but, I am growing tired of the mess that is being made on his threads. His "disclosure" equates to nothing more than configuring things back to the original basic topology as presented in the text books! Unfortunately he is not prepared to accept this! You cannot fight with the truth. For some reason he thinks, and has convinced far too many, that he has reinvented the wheel, and has ignorantly dubbed this, his twist on the electric motor, asymmetrical??? Review the information he has presented, and see if you can spot the asymmetry!?! Your search will turn out fruitless. You could save yourself the trouble of going through all the info and just ask him to show you the asymmetry....you will get attacked. You will not receive what you ask for, and if by chance you kiss enough ass to get him to come off his high horse and speak with you, what he will share will make little or no sense, and have very little if anything to do with asymmetry as it is defined! I highly recommend you try your luck getting any real and "useful" info out of him.....you'll love the experience. I have found, through studying those who have an idea, that what we are looking at and for is an orthogonal relation, and not just in the visible, also in the invisible world of the fields. From an orthogonal base, we can begin working towards establishing asymmetrical conditions. When seeking asymmetry, we must pay attention to simple things like for example the speed difference between the applied field, and the collapsing field. Seeing this difference for what it could be, a manifestation of asymmetry, a manifestation of what some in the know call non-linearity. That was one simple example, there are many others.

The modifications that are being suggested and carried out by the UFO and his replicators, are in a word senseless, not because they are wrong per se, its more the logic behind it all, the logic is fundamentally flawed. I know, who am I to make such a statement, the answer is Erfinder. An individual who appreciates the beauty, simplicity and genius in what we were provided with by the authorities. Take a closer look at UFO's suggested geometry, compare it to the original, concept, here you must look at the text book, not necessarily at the motor. Manufacturers wind motors in different ways for different reasons. Many of them stray far from what the text books illustrate. UFO takes the manufactures winding concept which is in many cases fundamentally flawed, but from their perspective, serves the purpose that their customer desires, and blames the choices made by industry on mainstream science??!! See his changes for what they are and not for what he says it is. Comprehend, and accept that 'fundamentally' he didn't improve anything! I could say more, and want to, but the horse is dead...no sense beating it to a puddle.

> Originally Posted by **bob smith**
>
> *Again, I agree. There is a lot of material and electrical energy waste, and without acknowledging this waste, the question of "why?" is not raised in ways that it needs to be. My feeling is that the magnetic rotor is one important way out of this connundrum. It also allows for the collection of inductive kickback through a second coil. It seems to me that Litz wire would also allow this energy to be amplified.*
> *Regards,*
> *Bob*

In my uneducated opinion Bob, there is no waste, replace the word waste with ignorance and you have the issue at hand. The way out comes in the form of a layman's view to how all of this stuff works! When the authorities can speak to the layman, change can begin. As it stands, they don't appear to have an immediate interest in communicating with us in "our" language. The phenomena Inductive kickback is screaming a message so deafening to those who can hear it, that if and when it is comprehended, can and more than likely will change everything! All I can say, and this is pure speculation, is that this discharge current overcomes impedance! That people, to me, in a word.....MAGIC!

Regards

iPad 7:00 PM 17%
energyscienceforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
>
> *Thanks Bob very interesting experiment, i tried this using a separate coil, i will try it this way.*
>
> *just out of interest erfinder would your preferred coil switching method be a mosfet on each side of the coil? if so then i can see how the supply could be back popped, does it light a neon bulb across the supply line when you spin the motor by hand? also do you have some videos of your work, i saw some once that were impressive but i have lost the link.*

High and low side switching methods do not as far as I have been able to ascertain, "back pop" the supply. What they do is recharge the supply using conventional generator action mixed with the inductive collapse. That is not what I am looking for. What I am looking for and have accomplished (back popping as I interpret the phenomena), manifests only when one utilizes a circuit of properly communicating coils, in conjunction with a simple PWM circuit. The PWM is basically a mono-stable configured timer, triggered by a hall sensor. The mono-stable outputs to the fet driver, fet driver drives the fet, simple. Getting the effect is easy, or at least it has been for me. Understanding why its even possible is another subject. Comprehending enough to be enabled to magnify that which is hitting the supply capacitor will be difficult for many, however, one must have ones prize in sight, it makes all the difficulties encountered and to be encountered bearable.

I don't want to light a neon with generator action. What I want is to establish those conditions in my generator where an inductive discharge can be produced. The inductive discharge is then used to light the neon. The conventional generator must be pulsed, pulsed at the proper location in the induced wave. A pulsed generator is in essence a Magneto. Please don't take this lightly, there is more to it than simple coil shorting, but you know that already, coil shorting alone has yet to yield any fruit.

I removed all of my videos, I am in the process of reorganizing, and may upload them again some time in the future.

Regards

iPad 7:07 PM 17%
energyscienceforum.com

07-20-2014, 12:29 PM #42

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
>
> *Thanks, i was just referring to the built in diodes in the mosfets creating a conducting path, a half bridge,*
> *my next train of thought was that you didn't switch the coil on, you switched a pair of coils that were powered "off" by switching across them, which seems to be whats in the diagram. i also had a thought about using the circulating current in the coil to power itself and to couple to that with another output coil, please tell me if i am barking up the wrong tree, the best extraction i have achieved has been with a separate winding. I was also looking into magnetic amplifier topology. need to do experiments!*

There is no tree. You know what you are after, I recommend you test till go get the results you want. The best recovery I have ever experienced with an SG circuit was with a circuit which was intentionally impedance mismatched. The result was that what I consider was 90 percent of the recoverable energy was outputting at the diode at the switch, and the remaining 10 percent was detectable at a second winding. When the extra coil was removed from the circuit, there was what looked like a 60/40 split. The higher of the two always manifesting at the switch. Something similar to this was demonstrated in one of the big Ferris wheel replications at one of the conferences. I don't experiment with the SG anymore, but that was a fascinating set of experiments, yielding really interesting results.

Mag amps are really interesting, I am looking at and experimenting with them myself.

Regards

07-20-2014, 01:49 PM #43

bigmotherwhale
Senior Member
Join Date: Jan 2014

The circuit i built push pull (the one that exploded because it got so fast) was impedance mismatched, i had twice the number of turns on the repulsion winding i also had a separate recovery winding which i tried 1:2 ,I tried the circuit again on my bigger sg but had disappointing results either the frequency was too low or the magnets were different strength, i dont know, i

iPad 7:09 PM 16%
energyscienceforum.com

07-20-2014, 03:22 PM #44

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
>
> *The circuit i built push pull (the one that exploded because it got so fast) was impedance mismatched, i had twice the number of turns on the repulsion winding i also had a separate recovery winding which i tried 1:2 ,I tried the circuit again on my bigger sg but had disappointing results either the frequency was too low or the magnets were different strength, i dont know, i didnt see anything special and the current draw was high. i need to try again with better switching is what you said last time.*
>
> *i also experimented with capacitors across the coil in a standard setup sg, which gave me much bigger output but caused the current to rise as well, i have seen designs for a delay line motor not sure if it was called that but it was an circular LRC network in resonance with a rotor in the middle*
>
> *have you looked at the work of Walter Russel? if you haven't its a game changer in my opinion light years ahead!*
> *look at the dynamo optic generator, he mentions power amplification as nature does it follows 8 16 32 64... or vice versa - reminded me of the impedance mismatch and the don smith setups.*
> *i have been wanting to play with this idea, and everything has been leading down the same path - vortex technology or call it what you will, seems to be how nature likes to cool things down, ie gain energy.*
>
> *if you like the mag amps another thing you should try out is the Stan Meyer stuff, its really easy to achieve resonance with just some basic circuits and inductor/ transformer. getting the transformer windings big enough to operate at a frequency that matches the cell was the part i gave up at. it still worked well enough to produce gas and show all the classic degenerate semi conductor properties on the anode, and a 5ma draw at the resonance peak, just at 1.6mhz, not circa 7khz anyhow this thread is not about this.*
> *regards*

This isn't my thread, you can bring up whatever you want as far as I'm concerned, everything is related, I like to think so anyway.

Walter Russell is central to what I do. I am trying to comprehend how his power amplification principle can be applied to the forces generated in motors and generators. I don't share your view resonance, I think of resonance as a catalyst. I don't believe coils have what we call a resonant frequency. I feel there is a sum of all possible resonant frequencies, what I would call "eigen" frequency, and this is what I think Keely called the chord of mass. I desire to strike this chord. I'm thinking the mechanism through which this can be facilitated is with a generator of proper construction. Any frequency can become "the" resonant frequency when the proper amount of capacitance is added. I have found what I feel is a another kind of resonance, this form of resonance is mentioned in the literature. I feel this form of resonance is directly related to a specific series of harmonics. I feel coils respond to all frequencies, and have come to the conclusion that there exists a specific series of frequencies where this other form of resonance predominates. This form of resonance is rectangular, versus sinusoidal. I think the connection to Russell is in the relation between the sine and square waves generated by properly constructed machines. The square wave is the three projectors, and six mirrors. The relation that the sine has to the square should be clear. The phase of the sine must be manipulable, so as to be associated with a specific corner, the the method by which one is granted the ability to identify and select the 012343210 points in the sine is also of extreme importance. Let your imagination fill in the rest, you know what becomes possible if this speculation could ever become more than just speculation, you know his works.

I haven't looked at the delay line motor. Sounds interesting, if you have more info, post it if you don't mind.

Regards

07-20-2014, 09:42 PM #45

bigmotherwhale

http://www.google.com/patents/US4189654 delay line motor

> *generations ahead of the staus quo.*
> *the stan meyer transformer is fed with a square wave it produces a perfect sinusoidal waveform at the resonant frequency*
> *bob - i tried the diode mod just now, across the power and a recovery coil, both make my batteries stop charging the current draw goes down and the coil makes a louder sound, the speed of the wheel is pretty much unchanged.*
> *the cosmic induction generator seems to be quite alot like the dynamo optic generator in many ways*
> *what do you think of the twin opposed coil method the transmitter><receiver combo?*

Haven't given much thought to twin opposed coils. I'm thinking more along the lines of counter rotating induced fields of differing harmonics. The counter rotation is a function of the harmonics. I am consumed by my pursuits at present. The problem with resonance alone is its a fixed frequency phenomena, that's part of the reason why I refer to it as a catalyst, the other part becomes clear when it is understood that LC resonance is needed in order that the other form of resonance can be brought into a self sustaining condition. Here LC resonance not only is a catalyst, it is a regulator. See at one point I too thought that a system forced to operate at a frequency that we select was the limit, the device would ramp up to the LC dictated speed and stay there. The second form of resonance which can be established without LC resonance, must be allowed to manifest in a parallel resonant system, so that we are enabled to control the ensuing runaway conditions that will manifest. The second form of resonance uses the parallel resonant condition of the circuit like a super conductor. In my system using the above described, a motors current limiting can be completely reversed. The motors consumption increases with increasing rpm, meaning that the motor which is equipped this principle will get stronger as it spins faster.

Anyway....thanks for that delayline patent, its awesome.

Regards

07-22-2014, 12:34 AM #48

erfinder

Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
>
> *I was just thinking about the impeadance mismatch and the secondary resonance you speak of and it all fits with the stan meyer VIC transfomer, it uses two inductors of differing value with a flux reversed coil wound partly in the opposite direction on one of the coils which is tapped at different points for tuning (a variable capacitance coil) it is driven by a square 50% MS ratio, it has two semiconductors driving it one connected to a forward diode the other a reverse for "electron extraction" it has two resonant peaks one big and one small V measured across an inductor. this is all linked to magnetic amplifiers, i wonder if a magnetic bias / dc bias could be applied for motor generator use, have a look at the waveforms this produces and they look alot like the ones that are supposed to charge batteries by backwards ion movement.*

Hello,

How the things I mention relate to the work of other inventors like Meyers, I can only speculate on. I believe his gated oscillator is significant, what it signifies, and not necessarily for what its present embodiment does. It's been my experience that this gating of a squared wave generates some interesting effects. Regarding the square wave itself, I don't think a synthetically generated one will do....As I mentioned before, when you have the system parameters as they should be, and the proper impedance is attached to the output of the device, the wave across the motor coils is completely square. I believe this kind of square wave is fundamentally different from the synthetically generated kind. It's noteworthy to mention that Meyers was driving a tank circuit with his generator of square waves. In my opinion, the modified alternator holds the key. I don't think it was an alternator in the true sense, I think it was driven, and operated as an electric motor, an electric motor with a square wave electrical output, and electrical output which drove the cell. With this view, I can now see how the water molecule bonds could be broken down. The VIC transformer, was more than likely a reactor, I use mine as a kind of amplifier, and if he was doing the same or similar, with his knowledge, the results would have been impressive. With my limited knowledge and experience, I am impressed with what my transformer (reactor) enables me to do. I am interested in two wave types. The sine, and the square, there are many which manifest in between but they are too many to mention, many of them I have demonstrated to have far reaching application.

Regards

iPad 7:25 PM 15%
energyscienceforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bob smith**
>
> *Hope you don't mind me posting some interesting info I've found about the Stan Meyer WFC, which pertains to harnessing BEMF. In this sense, I hope it relates to the discussion that's evolved in this thread. It's by John Myatt, who I believe currently lives in Australia (Northern Territory). He seems to have gotten his info from Meyer, who went to New Zealand and presented his technology there. John Myatt also has a couple of YT channels. Here's one of his posts I came across about what he calls the "Tesla Regenerative Effect." He explains it is not only useful for the WFC, but for other purposes as well. I can't speak to the anti-gravity effects and other claims, but left it in for interest.*
>
> *Source: http://open-source-energy.org/?topic=1153.0*

Ahh....good stuff. When I see stuff like this it makes me smile, however, horses mouth or not, after reading what he's shared, I am inclined to stick to with my speculation. I am not from the school of thought that would suggest that we build devices to rip things apart. It took Nature eons to establish the proper environmental conditions conducive for the materialization of water, we cannot fathom the degree of patients that it would take to wait for the proper conditions! We should establish a matrix, here we place the water, unbeknownst to us, in this matrix, the water is already broken into its fundamentals, in the matrix we can reshape the structure of the substance by applying the proper signals. It is my opinion that all substances have a chord of mass, this is very different from a resonant frequency, for it embraces the idea that each part adds to the whole, that each part is as individual as it is one with the whole, the same principle we see in coils. Turn for turn we increase in inductance, turn for turn we increase in capacitance, turn for turn we increase in resistance. If we were to break the coil down we would find different frequencies, different resistances, different capacitance, and different inductance. With that there cannot be a specific resonant frequency, that frequency would have harmonic components, some desired, some not.

When one talks about ripping stuff apart and then brings anti-gravity into the discussion, I get nervous, the last thing I want is to be in an anti-gravity device which functions on the same principles which have been demonstrated to "rip apart" water?! Last time I checked, we consist of what 70 percent water?

What exactly is water anyway....no one asked the water if it wants to be ripped apart! Knowledge of the wave fields, and the wave that moves through them puts us in contact with those things whose conditions we desire to modify, at least that's how I see it.

07-23-2014, 03:48 PM #53

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bob smith**
>
> *Please excuse the intrusion in the line of thought... thought I'd post this as a kind of micro scale example of how bemf can be redirected into a circuit: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TGHAM...2&feature=plcp Schematic and explanation begin at 30 seconds mark. The question for me now is how to apply this concept with motors, coils and energy amplification.*
> *Respectfully,*
> *Bob*

Hello Bob,

How does the circuit differ from any standard snubbing scenario? Generally I don't like discussing circuits because its not my strong point. Its one of the reasons why I don't post schematics, nor discuss circuits in any real detail. I don't place any real value in them. Its just a switch, the switch for me is a catalyst. The discharge current from the collapsing field shouldn't be recycled back to the front end. The man, the myth, the legend instructed us what to do with this energy. It should be accumulated in a condenser, and discharged into a circuit of low self inductance.

Question....The current supplying a high self inductance circuit is interrupted, the resulting collapsing field charges a capacitor. This capacitor is discharged through a coil of low self inductance, the low self inductance coil is inductively associated with the high self inductance circuit. What effect would a capacitor discharge into the low self inductance coil have on the supply which is connected to the high self inductance circuit?

My hero Tesla showed you what you can do, he never told you what to do! My opinion, the video is more of the same.

Regards

07-23-2014, 06:10 PM #54

dennis foyil

Hi folks, I can't find where this first caught my attention. Wikipedia has a lot to say @ " parametric resonance." Maybe it is just me, but I "see" a lot of parallels with erfinder's savings and demo's.

07-25-2014, 04:03 AM #58

erfinder

Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bob smith**
>
> *Not sure if this is the link, looks interesting:*
> *http://www.byronwine.com/files/plans.pdf*
>
> *Re Erfinder's last remarks. Just happened upon this today...*
>
> *I'm not one for big explosive power. I simply seek to tap into the wheelwork of nature. I believe John Myatt, who I quoted above, alluded to storing the bemf as flux in a toroid, but I'm sure there are other ways that are more suited to my purposes that will reveal themselves with further work in good time.*
> *Bob*

Unfortunately Bob, we don't really get to make that choice. It's going to be explosive power whether you know what you're doing or not. We all are barking up the wrong tree when trying to harvest and utilize the collapsing field in any manner other than the one prescribed by the one who brought it to our attention in the first place, namely, Nikola Tesla! The majority are spinning their wheels, using it to charge caps which are dead ended into lamps or what not (I am guilty of this, but I know better.....), or using it to charge batteries, it works, but is this the instruction we were left? The internet is filled with folks all excited about the collapsing field, getting nowhere fast with their attempts at taming it. Scale that mechanism up, the exact same kind that we see plastered all over the internet. Scale it up to a few KW, make sure you have a good life and property insurance policy, because, you are going to blow up something. Do we understand what Tesla was suggesting when he mentioned "tapping the wheel work of Nature?" I'm not sure I understand what he meant, and am pretty sure I haven't had an exchange with one who understands what he meant as it applies to our area of interest. Using an analogy which has no direct relation to the subject tends to cloud the issue, I feel that was intentional. Read Tesla's statement, assuming they came from Tesla, its his words you should be studying, there are many interpretations of his words and works, most are in a word.....wrong, I am no acception. This is one of the most important bits out of that quote you site:

"Let us suppose that I had stored in the condenser 10 watts....." Tesla

This capacitor he's referring to....are we talking about a conventional capacitor or circuit with capacity? The two are not the same? It should be clear to those who have reviewed his patents on this particular subject, that he gives no real significance to the inductor other than to say that it is the seat of the circuits inertia, (videos have been made and sold which demonstrate the validity of this claim, but it seems that the creators of those videos like in so many other instances seen all over the web, are only concerned with inertia....) this does change later, and that change, mixed with lots of other insightful information is the fuel for my research, everything you need to see his change in perspective is right in black and white in those basic patents. Read his statements, and compare them to those statements being made by those who say self induction is bad! Many of our leaders in this quest are suggesting that we build systems that have little or no CEMF!!!?!? Why?? Why should we do the exact opposite from what we are presented with in patent after patent after patent by the man who personifies the movement? Tesla swore by self-induction for he knew what it was! He knew its relation to capacity, and how the one could be used to supply the other, how the one could become the other, how the one was the other...maybe..... The relation that self inductance has to capacitance should be as clear as the nose on ones face!!! What is the discharge current (collapsing field) illustrating? Where was Tesla sending it, where does it (the collapsing field) want to go. The relationship between self-inductance and capacitance is a relation which we must comprehend. All of this information, Tesla's, was penned in the language of the layman, presented in the language of the layman, and preserved in patents granted to him. It's time to think like a layman and not like one who is trained to know.

He states on more than one occasion that the power he is getting out of the system comes out of abrupt discharging of a capacitor, again we are faced with the question of what exactly is capacity. One interesting thing to note, in the more advanced circuits the conventional embodiment of the capacitor isn't present, and neither is the bifilar series coil, for those who would throw the bifilar coil at me as the replacement for the missing cap. When we strip the system of all that confuses the issues, and there are many issues, we are left with the greatest common denominator, rate of change. It was there the entire time, facilitating the creation and destruction of the energy storage mechanisms. Under the right conditions, L and C are one in impedance. These are my thoughts.....my opinions.....

Regards

iPad 7:39 PM 13%
energyscienceforum.com

07-26-2014, 02:35 AM #64

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

To counter drag the only thing that has to be done is an offset, don't ask me to spell it out for you please, just think about it, and remember the information has been given to you by the authorities on this site and others, it doesn't get any simpler than that. The question to ask prior to making this stupid simple change in geometric relations is why does it get rid of drag? When you look at Faraday's law and Lenz law the answer is pretty clear isn't it. Both laws are basically about inducing a voltage. The latter has a magnetic field associated with the induced potential which opposes the magnetic field which induced it. In essence the only problem a symmetrical system has is the fact that we induce one polarity at a time, and always the same polarity as the inducing. In a symmetrical, or better stated, linear system this should be expected. It's a non-linear condition that we want to establish, non-linear in this particular instance isn't exactly asymmetrical but its close enough, and most certainly gets the job that we want done accomplished. You have been shown this topology time and time again but for reasons which I cannot understand, have chosen to ignore it, to each his own.

The Kromrey, and G-field devices are excellent instruments for identifying key relations, however, we don't have what it takes to reinvent the wheel. Upon realizing this, my path was clear, I wanted to find the same effects demonstrated in those machines applied to a topology which could be placed inside an existing off the shelf machine. The Muller Dynamo delivered the solution. Quite literally the only machine ever demonstrated which is built around this offsetting principle, demonstrated for all! No secreting, no occulting with advanced concepts and sciences. All of these researchers were working on the same thing guys. Lenz's law is a mental block, a program as it were strategically placed to limit you, and indirectly the machine. The law has no validity if you induce two fields instead of one.....RING THE BELL TWICE DAMNIT!! Leedskalnin not Bedini. A magnet has two sides, right? The Kromrey and G-field use both sides at the same time Right...RIGHT?? Soo...common sense says that if you want the same or similar effects in a standard topology, you must USE BOTH SIDES.

Anyway....we have work to do...don't we.....Kit.....

efinder@forgotten-genius.com

Regards

iPad 8:58 PM 9%
energyscienceforum.com

> Originally Posted by **erfinder**
>
> *There are exceptions to this as I am sure you are well aware, however, as this is specifically about the SG, and doing as one is instructed, the exceptions are irrelevant. The part about the device not being a generator I cannot accept, and no one who has worked with this machine and similar embodiments should blindly accept this "idea" that the device isn't a generator when the facts indicate that it is. The device has generator written all over it. Aren't you taking advantage of generator action to turn on the transistor.....isn't the induced in both the trigger and the power winding at the same level when when the transistor is activated? What's the harm in considering the device from the perspective of the induced? If the supply battery is removed, aren't we left with an experiment in coil shorting, switching at the peak of the induced wave? I am not asking questions, I have answered these questions for myself, and only bring them up as I don't feel we are justified in saying that Neos cant be used, or that this isnt a generator, when in fact, it is possible to use Neo magnets, and the device is fundamentally a generator, not a very good one, but a generator nonetheless. I do accept the arguments against the use of Neos in designs that are presented by the inventor, however, as you know, here too are exceptions. If the device isn't a generator, then we require a detailed description of what it is because according to common sense, its a device capitalizing on the generator aspects of the machine. As far as I am concerned, and this is my opinion, we are dealing with a DC biased, pulsed AC generator. Mag Amp sums it up. It doesn't make sense to me that things are suggested, but when it comes right down to it the subject is avoided. Real talk about real principles are outright avoided, and if they aren't avoided, we are instructed not to use our common sense.*
>
> *No progress is going to be made till we of the peanut gallery decide that what we are being presented isn't enough. Radiant.....this term has no meaning whatsoever till you realize its connection with that which we call reactive power.*
>
> *All this stuff we are being given I see it differently. We are taught about cap dumps not because we need to dump a cap into the battery. Remember, we charged a cap with the collapsing field, after realizing that the discharge of the coil wasn't what the battery wanted....the gears were switched and a cap was charged instead of the battery, this cap was then discharged across the the battery. What if....the lesson with the cap dump is that we should get the coil to behave as if it were the cap, hitting the battery with a current pulse instead of the voltage pulse we are all familiar with? Again, not a question.....see coils as caps, comprehend that the only thing stopping a coil from instantly processing current is the mechanism of self induction. If you can regulate self induction, you govern the speed at which current can change in your coil. Now....self induction has a relation to capacity, the two form a tank...doesn't take a degree in rocket science to know that if this circuit is tuned, preferably self tuning, RPM independent, or no fixed frequency, is resonant, and the Q is high, there can and more than likely will be a rather large circulating current just waiting for you to route out via the all too familiar method. When you control the impedance offered to the flow of current within a coil, you are controlling more than you are aware of.*
>
> *What I have found we want is to establish those conditions found at the zero crossing, were the current applied to the circuit is not opposed by the induced. If you could establish those conditions found at the zero crossing all the time.....I think you get the picture, and if not....try harder.*
>
> *IF.....you can control the impedance of a coil when current is moving through it, that coil can behave like a cap, transitions in current happening more or less instantly. This can result in voltage spikes which will destroy the entire apparatus, lucky for us, if its done in the proper manner no spikes ever manifest! Not only this, but if they do manifest, the only negative is you loose the switch, spikes being so great and so fast that they punch the gate of the switch (Aviso, Magnacoaster).*
>
> *When my hero said, that he found the relation between self induction and capacity, he had found something of profound significance. A relation that once mastered and implemented, enabled him to move current in his system as if the system had no self-induction. We have been looking only at the induced current, and not the current in the oscillator. The current in the oscillator is already moving with zero impedance between L and C, that mechanism is what I believe he spread to other parts of the circuit, eventually being able to extract energy out of the oscillator. Now after considering that, look at your battery again, and tell me that the battery is not being charged with current.....if you still don't see current, under the proper conditions, insane current charging that battery, heaven help you.*
>
> *Out of the many things that "increasing the reactive cross section" could mean, this is hands down, the only one worth my attention. They aren't lying, they just aren't keeping it real....this is a good thing, it makes us good researchers.*

iPad 9:11 PM 15%
energyscienceforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

As most are aware, there are two fundamental winding relationships presently practiced in the DC motor and a combination of these two results in a third.

- Shunt connection
- Series connection
- Compound connection

We are trained to see what we want to see, and not what's there. I try to see what is there. In my opinion, a motor is an electro-mechanical representation of an LC. One can begin to realize the reality of this when one makes a careful analysis of the motors relation to the supply when operating at top RPM, in a no load condition. Once we begin to see and accept this new view, hopefully, without question, we can begin to approach the motor topologies as follows:

- Shunt connection = Parallel LC
- Series connection = Series LC
- Compound connection = Series-Parallel LC

Now many of you are clever, and will make that fatal assumption that most make and ride a rocket of your own creation (figuratively speaking) to the moon just with this little tidbit. Fight that urge. If you fly off before anything has even been said, you will miss the significance of it all. There is more to the phenomena we identify with as resonance, more than we have ever considered before, well, more than I ever considered. A system of proper conception will behave as if it is all three of the above named. It should be clear that systems as we observe them today already exhibit the behavior of all three of these systems, save one, the series connection.

Its been suggested that I am sharing my thoughts with a wall, there is some truth to this, however, I will not give up on you. I liken you to an infinite impedance, and myself as the force which can overcome any impedance, we all know this force, I therefore know many of you will eventually come around. There is more going on than what you all are preoccupied with. Many demand plans and schematics, saying that they cannot proceed till these things are made available. I say, your job is to comprehend what I am sharing, through a combined effort. I supply you with the tools you need to see what I would like for you to see! All you have to do is observe. The only effort I require from you is that you exercise your mind in ways which you haven't been "motivated" to till now, leave the building to me.

stop violating forum rules and the requests of the forum owner.

Tom C

iPad 9:17 PM 18%
energyscienceforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
>
> *Thats a Bit unfair erfinder, he does have something to offer after all he is making ethanol bio-fuel*
>
> *and of course unproductive comments....*
>
> *what do you think of the demon motor erfinder or anyone else? and also the interferometry and coil sizing of the three coil spool JB ferris wheel*

What I meant was he has nothing productive to add to this conversation. It wasn't an insult, and honestly, this is my area of interest, not bio fuel.

Regarding the ferris wheel....I have no idea what JB is demonstrating. It's why I always say my opinion. What I know is what I have experienced while trying to comprehend his message. Coil sizing is an interesting concept I call it impedance mismatching. By doing it proper, all of the inductive collapse is shifted so that a greater percentage of the recoverable energy leaves the circuit either at the compression point at the diode, or the pick up coil. In a video ErikN built machine that he said would not run, he took it to JB and they ended up adding a coil, the coils were not the same impedance. ErikN said in the video that they needed the extra coil to get the device to run, JB said the same thing, then a few minutes into his talk, he says he did it so that they could get the power out???!?!?! JB supports what I found on my own, what any of you could have found. This concept led me to understand that the phase of the collapse event can be shifted! This was one of the most important things that I have observed, it becomes important in more complex systems incorporating self regulating reactance, enter the SG, the SG in my opinion is a very basic embodiment of a self regulating reactor, but not designed to do what it could do.

Three coils shifted is important because of how it relates to the generator action in the system. The offset reveals the truth about Lenz's law, after recognizing what this configuration does, I began to see Lenz's law as being nothing more than an observation, an observed limitation in improperly configured systems. In my opinion, Lenz informs us of how not to build a system.

My two cent....I could say more but don't want to put any of you to sleep....

Regards

iPad 9:18 PM 18%
energyscienceforum.com

08-11-2014, 04:03 PM #81

bigmotherwhale
Senior Member
Join Date: Jan 2014
Posts: 204

you mean a 90 degree phase shift of the collapse event in a properly configured system?

the layout you suggest - orthogonal motor generator means one is a copy of the other?

08-11-2014, 04:19 PM #82

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
> *you mean a 90 degree phase shift of the collapse event in a properly configured system?*
>
> *the layout you suggest - orthogonal motor generator means one is a copy of the other?*

Collapsing field.....not sinusoidal, this is new territory...how does phase apply to the potential generated by a collapsing magnetic field? Your guess will probably be as good as mine. I accomplished this with two solenoid coils. One coil was high inductance the other was low inductance they were operated as one coil. More important than what I did, was what came from it.....my opinion.

Regards

08-11-2014, 09:27 PM #83

bob smith
Member
Join Date: Aug 2013
Posts: 32

> Originally Posted by **erfinder**
> *JB supports what I found on my own, what any of you could have found. This concept led me to understand that the phase of the collapse event can be shifted! ... In my opinion, Lenz informs us of how not to build a system.*

iPad 9:22 PM 20%
energyscienceforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **Tom C**
>
> *so to everyone, I want to make it clear that I have nothing personal against Steve, and it is not my intention to see harm done to him or his research. you guys enjoy yourself. I KNOW HOW HE DOES WHAT HE DOES and He (steve) has chosen not to tell you as he wants you to think for yourself. like he said leave the building to me.... I have honored his wishes and not divulged his methodology and how he is driving his machine, mainly because it is done the same way as some of John Bedini's machines and I cannot.*
>
> *John's wish has always been that you figure it out and share, it keeps him (john) alive and his family safe. I know you think that is a strange comment but I have seen the guys that have threatened him up close and personal just a couple of years ago, so I know it is real.*
>
> *so until he (Erfinder) decides to make full disclosure you will have this thread. get the Ferris Wheel DVD and the Behind the scenes, it will take most if not all the way to what you are looking for.*
>
> *Tom C*

Someone blocked me, been trying to access all day....had the day off...so....no...Erfinder was not at lunch Tom.....not sure who blocked me....but it doesn't matter.

> Originally Posted by **Tom C**
>
> *I KNOW HOW HE DOES WHAT HE DOES and He (steve) has chosen not to tell you as he wants you to think for yourself. like he said leave the building to me.... I have honored his wishes and not divulged his methodology and how he is driving his machine, mainly because it is done the same way as some of John Bedini's machines and I cannot.*

In the words of Morpheus....."show me!"

I showed you a video, same video I showed everyone else. You therefore know what everyone else knows. If you figured out the reactor, I doubt you have, but give you the benefit of the doubt and will I tip my hat when you prove it. You honor my wish and not divulge????? Crazy talk! Stop talking and show them what you think you have Tom. You may be able to produce the effect, Kiril proved that its not rocket science! I told you all where to switch, why to switch where you switch, when to change the timing, why change the timing....all of it.....so yeah...you know but have yet to demonstrate that you know. The only thing this has to do with JB is the one of the modes of operation utilizes the winding connection method that was mentioned in the video I recommended folks watch. This was me honoring JB, the winding method didn't originate with JB, its common sense when you think about it.....all windings are wound in the same direction, if you want alternating polarity, you must connect the coils in anti-series.....what's not common knowledge is how this "connection" influences the induced. As I have stated time and time again, the circuit doesn't matter. That being said, my circuit has nothing to do with JB's circuits.

In the end you don't know how I do what I do, nor do you know what I want to do with what I can do. But you can and should continue to believe that you have me, my methods and motivations figured out Tom.

Can't wait to see what you have.....

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **Radiantnrg**
>
> *erfinder, I was about to get the 2 recommended books on this site and then found this thread. Thanks much aln for starting it! I think you are onto many valuable out of the box concepts. This could get very politically incorrect but I am going risk asking you any way as I am the same type of thinker as you are. From your perspective and all you have learned what do you recommend for beginners to do in relation to "bedini type" devices? Where do we start research and building? Do we get the recommended*

Hello Alan,

I do not stand between them and folks who want to support them by purchasing their materials, I wholeheartedly recommend many of the works they offer. They do not appreciate it when I mention my kit, but don't seem to have a problem with me advertising through reference, their books, patents and videos....I get no kick back for that....

Start by recognizing that you are smart! Accept that you can know what the authorities know, through your own efforts. If you choose to build the SG, build two. Build the first as you are instructed, and the second like your intuition instructs you to build it. It cannot be demanded of you to build something to a certain standard, if, the person making such a request isn't willing to explain why you should. Those reasons we are being provided do not qualify, they do not get you to the heart of the matter. The reason for doing what we are told should give insight into what the device is and how and why it does what it does. Here you will be confronted with the basic rebuttal of why, you should do what you are told, it always ends with if you don't do what you are told to do, your results will not be what the inventor says you should have. Here you just have to trust yourself, your common sense, your intuition. Always have in the back of your mind that we were never told what the point of the drama is! Never told what this device really is. At one point we were told that the SG is the perfected version of the Watson machine! IF thats true, going from the available information, the basic SG is a red herring, and the GT3 is the version we are to be looking at.

Keep in mind at one point in time it was a secret to operate the device in attraction mode. Now its common knowledge, but we still find folks who prefer to operate in repulsion mode?! The fundamental difference was never really discussed. The advantage of one mode over the other, never explained in detail, and the super pole configuration just didn't make any sense. While investigating the two modes of operation, it became clear that we should be looking at both modes from the perspective of the generator action. That's the lesson. Here for reasons that I shouldn't have to get into, attraction mode is superior to repulsion mode.

Although attraction mode is superior to repulsion mode, the separation of the two isn't what we ultimately want, we want both modes. We want to use both sides of the magnet, and here I'm not so much talking about the poles, in this particular instance, I am talking about the corner spins of the magnet. The north pole of the magnet contains multiple orders of spin, however, only two are of immediate interest. Flux leaves the north pole in a left handed spiral, and a right handed spiral. Flux leaves the south pole in a left handed spiral and a right handed spiral. H. Johnson shows you this in his work, we were re-introduced to his work by JB. It's my opinion that H. Johnson's work applies to JB's work. JB suppresses one of the corner spins in a manner similar to how H. Johnson did, turning the SG into a kind of magnetic gate, when operated in attraction mode. Had I been preoccupied with doing what I am told, this connection would not have been made! After studying this for a while, when you start looking at the other technologies, specifically the window and zero force typologies, it becomes clear that once again we are dealing with rotor to coil corner spin interactions. At this point it hits you, how is this any different from what we already experience in conventional designs which have been with us for over the last 100 years. The answer shocked me, fundamentally there is no difference!

I was asked about the three coil positions yesterday, and as I was short on time and didn't get to finish saying what I wanted to say. Namely, the three coils to three magnets of the same polarity as presented in the GT3 is superseded by the use of a super pole configuration which is for all intents and purposes the exact same thing, just reduced to single coil interaction instead of two coil. These folks are clever in the extreme, but are very tight lipped about what they are doing. We are not honoring them by doing what we are told, you must look at the work! See it and through it, see them, they have years invested in this. They may not like me but I am a fan! Truly, I only wish they were more open.

Study the information they provide you with, it has value. Where you start depends on the questions you have that you want answered. Don't stagnate, compare all, and unite all, they have done an excellent job of assembling this huge wealth of information into a mosaic. From our present perspective we get to know all of the parts, but from our position cannot see how the parts relate one to another, it's at this point were we must view the information from a higher vantage point, to see how the parts form a whole, that's where your common sense and intuition come in. So to answer your question, study all they provide, but be guided by your intuition and common sense when doing so, if the latter two are not in the equation, you will become lost in the labyrinth of information that they have built around themselves.

Hope that answers your question....

Regards

iPad 9:53 PM 34%
energyscienceforum.com

Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> *erfinder, thanks for your 2 answers. You mentioned intuition several times. I am an ENFP - the most intuitive of the 16 Briggs Myers types. I have saved all your comments in this thread and committed them over to intuition already LOL*
> *You said in an answer to another that you have given tips on switching- the how, when, where, and why. Where is that posted at? thanks Alan*

Hello Alan,

All of those posts which described the system I designed were removed from both forums. I will not reload any of that information, too time consuming. The terms I use when describing when and where to switch are tailored to my system.

To answer your question.

How

- System should be configured in such a manner that it alternates between points that I call junctions and regular poles, the difference is clearly established in my system. Junctions are equatorial poles, the forces at these poles work radially. Generally the number of equatorial poles equals the number of normal poles. This works, however, the number of equatorial poles should be greater than the number of normal poles, making the system more asymmetrical, more non-linear. As ones understanding of the existence and function equatorial poles increases, it becomes clear that their number should increase.

Where

- Switching must take place at the point of maximum induced potential, (JTDC junction top dead center). JTDC is also the point of maximum torque at start up.

Why is is a question that requires some thought on your part. For me its all about CEMF. In my opinion, CEMF isn't just generator action, it is a measure of the strain found in the exchange between the system L and C. If L is changing, so is C. The system isn't limited to the values that we measure, the system is dynamic, the supporting evidence of this is in how the coil behaves when current or voltage moving through it changes, note the wave shapes when these changes are made. Think about that...then formulate questions.

Regards

Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
>
> *as far as comprehend what has been said, N + S = 0 and that is the basis of the machine, if you have two coils in series resonance and one is for example twice the size of the other, one will be 180 degrees out of phase so they will always have the opposite poles and therefore create a void in between the two?(and for very little input i might add, just a tickle) so the rotor magnet wont be attracted to it anymore, as they have already reached equilibrium, turn this effect on and off by moving the position of the coils in the circuit.*
> *Back emf really doesn't have anything to do with it as there will be none if the system is doing what we want, the radiant spike everyone talks about is just the magnetic potentials attempt to maintain current in the system so the voltage will rise, its normal in phase electricity - put a resistive load across and there is power - the current is maintained and the voltage wont rise. the voltage generated depends entirely on the resistance.*
> *electricity is generation and magnetism degeneration, all they want to do is reach equilibrium.*
> *just some thoughts i really don't know anything, this is currently speculation.*

What is resonance? I know how its defined in the text book but what is it in reality, on the bench?

Its said that there's no phase relation between the applied and the induced, doesn't them being opposed to one another (DC motor) suggest a 180 degree phase relation between the two?

We should look to the "between the turns relations" in coils. Here in conventionally connected solenoids, we observe how the flux spins CW around one half of the coil, and CCW around the other half. Is this significant? I think it is, because these two opposing vortex generate that which we identify with as the pole we find on the face of the magnet, or coil. Between the turns we find the field is moving in such a manner that repulsion takes place between turns, the flow is concentrated in the very center of the solenoid, and outside the solenoid. The flow through the core is opposite to the flow moving outside the core. Together the inner and outer flow constitute one flow, both inner and outer flows are unidirectional. I find it fascinating that there is little or no flow between turns, the bulk of the flux being in the core and outside, and yet, a massive repulsive force is made manifest between the turns.....The general rule of thumb I now go by is if there is repulsion between turns, CEMF will be high, and the generator will oppose the prime mover. If there is attraction between the turns, the CEMF will be low, and the generator either assists the prime mover or has no effect on the prime mover.

Where CEMF is, is the soul of the machine, it is the governor, if you take it away, you have nothing. We blame current limiting on CEMF..... I say CEMF is the "measure" of the opposition experienced in the system, it is not the thing doing the opposing. Do not limit CEMF, increase it! Its our design, and our understanding which is flawed. The mechanism responsible for current limiting does what it does. Its present for a reason! Removing it from the body is likened to removing an organ that we in our infinite wisdom have concluded "has no use"!?

The spike.....inductive kickback.....here is where we leave Kansas. To a force which can overcome any impedance....impedance becomes synonymous with conductivity....

In my opinion there are no facts, no fact givers.....all we have is speculation, if we had facts, we would be in a Utopia. The question I ask often, is can we build on this speculation.....

Regards

08-13-2014, 08:59 AM #113

erfinder

Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
>
> *is the zero force motor like the Flynn Motor? are there magnets hiding in the toroid? does anyone know*

No magnets in the stator. The zero force is in my opinion Tesla's Electro Magnetic Motor patented in 1888. 381,968. The 21st century version is now operated as a DC machine. It has a DC supply, and a transistor based commutator. The rotor was also upgraded, the new rotor has permanent magnets.

It's being said that these devices don't generate CEMF. Build one and see....they do generate CEMF

iPad 9:58 PM 37%
energyscienceforum.com

08-13-2014, 08:59 AM #113

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
> *is the zero force motor like the Flynn Motor? are there magnets hiding in the toroid? does anyone know*

No magnets in the stator. The zero force is in my opinion Tesla's Electro Magnetic Motor patented in 1888. 381,968. The 21st century version is now operated as a DC machine. It has a DC supply, and a transistor based commutator. The rotor was also upgraded, the new rotor has permanent magnets.

It's being said that these devices don't generate CEMF. Build one and see....they do generate CEMF.

My machine could be considered as a zero force hybrid. Tesla demonstrates in the patent that both the orthogonal poles and regular poles are to be used, following in his lead, I use both. The thing to remember is this topology is as old as the motor itself. The concept was abandoned.....why? The simple answer, a better way of doing the same thing was found, if you work with it long enough, you see why they dropped this concept for salient poles.

Regards

08-13-2014, 09:58 AM #114

bigmotherwhale
Senior Member
Join Date: Jan 2014
Posts: 204

> Originally Posted by **erfinder**
> *No magnets in the stator. The zero force is in my opinion Tesla's Electro Magnetic Motor patented in 1888. 381,968. The 21st century version is now operated as a DC machine. It has a DC supply, and a transistor based commutator. The rotor was also upgraded, the new rotor has permanent magnets.*
>
> *It's being said that these devices don't generate CEMF. Build one and see....they do*

iPad 10:01 PM 38%
energyscienceforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
>
> *it looks like there are two windings on each coil of the zero force motor, at least it looks like there are two different colour coils, any idea why this differs from the tesla motor? is this for collection?*
>
> *there is one similarity to the Flynn motor the polarity of the magnetic flux in the core is the same, they are opposed, as i comprehend it it should create an excess of potential in the core material changing the bh curve, and the saturation point, i have no idea what this would actually do or if this is correct.*
>
> *i did try to build something like this toroid but it used the tpu(?) coil layout where there was a heavy gauge coil a light gauge coil and a coil around the inner and outer circumference, despite taking hours and hours to wrap the coil i couldn't get it to work.*

Just to be clear, my desire isn't to just speculate on technologies that the authorities can discuss with us! It is their job to talk about their work, not ours to speculate on it. I offer speculation in the absence of their leadership. I have studied the documents and the patents, I have also built machines to test my theories, those are the machines I am versed in.

What is the fundamental difference between the window motor and the zero force? What is the difference between these two, and a standard DC motor as depicted in the attached image? Practical application is my aim, I am not interested in reinventing the wheel. We are being led to conclude that those who came before us didn't have what it takes. They left us with flawed science, and machines based on those flawed ideas? IF you can spot the zeroforce or window in the present day DC motor, you are well on your way! I have built every motor suggested, save two...and with each build I gained a new appreciation for what mainstream thinkers of Tesla's era left us with. There is nothing wrong with what they left us with. IF it was flawed like we are led to believe.....would we be using them today.....



Regards

iPad 10:03 PM 39%
energyscienceforum.com

08-13-2014, 10:33 AM #119

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **Lman**
> *Erfinder,*
> *So, instead of using DC for control on the Mag Amp you meant pulsing it, right ?*
> *Lman*

Because its not operating like a Mag Amp as we understand the them, we don't see the pulsed DC into the motor as control, we choose to forget the AC is even present till its presence begins to have a negative impact on our consumption.

Once you add a reactor to the motor, a real one.....your motor behaves like a Mag Amp in the true sense. The applied DC (the reactor clones the source and produces new sources.....thanks to self-induction) can be seen as control. The AC generated by the motor can assist the supply. Here we have a situation where the induced has changed its phase relation to the supply and is no longer in opposition with it. The same can be said of the induced from the collapsing field, but this is another story, one which we cannot get into till you have a reactor.

This is how I interpret my experience.

Regards

08-13-2014, 10:43 AM #120

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
> *this repulsion between the coils, is like a pressure, this pressure, just like any pressure or density is the resistance to the body moving through it, and will be when two like poles are present, when the current is moving in the same direction*
> *attraction is a vacuity and has the least resistance, when current is moving in the opposite direction and there are opposite poles*

iPad 10:06 PM 40%
energyscienceforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
>
> *this repulsion between the coils, is like a pressure, this pressure, just like any pressure or density is the resistance to the body moving through it, and will be when two like poles are present, when the current is moving in the same direction*
>
> *attraction is a vacuity and has the least resistance, when current is moving in the opposite direction and there are opposite poles*
>
> *the question is, if the pressure is low and the current is moving in opposite directions the external field of the coil will also be "cancelled" how do we balance these forces and still have an external field? does this relate to our all important impedance mismatch? i think it does*
>
> *what you speak of reminds me of the magnetic holder as these two are split and on the same core, but there is more than one way of achieving this.*
>
> *any thoughts?*

I want you guys to come to the same or at the very least "similar" conclusions that I came to. It's not going to be easy for you, but you have to try, or you won't get anything out of any of this. I could show you how to build what I built but what higher purpose would it serve? We must begin to "SEE" what's there, that which has always been right in front of us.

I share my most basic view of what I see happening between the turns, this same information you can find on the net, and even test on your bench. This observation brings us to the following.....

Repulsion = magnetic field
Attraction = dielectric field

In the end we are trying to establish the case for L and C. Where they are, why they are where they are. Good useful layman speculation, stuff we can use, stuff we can engineer.

Regards

resonance, place the nodal points in the right relation to the magnet. and attach the source or drain at the respective points.

08-13-2014, 03:45 PM #130

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
> *i think i get it correct me (again) if im wrong .... the coil acts as a capacitor or an inductor, under resonance, place the nodal points in the right relation to the magnet. and attach the source or drain at the respective points.*

A coil has the capacity to function as either an inductor or a capacitor (ergo the name reactor was given to the inductor long ago by the wise). The capacitance of the coil isn't limited the so called parasitic capacitance. Capacity in Farads, if we believe what we read, is equal to A*s / V. Inductance in Henrys, if we believe what we read is V*s/A...fascinating. The formula leads us to conclude that the two are one?

Resonance...I asked before...what is resonance? Which resonance are you referring to, I refer to several different forms of resonance, have experience with different forms of resonance in my machines. Like Tesla suggested, in my system, these different forms of resonance are synchronized.

There is a special relation between self induction and capacitance which is not being considered, but was suggested by Tesla. Make that connection! Why do we limit capacitance and inductance to the material, when we know they change with respect to time.

Regards

this flow of energy will match any resistance.

so there is actually two resonances occurring, an electrical one and a magnetic one - and only the correct capacitance inductance frequency voltage settings will ever get you there
once you have done this it becomes a resistor, and is non inductive but still generating

08-14-2014, 01:35 AM #137

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
> *I was referring to resonance in a tank circuit,*
> *i have seen a different resonance in a tuned via length of wire in a Stanley Meyer VIC (magnetic amp) which only happened at a specific voltage frequency i got it to occur at voltages around 60 volts only*
> *i have no idea of the connection,*
> *edit - it did change over time*

Everything we think we know about resonance is correct! However, much like the observation of Lenz, there are exceptions to the "rules". You immediately drop the old dogma once you experience a circuit which is set to operate at a specific frequency, begin operating beyond the "set limits" which L and C dictate. The phenomena I am describing is self sustaining, self adjusting, if you were to ask me, "this" is what Meyers had (before I am judged, keep in mind, I wasn't asked...)!

We must comprehend resonance for what it is, as layman as possible. We must see the LC embodied in the motor. Once you can identify the LC as the motor, your thoughts should begin to consider how energy inside the LC is moving, what it takes for it to move as it does. I look at Tesla's patents and see a man obsessed with a phenomena he called resonance. We see him busied with self induction and capacity, the two aspect making up the essence of the resonant phenomena. He informs us of special relations between the two, and demonstrates what happens when you match their reactance.

The special relation between the two was danced around, he never came clean about what the relation was, possibly for posterity, hoping that we "the future" asked the correct questions and came to the conclusion on our own. I'm going to tell you what I think, not so that you should make it your dogma, and run with it.... I am going to tell you because its so off the wall that it will not be accepted anyway, and if it is accepted, it is generally done so because one feels its right, but not because one has experience with it.

Self induction and capacity are the same thing, one need look no further than the formula.

$$F = \frac{J}{V^2} = \frac{A \cdot s}{V}$$

$$H = \frac{J}{A^2} = \frac{V \cdot s}{A}$$

In conclusion, I am also talking about a tank, in my system the tank isn't limited to the frequency that its components dictate that it should be limited to.

Regards

08-14-2014, 02:55 AM #138

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
> *--- Quote ---If now, as shown in Figure 2, a conductor B be wound parallel with the conductor A and insulated from it, and the end of A be connected with the starting point of B, the aggregate length of the two conductors being such that the assumed number of convolutions or turns is the same, viz., one thousand, then the potential difference between any two points in A and B will be fifty volts, and as the capacity effect is proportionate to the square of this difference, the energy stored in the coil as a whole will now be two hundred and fifty thousand as great. Following out this principle, I may wind any given coil either in whole or in part, not only in the specific manner herein illustrated, but in a great variety of ways, well-known in the art, so as to secure between adjacent convolutions such potential difference as will give the*

iPad 10:27 PM 50%
energyscienceforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
>
> *--- Quote ---If now, as shown in Figure 2, a conductor B be wound parallel with the conductor A and insulated from it, and the end of A be connected with the starting point of B, the aggregate length of the two conductors being such that the assumed number of convolutions or turns is the same, viz., one thousand, then the potential difference between any two points in A and B will be fifty volts, and as the capacity effect is proportionate to the square of this difference, the energy stored in the coil as a whole will now be two hundred and fifty thousand as great. Following out this principle, I may wind any given coil either in whole or in part, not only in the specific manner herein illustrated, but in a great variety of ways, well-known in the art, so as to secure between adjacent convolutions such potential difference as will give the proper capacity to neutralize the self-induction for any given current that may be employed.*
> *--- End quote ---*
>
> *some thoughts*
> *self induced bias in your coils, your shorting out or ie applying a current to change the inductive reactance of the core as in the magnetic amplifier, increasing capacity in relation to inductance*
> *keeping the inertia in the magnetic field by transferring to dielectric storage on reversal creating resonance, a true undamped standing wave*
> *the capacity of the coil is coupled to the supply even when the current is not flowing.*
> *this flow of energy will match any resistance.*
>
> *so there is actually two resonances occurring, an electrical one and a magnetic one - and only the correct capacitance inductance frequency voltage settings will ever get you there*
> *once you have done this it becomes a resistor, and is non inductive but still generating*

Not entirely sure about what you are trying to suggest with the quote from the "Coils for Electromagnets" patent, 512,340. I assume since you were responding to my post on self induction and capacity, its related to that. I already suggested where we should focus our attention, and why, that's what this patent does, but, not in a manner which you can benefit from. Don't take my word for it, build yourself a few of these coils and see if you can get what Tesla is claiming. Most look at this bifilar arrangement and get all teary eyed when they see his numbers. What they don't realize is this coil is increasing the capacity for magnetism, between the turns.....that kinda changes things right?

Don't invest too much time in trying to and figure out my system. Instead, invest that time in studying the system I place at your feet for your consideration. If you spend the necessary amount of time with this information, you will begin to see what I see, and ask the questions I asked. At this point we can begin to have a real exchange, and not this one sided conversation that we are having now. When the time comes we can discuss my system, all aspects discussed, this cannot happen till you see what needs to be seen, and have experienced what you must experience. The foundation must be laid, until your foundation is solid, any discussion about my system would be fruitless, for both of us. The system I give you contain all the pieces, you must spend time with them get to know their ins and outs, put them back together, only then can we have a meaningful exchange, an exchange I welcome, an exchange worth waiting for.

Any source of oscillating current and or potential is a welcomed guest in properly configured systems. The damped wave is a wonderful construction self regulating, following a hyperbolic curve...tells you everything you need to know about the coil, any coil. What it (the wave) tells me is that a coil can't have a "resonant" frequency, each peak in the damped wave is a resonant point.....the peaks reach into infinity....all of these frequencies are harmonic one to the other.....we find that these harmonics or more accurately over tones, (I guess) are odd in nature. The idea (theory) that a coil doesn't have a "resonant" frequency.....won't be accepted by many....that's fine, I am only asking folks to consider what I am saying and not turn it into dogma. I've said it before and I will repeat it here again, the frequency that we identify as "eigen frequency" or the self resonant frequency is actually (to me) the Keely chord of mass.....the note which when struck, resonates with all of the peaks manifesting in the damped oscillation. This resonant phenomena isn't limited to one frequency, it embraces all frequencies following the path of least resistance, frequencies following the odd sequence in the coil, all the way into infinity.

We can consider the damped wave like a low loss HF generator that we didn't ask for, nor pay for. It is a generator which seems to operate along a path of least resistance. An undamped wave, basically a square is best generated by compressing damped waves, waves which already contain the necessary harmonic, and amplitude relations.....I have demonstrated this, I call the mechanism for generation of square (undamped) from damped waves.....Infinite Harmonic Resonant Self Oscillation.....you could experience it....

iPad 7:09 AM 100%
energyscienceforum.com

> *need to know where to begin.*
>
> *so far i have a set of series parallel connected set of high capacity (unknown whether external or internal capacity) coils impedance mismatched (to create dissonance?), switched with either hall or optical switching with variable pulse width amplitude, a magnetic biasing circuit that is possibly self regulating via superimposed AC generator effect, orthogonally arranged - somehow these coils are connected so the inductance and capacitance are the inverse counter acting of each other so they have no resonant frequency, with a possible coil shorting technique thrown in the mix - now thats alot of variables to consider. The mind boggles!*
>
> *all this said, it could be a fate alot worse i could be making someone else's machine in the exact way described and getting no where all my life, experiments start mid September*

I stand behind you building whatever you want. I cannot advise you on your build, but I support you trying what you feel like trying. When you are done, I'll be right here waiting for you where we left off.

What I place at your feet isn't for you to go out and design your own machine from scratch. That work has been done for you. I am not interested in a replication effort. I am trying to show you something, something you can use. There is method to the madness. I am fully aware of what I have placed at your feet, you don't have this awareness yet. There's more going on in my machine than you are in the position to see. But then, you cannot see, and wont see, because you don't have my machine, you have yours.....I respect those who would rather do it themselves. Whether we come to the same conclusions working with different instruments is doubtful.

I cannot help but notice that the information is overwhelming you. I cannot apologize for this, imagine how I feel....its a lot to process, the good news is its all layman....

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
>
> *all i saw is a video showing a capacitor charging from a (very nicely made) generator....*

I don't show videos of generators. What I show is a motors "generator attribute" charging the supply. I call this "back popping" a name which I borrowed from the old SG yahoo group days. They discussed something that they called back popping, but I didn't like it, because it required an external generator coil, a generator external to the machine itself. In my system, I use the generator action produced within the motor itself to recharge the buffer (supply) capacitor. I am trying to help you see that the generator action in a motor can do more than just limit the current supplying the motor. Back popping as I am demonstrating it is in an of itself is very significant.....

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
>
> *i have no diagrams or recommendations of things to try....*

Don't feel bad, no one has diagrams or recommendations of things to try. I never provided any diagrams, nor recommended anything to try. I am not interested in leading a a replication effort.....again...I am trying to share something that I feel has significance with you.

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
>
> *the reason im asking so much and putting out the different ideas i have is that i need to know where to begin.*

I understand where you're coming from, I am the source of the information you seek, I wrote all of that stuff, I took it all down, for good reason. You don't need my old posts. Where to begin....that's an easy one to answer, but not one that can be discussed.

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
>
> *so far i have a set of series parallel connected set of high capacity (unknown whether external or internal capacity) coils impedance mismatched (to create dissonance?)*

This is all you mixed with a little of me.....good luck with it. I am very careful about what I say, I try to be anyway, I say what I mean and mean what I say.

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**

iPad 7:11 AM 100%
energyscienceforum.com
EPB Session Timeout | Dissecting Erfinder's comments -... | Henry (unit) - Wikipedia | GT3 bedini - Google Search

08-14-2014, 03:40 PM #143

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bob smith**
> *I'm going to take a stab at what Erfinder might be referring to re compressing an undamped wave -- I believe the early traditional method among radio broadcasters for producing dampened EM waves was via a spark gap. Damped waves basically ring down to nothing, but I would argue that they do so not only according to the medium in which they ring, but also in accordance with phi/golden ratio. If fields propagate according to the golden ratio, would not waves follow the same ratio? I'm wondering if coils wound according to the golden ratio will also compress an undamped wave. If so, could we compress them in a coherent and useable fashion by their induction into a coil constructed according to phi relative to the inducing coil?*

The path of least resistance is related to Phi as is the odd number sequence. I therefore don't think we need to design in accordance with any set figures, they are inherent! If there was to be a figure to consider, it would be the mass.

I can see where the confusion entered.... The term undamped is a term reserved for an unmodified sine. My use of the term is still referencing an undamped wave form, my wave is an almost perfect rectangular wave, versus sinusodial. As I said before, the damped wave has all of the proper relations needed to generate the square wave. In properly configured coils, the damped waves compress together generating the square shaped wave. In this sense, your idea of compressing an undamped sine is being accomplished.

Regards

08-14-2014, 06:06 PM #144

Radiantnrg
Member

I'm ready now to call erfinders bluff! If you look at post 112 in this thread it tells all. erfinder keeps claiming everyone with all their concepts and machines are pure trash and he only he is the only one who has the "holy grail". Every one else is just too stupid and inferior to him. He keeps

iPad 7:17 AM 100%
energyscienceforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

I am no fraud. But feel free to think that, I honestly hope that thinking such helps you progress, helps you comprehend what I am saying. I have spent most of the last 7 years as a hermit of sorts in my cluttered office experimenting for you. Asking the questions that you all should be asking your idols but don't have not. I have found effects that you all should be experiencing on your benches, you don't have them. What I offer is expensive, deal with it.....I'm not askign you to fork over 500 dollars for a circuit containing 50 dollars in parts.....just saying... I don't care if you purchase, I prefer you figure it out, the basics are easy....I am positive if you have what it takes, you can get the basic effects on your own, Kiril demonstrated he has what it takes, he got the basic effect to show up.... he was wise though, he comprehended that he could not do it better than the source, he realized, through our exchanges that there was more going on than what he was able to accomplish. I don't foresee any of you here comprehending how to use the reactor. The only ones who I am positive will comprehend it are your idols, and the few who see value in what I offered them. You can do and think what you like. I make no claims other than my effects and my interpretation of them. Do what you think you can, ask whom ever you want, you will only get so far with what has been selflessly provided, you will get lost, but that's not a bad thing, being lost is when you find yourself, I want you lost! I was lost when I found all this. When you have stumbled around in the dark long enough, and begin to see what I see, Ill be here waiting. See guys, I knew it was going to come to this, that you all would wine and ***** about this that and the other. That's why there is no data, if there was data there would be something else to ***** about, progress would stagnate because I didn't dot and i or cross a t. I focus on the concepts because that's what matters.

Search these forums, there are thousands of lines of text on COP...
thousands of lines of text on to two litz or not to litz
thousands of lines of text on whether one should use bifilar..
so many asking the same questions ...like what is the benefit of a bifilar coil
whats the difference between a bifilar and a normal coil
why all north poles
whats the advantage of all north poles
whats a super pole
whats radiant energy
whats reactive power
why can't I use neo magnets

The list of repeated questions should say something to you. What it says to me is the channel of communication is in a word...BROKE! There are books on one machine but there are 4 or 5 machines being discussed, and the slap in the face is that technically they are all the same machine. I give you the basics as I have come to understand them. I give you effects and concepts that no other experimenter has brought to your attention. I told you all in a post that I have long since removed, that I got the basic effect with a machine that was initially designed to be an SG. I ripped out the SG circuit, and installed my own, and then shot a video of this machine in action. In the video I showed the big 12 coil machine back charging the supply when the PWM is removed exactly like I show in the orthogonal machine. I said then that I felt the geometry was wrong, and this feeling was followed and the orthogonal machines were the result. The orthogonal is way smaller than my 12 coil machine, less wire, and is air core, in spite of this, it produced more back charge than the big machine did!? Lot of research went into this, lot of time, lot of money, all mine!

It's been said that there's more than enough info for you to build what you want.....get to building then, we will compare notes when you're done, all that has been provided are the basics. I have nothing to loose by saying do what you want....SAVVY!

I'm out.....





Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 08-15-2014 at 12:32 AM.

iPad 7:18 AM 100%
energyscienceforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
>
> *Sad to see you go erfinder, 7 years in the research is a along time..... Its a shame you don't see anyone here being able to reproduce your work,*
> *the last picture you posted is the one I think will help the most you posted it before but no one realised what you were on about, and when you said use both sides of the magnet, I originally thought North South.*
> *I will say what i think. you have an unselective biased mismatched series parallel network generating infinite overtones capacitively coupled (via the switch) to the supply, switched before and after the coil passes the magnet. the geometry you speak of is a triangle. The CEMF exists but it has been offset via coil connection geometry directly and electronically, to be close to zero but never zero.*
> *I will probably realise that this is all wrong but i am going to try anyway.*
> *can you create a solid state reactor? that would be cool....*
> *Thanks dude... its been one hell of a ride*

Uh....I'm not going anywhere.....and I agree, it is a shame that I don't see anyone here being able to reproduce my work.

The image.....what about it....what do you see, what did I say about this image? Both sides....IS north and south, but not like you or anyone else is thinking. North and South are one. Where you find the one you find the other.

Regarding what you think.....feel free to think whatever you want, 90 percent of what you say doesn't match whats happening on my bench. The geometry is not a triangle. CEMF is the heart of the matter, the wave forms indicate that your conclusion about it being offset because of... .....is inaccurate. When you realize that you got it all wrong, let me know, maybe then we can start again and hopefully have a meaningful exchange regarding what I have. I am not asking you to speculate on what I have! I am willing to spell it out for you, give you a running start as it were, but....you don't agree with me, you want to do it your way...I will hang back in the corner, cheering for you.

I am not interested in solid state. Motors have untapped potential, Tesla said that, I believe him, I've proven it for myself.....I'm sticking with him.

Regards

iPad 7:32 PM 98%
energyscienceforum.com

Tesla patent #512,340 talks about the relation between self-induction and capacitance.

In post #26 HERE there is a very good picture explaining it.

Lman

08-16-2014, 04:12 AM #163

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **Lman**
> *Tesla patent #512,340 talks about the relation between self-induction and capacitance.*
>
> *In post #26 HERE there is a very good picture explaining it.*
>
> *Lman*

I have studied the patents....and have reviewed most of the information found on these forums coming out of the research of others. The question that I ask that I don't see being asked is: if the effect of self induction is such a bad thing as Tesla himself has in many cases led us to believe, then why in the hell is he adding choking coils, increasing the self induction of the circuit? We don't have to guess here, he tells us why he adds these coils, the relation between self induction and capacity is more fundamental then impedance matching which is what resonance is. Where we see two fields, I feel he saw one, the math supports this, but more important than the math, the observed phenomena support support the idea of one field.

Regards

08-16-2014, 04:48 AM #164

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012

> Originally Posted by **Lman**

I agree folks do want to be told, and this, in my opinion is one of the reasons why we haven't had any breakthroughs on platforms like these, from the grass roots. The authorities will always present us with new (new to us, old to them) findings, which for a time, have the ability to preoccupy us when the new info is hot off the press. When the dust finally settles and we realize that we are severely limited as to what we can do with whats being provided, we return to our wheel, and continue in that circle that never ends. We are all too busy repeating, and spending little or no time investigating. I figured sharing was going to be difficult, but I didn't realize that these simple concepts would be resisted to the degree in which they are being resisted. Fortunately, playing with these machines, has enabled me to put myself in the position of the force which has the ability to overcome all impedance. The resistance I experience here only makes me stronger. I will not give up on any of you, but cannot carry any of you. It is up to you to comprehend the significance of what is being shared, I am positive that in time, you all will come to see the basics, one way or the other. The more complex (albeit layman) cannot be discussed till the basics are comprehended and mastered.

Recently on the forum, the question regarding the nature of an inductor was raised, and you are being directed to look at an inductor using the textbook as guide. You are being asked this as if you don't already know how and inductor works and which laws govern actions taking place inside them. Instead of asking you what the majority of you already know, and sending you to review information you are already versed in, why not ask you if you can determine how to make a coil behave as if it "not" an inductor? This isn't something that will be found in the text books with ease....This is what I have been trying to get you to see, with my references to what I see taking place between the turns. The textbook shows us whats happening between the turns in one example only.....In a recent post, I attached a link to a coil where this between the turn relation is clear to see. What makes an inductance an inductance in the layman sense? Is it possible that the between the turns relations sheds light on this? How does an inductance look when its not functioning as an inductance? I find it fascinating how the relation between the turn is mirrored to that relation found between the rotor and shorted coil on the magnets approach, don't you? As above... (between the turns, so below... (between rotor magnet and coil). Questions like these cause us to scratch our heads, questions like these aren't being asked, why?

On the other forum, in the old Ferris Wheel thread, I made a statement in response to another "researcher" regarding charging the coil in low inductance and discharging it in high inductance, this can been seen as controlled parameter variation. My experience in this area was shared with a like minded individual on that forum, in that thread, and this person got JB to respond to my statement. JB agreed that what I had found was what I should be doing and should continue. A few posts later the same concept was reintroduced to the group in the language of mainstream, by one versed in mainstream. Your attention is being directed to that individuals post on the Ferris Wheel thread on this forum. The point is one not versed in mainstream (me), came to the exact same conclusion as one versed in mainstream. We should try to bridge the gap between mainstream and whatever it is that we call our brand of thinking, instead of forcing the divide.

The bench helps us gain insight into our ideas, after receiving and digesting JB's positive comment, I experimented with the configuration further and realized that the concept has a problem in rotor based systems. The problem was that one doesn't take into account that the inductance of the coil is already changing as the magnets in the rotor are approaching or receding away from the coil. This has a dramatic effect on how far you will be allowed to "force" a change. Why am I telling you this? I am telling you because many of you are contemplating this, charging in low and discharging in high. What you must see is your motor itself is operating in these two modes already, you must learn to recognize when. I clearly show the two in my demonstrations. JB shows switches his machine at the zero crossing....this is clearly seen in the video. I switch at the point of maximum induced potential. To many it would seem that we are at odds till you realize that I showed you two modes. What you must see is I too want to switch at the zero crossing, but I do not want to sacrifice what I find at the point of maximum induced potential, namely the torque, and potential! In a motor of conventional design (which is what this is all about folks, real motors not models...) torque is max at the point of maximum induced potential, in my system, I establish the conditions whereby the machine itself governs the manifestation of a phase shift which enables me to switch at the point of maximum induced potential, and draw current as if I am switching at the zero crossing. I am saying more here because I can, the method is mine. I want it clearly understood that Erfinder is no fraud, this is no joke, I consider myself a serious researcher, and consider those who read these rants serious researchers. If I were to throw everything on the table, it would be in the form of a huge mess that no one would get anything out of. I said in the beginning of this, consider the information, this means, try to put yourself in my position, see what I see. When you see what I see, then you can do whatever you want. I'm not asking you to replicate, because I don't need you to replicate, nor do I want you to replicate, I want you to see exactly what I want you to see!

There is method to this, you cannot see I want you to see doing things your way.....but the beauty in this is that you can try.

Regards

iPad 10:49 AM 90%
energyscienceforum.com

Last edited by Radiantnrg; 08-16-2014 at 07:15 AM.

08-16-2014, 07:14 AM #167

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **Lman**
> *Well, I have read some of the Patents although not studied them thoroughly as you and I do not know why the self-induction would be a bad thing since he relied heavily on it after abandoned AC. In this patent he relates to "electric apparatus or systems in which alternating currents are employed". And saying "in many cases" raises the question which are the other cases when the self-induction is not disadvantage. There is nothing in the patent about disruptive discharges but he relates to high frequencies and the relation of the coil and its capacitance. He "complains" about capacitors and finds his way to avoid them. So probably he was using the chokes to tune things. And in Colorado Springs he had coils tuned to 1/2 and 1/4 the wave of the big one if I am not mistaken.*

When I look at a motor I see a DC biased pulsed AC machine. This is a difficult pill to swallow, but its what I see. The role of self induction isn't the same as it is in a straight AC system. Two induction mechanisms are at play, your job is to identify the two. I don't care about the Colorado springs stuff, nor do I care about the AC stuff, I care only about the patents dealing with the subject under discussion. I don't care about tuning, I don't tune anything, I allow the system to tune itself. Properly configured systems tune themselves! Most miss the connection that self induction has to capacity, I almost missed it, keep in mind he replaced the capacitor proper with an inductor....?! Also keep in mind, the coil is connected in such a manner that the inductive property is augmented, wound and connected as Tesla describes, coils have a 4x increase in inductance!! This leads me to believe that the turn to turn voltage increase has little to do with "capacitance" in the true sense of the term, but more to do with the capacity that the coil has for opposing changes in current, the result...potentials.

> Originally Posted by **Lman**
> *By the way, every time I see you mentioning the coils in the Ferris Wheel as impedance mismatched something is telling me that it is not correct. I see them more as carefully and precisely matched to a certain ratio.*
> *Regards*
> *Lman*

Please don't misunderstand this or take it personally but, it's painfully clear to me that you have no idea what JB has said and done. The coils, at least in one embodiment of the Ferris wheel are indeed "impedance mis-balanced" (his words) in other words, impedance mismatched. EFTV 28, beginning at 27:00 - 33:20, listen carefully. I have pointed people to this video, to these exact quotes before, but no one is listening. He say that the extra coil was added after they found that the magnets were too close, the coil was added as a "tuning mechanism" to get th device to run. Following this ErikN speaks, when he finishes, JB comes back and says "but we added the second coil to get the energy out of the machine.....!!" My jaw dropped....He just said not 3 minutes prior that the coil was for "tuning", and now its the mechanism for getting the energy out!! After he changed to getting the energy out, you never here him talk about using the coil for tuning for running again...LOL....I have done my homework, I know what he said, I have accomplished the same effect, I am not here to waste your time nor mine. Please check your sources before you tell me that you think I am incorrect. I brought you info straight from the horses mouth.

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 08-16-2014 at 08:23 AM.

08-16-2014, 07:34 AM #168

Lman
Senior Member
Join Date: Oct 2013
Posts: 104

Erfinder,

Just finished reading your last long post.
You want to see the babies jumping before they are able to crawl
I told you, you are just much more advanced in what you have learned and in your research. And

iPad 10:55 AM 89%
energyscienceforum.com

research. And there are not many to see just by words what you are talking about. Kiril_Kirilov managed to do it but looking at his video and the expensive tools he has ... it shows you that he is not just one of the many here. The user urcoffeetastestoasty managed to understand as well and did some interesting things, now he deleted his videos and disappeared.
There are many people just reading or coming to the forum because they want to learn this new things but that does not mean we should reject the conventional books. There are many people with no electronic background (like me) and all they have learned about a diode for example is that it is like one way valve while there is much more to be learned about it. I use inductors and I still do not know how to calculate the right inductance in case I need it. Everybody is using what has been called electricity but after so many years nobody knows what it is. People watch their watches and yet nobody knows what time is. I see nothing wrong to read and in my case I read from different sources to extend the knowledge. It is easy to forget things and details and it is helpful to refresh the knowledge as well.
The authorities as you call them are trying their best to feed everybody with information - from the beginner that just learned what a resistor is to the advanced like you.
There are people of course who read and steal ideas as well, than call them theirs. But if somebody is here to share he knows why he does it.
I think it was better that you did not post a schematic, the mess would have been even bigger as everyone would start interpret it and you are right about this. Probably you should have given small consecutive steps to the people like me who have hard time to get it.
You said it in previous posts that you switch at the peak and this is why I suggested that we read again your posts in order to make the connection - inductors, impedances, switching, magnets ....

Regards
Lman

You hit the nail on the head, there have been a few who have seen something in what I am saying. Kiril was the first and last to even "try" publicly to get the effect. His effort was rewarded when after 10 tries, he got the buffer capacitor to increase 8.8v over the supply. Soon he will be able to get 160v over the supply like I do with a machine like the one he got from me. urcoffeetastestoasty is like many of you, he saw the potential in what I was saying but had his own view that he could not let go of. After he left here I lost contact with him, I have no idea why he took down his work. I hope he is doing well, and is still a passionate researcher.

I do not recommend we throw out the text books! I reference them when it suits me. There are enough folks out there who have made it their job to remind us how wrong the establishment is, and well that's their right, I don't find it necessary to dig that deep into the books. Generally the books don't come out and tell us how to do the things we discuss on these pages anyway, so no harm no foul.

The only problem I have with those whom I refer to as authorities is the same one that many have with me, "Why don't you just come out and say it." I am saying it! I cant be any clearer than I am right now!

Rereading my own text I see how difficult it is for you all, to follow along, but you are following along and that's awesome. I am not telling you anything that you shouldn't already know! My machine which I don't really care about demonstrating again, is modeled after mainstream! I asked one of you to spot the difference between the mainstream concept and whats being discussed, and find it awesome that the individual saw no difference, see there is no difference. My concept is one which will enable us to "patch" the system! You don't get around Lenz by excluding him, you get around him by comprehending what he is, then you are in the position to use him and reverse his influence on the system. CEMF in a motor limits the current, what you must see is that the mechanism responsible for the generation of CEMF can be used to cause consumption to increase with increasing RPM! This is why I say, increase the CEMF, don't decrease it like we are being told. Use the force which limits to push you to new heights. I have demonstrated that the negative effect of CEMF can be reversed, and can do this again and again and again...but what would be the point of demonstrating something to you over and over and over, if you don't get how and why this is even possible?

Your attention is on the majority, look inward, think of yourself, take your time, as much as you require, consider the information, at your leisure of course.

Regards

iPad 10:59 AM 88%
energyscienceforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

Here is what I have found....more text.....

In the video I mentioned yesterday we find JB saying people in the know can use the SG, because it is a Lenzless generator. Early in my playing around with this I was inclined to accept that, however, through more experiments than I care to comment on, and proper application of common sense, I realized that something was not quite right with this statement, I couldn't bring myself to accept it. It is true that the design and function circumvents some of the negative aspects of Lenz, however some is not all. A lenzless motor would behave like a series wound motor, the consumption would increase, and the motor would run away, as consumption increased with increasing rpm. This is not what we find happening in this circuit. I am aware that this machine isn't considered to be motor or generator, but it is not fair to say that its neither of these when all the facts indicate that it has the attributes of both.

I have come to consider two completely different generator types which in truth cannot really be classified as Lenzless, even though their operation would lead one to believe that they are.

- The first machine behaves like the Kromrey or G-field, the application of a short or low impedance load causes the machine to accelerate, this results in the consumption of the prime mover to decrease. This is the favorite of the majority. In this type of system the device isn't lenzless, for all intents an purposes, Lenz has been inverted.

- The second type of machine is foreign to all but a few. This type of machine has no effect on the prime movers consumption, positive or negative. When this generator is loaded, impedance unspecific, a magnetic field is generated which at first glance appears to be cancelling itself as its being generated. The field isn't cancelling, think about it, that which we call neutralization or cancellation in coils we call attraction when dealing with permanent magnets. In this type of generator the coils are not dominated by their inductive property, the field is moving inward versus outward (look between the turns people....method to the madness). This kind of system is in my opinion capacitive, implosive, the magnetic field is moving in the direction of.....the space between spaces....what does the magnetic field meet when it arrives at its destination...the dielectric field maybe?

With that being said, I am not the least bit interested in the output of machines based on designs that we know have a negative effect on the prime mover. I want a generator which has no effect on the prime mover, its output is irrelevant.

Regards

iPad 11:07 AM 86%
energyscienceforum.com
EPB Session Timeout | Dissecting Erfinder's comments - Page 22 | Henry (unit) - Wikipedia

Regards
Lman

Last edited by Lman; 08-19-2014 at 04:29 PM.

08-19-2014, 10:03 PM #215

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

Look at that link Tom C linked you to, look at the flux exiting and entering the magnet!!! This is NOT what I am saying! What did I say guys? Does this fit what I said about looking between the turns???? NO! THE POLES ARE CONSTRUCTS!!! THEY ARE NOT REAL! MAGNETISM MOVES AROUND THE WIRE IN CIRCLES THIS MEANS WE ARE LOOKING IN THE WRONG LOCATION FOR THE "MAGNETIC POLE". THE POLE YOU ALL ARE PREOCCUPIED WITH IS NOT MAGNETIC.....IT'S DIELECTRIC!!!!!! Nature is pulling a fast one on us folks. I have been looking at this stuff for some time now and can and have proven all of the things I am saying to myself. Thinking what you want, at this stage drives you further and further away from where we need to be.

Regards

08-19-2014, 11:00 PM #216

Lman
Senior Member
Join Date: Oct 2013
Posts: 104

Huh, that is a BIG A-HAA moment.

I admit something crossed my mind but I would not have guessed that it even though it was hinted and said in a way. And not once.

A huge lesson and a game changer.

Thank you erfinder. Thank you !!!

Regards

iPad 11:20 AM 84%
energyscienceforum.com

I've seen what you've built, and how much work you've put into it. Unless you want people discussing it! What do you want Mr. Erfinder, besides to sell everyone a kit.
I am sure most here do understand how you feel, your worries, and hopes, I understand.

08-22-2014, 02:08 AM #248

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **Halfcutt**
>
> *Erfinder*
>
> *I think insanity, would be seeing what you have displayed, and not wanting to build and understand how things work. Insanity would be not wanting to see how far you have gone with this!*
> *Maybe you should remind us, how people which can afford to now, or in the future, can buy your learning tools.*
> *I really wish I could buy your stuff, that it was just that easy. You should be compensated for your work. What I will also say is that I do not think I feel right about dissecting your work, now that I've seen what you've built, and how much work you've put into it. Unless you want people discussing it! What do you want Mr. Erfinder, besides to sell everyone a kit.*
> *I am sure most here do understand how you feel, your worries, and hopes, I understand.*

What I want is easy, I want you where I am now, not where I was! No one and I do mean no one is going to show you a machine running on its own. I want you to understand how "I" think something like that "may" be possible. **Do not misunderstand, and or misinterpret that statement!!** I do not have a self running machine, only principles which lead me to believe that we are not far from having one. You see the potential in the basic demonstration, I am sure your mind is all over the place about what "could" be going on and what you could do with it. Among a list of other things, I want to show you what the tool taught me about resonance! How frequency isn't a limiting factor. I am not going to go by the book there, because as far as my limited understanding goes, its not in the books. You all have experience with LC circuits of this I am fairly sure. Have you ever experienced a parallel resonant LC behaving as if it were a series resonant LC, a literal shift from one to the other?!? I told you I see things differently, I see a motor as being a electro-mechanical equivalent of an LC, and through this, I see way more than I used to. I have a much better understanding of how Tesla was able to claim that he could tap more power out of his "MOTORS" than the supply could deliver! In a way, one can say I am using a very small portion of whatever it is that he found to recharge the buffer. If the phasing between physical, magnetic, and dielectric components is proper, disconnection of the power supply results not only in recharging of the buffer, recovery and its load, but also in acceleration of the rotor!

As I have said..you can do what you want once you have seen what I see. You all take this statement too lightly. You think you have seen it all with the basic effects. Continue to think that, the tool is expensive, I am not sorry for that. You want it or you don't. I am not going to continue to be dragged into a debate about its importance. You need it if you want to see what I see, it is the teacher, not Erfinder!

Regards

08-22-2014, 05:19 AM #249

guyzzemf
Senior Member

Join Date: Aug 2012
Location: A, A
Posts: 194

Now, where does the parasitic capacitance come from? an inductor is made out of a coil of insulated wire, so there are tiny capacitors between the windings (since there are two sections of wire separated by an insulator). Each section of windings is at a slightly different potential (because of wire inductance and resistance).

http://electronics.stackexchange.com...gh-frequencies

08-22-2014, 07:33 AM #250

erfinder

iPad 11:21 AM 83%
energyscienceforum.com
EPB Session Timeout | Dissecting Erfinder's comments - Page 25 | Henry (unit) - Wikipedia

08-22-2014, 07:33 AM #250

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **guyzzemf**
> *Now, where does the parasitic capacitance come from? an inductor is made out of a coil of insulated wire, so there are tiny capacitors between the windings (since there are two sections of wire separated by an insulator). Each section of windings is at a slightly different potential (because of wire inductance and resistance).*
>
> *http://electronics.stackexchange.com...gh-frequencies*

If you desire to progress....stop limiting yourself to what everyone can read about. Capacitance isn't limited to wire coated in a dielectric material.....please leave your comfort zone, the entire medium we find ourselves in is dielectric....your coil is in that medium....that changes things....

Regards

Page 25 of 30 First ... 15 23 24 25 26 27 ... Last
Quick Navigation Alternative Energy - General Top
« Previous Thread | Next Thread »

Bookmarks
Digg
del.icio.us
StumbleUpon
Google

Posting Permissions
You may not post new threads
You may not post replies
You may not post attachments
You may not edit your posts
BB code is On
Smilies are On
[IMG] code is On
[VIDEO] code is On
HTML code is Off

> *Regards*

I just read this post of erfinder in another thread and find it interesting. I think it sheds some light on how he sees the L and C.

Regards
Lman

08-23-2014, 06:28 AM #254

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

It is unfortunate how so few can appreciate the time and effort that has gone in to this. How only one person is behind all of this, one person who has no special skills or training, nor do I have decades in the field! They have no idea where this is going, and this in spite of the constant reference to wanting to see all of this in off the shelf technology. The secrets known to only a select few (not that this discussion has anything to do with what they know or don't know) must be broken down for us, and presented to us in the language of the common folk, our language, layman terms. I have searched the net and have yet to find one who shares this idea. Not one in the know can present what he/she has in the language that the masses can appreciate, that they can use!

I see Tom found "someone" who has generated the basic waveform. LOL that's an old post, made shortly after the ferris wheel debut. Around the same time I found the wave. I met several individuals who had found the wave! One guy in particular who found it swore up and down that it wasn't special till I showed him how it could go square! He never was able to get his to go square.....from the looks of what Tom is showing you, that guy didn't either.....

I envision self powering being simple, my ideas and reality aren't in phase yet....but give it time.... Where does the energy needed to sustain a self powering system come from? I hear the word dipole, and this is possibly true, however, what is a dipole exactly? One in the know, one of the wise in an effort to explain that which cannot be explained as it was being explained, slipped up and let it out, fortunately for him, all were fast asleep, and it was never mentioned again.

I want to help you expand your horizon, I have neutralized my impedance, and am waiting for you to neutralize yours so that we can exchange with one another unimpeded.

> Originally Posted by **OrthoParameter**
> *I have asked erfinder to "unplug" from this unproductive discussion and concentrate on working toward our future endeavors. I hope he follows my advise. There are only three of us, and I had hoped for more; but we have to move forward either way.*
> *I applaud the OP giving erfinder this space and the latitude to speak freely.*

Excellent advice, seeing as this discussion has more entertainment value than practical, an intermission would do all good methinks.... OrthoParameter....gotta love that name....lets retire to that cave.....you know the one....we have work to do, Kiril, Dennis, Ron, the offline discussions begin now.

Regards

Last edited by erfinder; 08-23-2014 at 06:35 AM.

08-23-2014, 11:32 AM #255

bigmotherwhale
Senior Member
Join Date: Jan 2014
Posts: 204

its true, the time has come i felt it too, all that needs to be said has been said..... im not planning on asking any more questions here

the information here and provided is enough for anybody to make a machine on their own.

i appriciate the ammount of work you have done to advance the field.

especialy the hints that you leave us with ... its good to work things out and not be told what to do, there is no point in copying anyone unless you plan on changing it at a later day.

BMW out

08-24-2014, 02:14 PM #273

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

The one thing that I know for certain is that no one is asking the right questions. Since this discussion has no practical value to a select few, I direct this at them. What is the Dipole! Note the lack of question mark....I am not asking you to inform me of what it is, I have my own dogma of what it is, but inquiring minds would like your take on it. See, honestly I don't think those of you who take cheap shots at me have a clue! The one that you assume to have was given to you by someone else.....You hang around with the inner circle wannabees....trying to get in good with them so as to be seen as one of them, good luck with that....The inner group surrounds the man with the knowledge....how much of "his knowledge" have you been able to distill from the sea of information which occult's the gems we all know he has? See, I don't bad mouth my sources of inspiration, I have no sympathy for their cheerleaders though, the merry band of rear smoochers, those who will do any and everything to keep the king of the mound happy, whomever that might be. How much have you been able to figure out?

The way I see it.....the mother of all secrets is in properly defining the entity we ignorantly call the dipole isn't it? Isn't that where Bearden says all the energy is pouring in from? I repeat my question, my challenge.....tell them what a dipole really is.....let me help you on this one....show them the dual monopole, the clover....the quadrapole! Explain to them how it works, in layman's terms, show them how to use it in a self sustaining manner.....clever switching my ass.....do that and I will leave! This challenge is not directed at the ones who inspired me to seek it out myself. This challenge is directed at the cheerleaders, minions of the man with the knowledge.

Regards

08-24-2014, 02:36 PM #274

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
> *'Would you like a set of blueprints with those photos?'*
>
> *that would be sweet*

iPad 11:34 AM 79%
energyscienceforum.com

erfinder
Banned
Join Date: Jul 2012
Posts: 152

> Originally Posted by **bigmotherwhale**
> *'Would you like a set of blueprints with those photos?'*
>
> *that would be sweet*
>
> *peace to all*
>
> *BMW out*

Dude....what do you require that wasn't already provided? I told you the circuit doesn't matter. The only requirement being it must be a PWM circuit, preferably hall or opto triggered. I told you how to wind your coils, how to connect your coils, where to connect the scope and why.....What more can I provide, a set of plans for something that simple sends me the message that you are too stupid to comprehend what was said. I know better than that, I don't draw the attention of stupid people, I don't associate myself with stupid people!

Kiril hit the ground running....you gotta love him for his effort! You have no idea how good it felt to see him get the basic back charging, his results sucked, but he experienced what all must, a shedding of the old skin.....it was beautiful! What his effort showed is anyone can do it! No plans from me! Stop asking for plans, you said there is enough info to build what you want....build something.....

No one gave me plans, I figured if plans were needed, I would have found one who had some for sale....guess I didn't need any....cause here I am telling you you don't need any. What I do offer you is something you won't get on your own, but that's another story.

I showed you a video of how stupid easy it is, still not good enough, I honestly don't know what else to do, the next step would be to draw it all out in crayon and treat you all like children instead of serious researchers? I don't have time for that. When you get the effects....the basic ones...where are you going to go from there? That's the question I keep asking myself. Where ever you end up, I hope it's where I wanted to accompany you.

Regards

iPad 4:50 PM 45%
erfinder overunity
erfinder overunity - Google Search
US5191258.pdf



The direction of North follows the path of outside towards the center. The direction of North forms a closing spiral path. The direction of North is gravitive.

The direction of South follows the path from the center outwards. The direction of South forms an opening spiral path. The direction of South is radiative.

purco.qc.ca

Index of /ftp/Overunity.com - Forum members/erfinder

Orthogonal Hybrid



Normal series connection. Generates sine wave, no squaring of the wave. When power is removed from circuit, C1 stabilizes at BT1 voltage, minus the voltage drop of D1 and D2.

Bucking series (Natural Series). Generates self oscillation which leads to the generation of a square wave. When power is removed from circuit, potential measured across C1 can exceed voltage measured across BT1.

Bucking versus Series.png